



CREaTE

Canterbury Research and Theses Environment

Canterbury Christ Church University's repository of research outputs

<http://create.canterbury.ac.uk>

Please cite this publication as follows:

Hurst, P., Kavussanu, M., Boardley, I. and Ring, C. (2019) Sport supplement use predicts doping attitudes and likelihood via sport supplement beliefs. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. ISSN 0264-0414.

Link to official URL (if available):

<https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1589920>

This version is made available in accordance with publishers' policies. All material made available by CReaTE is protected by intellectual property law, including copyright law. Any use made of the contents should comply with the relevant law.

Contact: create.library@canterbury.ac.uk



1 Sport Supplement Use Predicts Doping Attitudes and Likelihood via Sport Supplement Beliefs

2

3 **Running title:** Sport supplements, beliefs and doping

4

5

6 **Authors:** Philip Hurst^{a*}, Maria Kavussanu^b, Ian Boardley^b & Chris Ring^b

7 ^aSchool of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK

8 ^bSchool of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitations, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

9

10 ***Correspondence:** Philip Hurst, School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church
11 University, Canterbury, UK. Email: philip.hurst@canterbury.ac.uk

12

13 **Co-author email addresses:**

14 Maria Kavussanu = m.kavussanu@bham.ac.uk

15 Ian Boardley = I.D.Boardley@bham.ac.uk

16 Chris Ring = C.M.Ring@bham.ac.uk

17

18

19 **Word count:** 5054

20

Abstract

21 The aim of this study was to examine: 1) whether sport supplement use is related to doping
22 and 2) whether sport supplement beliefs mediated this relationship. In Study 1, athletes ($N =$
23 598), completed measures of sport supplement use, sport supplement beliefs, and doping
24 attitudes. In Study 2, athletes ($N = 475$) completed measures of sport supplement use, sport
25 supplement beliefs, and doping likelihood. In both studies, sport supplement use predicted
26 doping outcomes indirectly via sport supplement beliefs. Our findings provide novel
27 evidence to suggest that sport supplement users, who strongly believe that sport
28 supplements are effective, are more likely to dope. For anti-doping organisations wishing to
29 prevent doping, targeting an athlete's beliefs about sport supplements may improve the
30 effectiveness of anti-doping prevention programmes.

31 **Key words:** drug, gateway hypothesis, Incremental Model of Doping Behaviour, nutrition,
32 performance enhancement

33

Introduction

34 According to the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), doping represents an athlete or
35 athlete-support personnel (e.g. coach, physiotherapist, doctor) committing an anti-doping
36 rule violation. Ten violations exist, including: presence of a banned substance in sample; use
37 or attempted use of a banned substance or method; evading, refusing, or failing to submit a
38 sample; whereabouts failure; tampering with doping control; possession of a banned
39 substance or method; trafficking a banned substance or method; administering banned
40 substances or methods; complicity; and prohibited association (WADC, 2015). The most
41 widely recognised anti-doping rule violation is an athlete's use of a banned performance
42 enhancing substance or method.

43 Factors associated with doping have received increased attention in the past decade (see
44 Backhouse, Whitaker, Patterson, Erickson, & McKenna, 2016). Research that identifies such
45 factors is important, as it helps anti-doping organisations and researchers design more
46 effective anti-doping prevention programmes. A large number of factors have been
47 proposed to explain doping in sport. It has been reported that the use of non-banned sport
48 supplements (e.g., caffeine, creatine and sodium bicarbonate) can increase the likelihood of
49 an athlete doping (Backhouse, Whitaker, & Petroczi, 2013; Boardley, Grix, & Harkin, 2015).
50 However, little research has investigated what accounts for any such relationship. Recent
51 data highlight the potential importance of sport supplement beliefs influencing future
52 doping (Hurst, Foad, Coleman, & Beedie, 2017b). The main rationale for this suggestion is
53 that sport supplement use may lead athletes to develop beliefs about their effectiveness,
54 which in turn, may lead to the development of beliefs about doping substances and
55 influence future doping behaviour. However, to the authors' knowledge, no research has
56 investigated whether beliefs influence the supplement use-doping relationship. We
57 therefore aimed to extend understanding in the area by 1) investigating whether a

58 relationship exists between sport supplement use and doping, and 2) whether sport
59 supplement beliefs mediates any association.

60 *Sport Supplement Use and Doping*

61 Sport supplements are widely used by athletes of all ages and abilities, with the aim of
62 enhancing performance, promoting recovery, and correcting or preventing nutrient
63 deficiencies (Maughan et al., 2018). Prevalence of supplement use is between 40-70%, with
64 estimates varying by gender, age, sport type, time of the season, and type of supplement
65 used (Knapik et al., 2016). While use of sport supplements is generally widespread, their use
66 involves risk because supplements can be contaminated with banned substances (Geyer et
67 al., 2004; Geyer et al., 2008). Geyer et al. (2008) analysed 634 sport supplements in 13
68 countries and reported that 15% of sport supplements were contaminated with anabolic
69 steroids and testosterone. Further, Cohen, Bloszies, Yee, and Gerona (2016) reported that of
70 21 supplements sampled, 52.4% contained stimulants. Thus, for athletes using sport
71 supplements, the possibility of failing a drug test through inadvertent means is high.

72 Cross-contamination of a sport supplement occurs as a result of insufficient surveillance and
73 quality control by the sport supplement industry (Geyer et al., 2004). Many supplements by-
74 pass the most rudimentary pharmaceutical safeguards and banned substances can often be
75 added to the supplement accidentally or deliberately. Given that the World Anti-Doping
76 Agency (WADA) enforces a “strict liability” under Articles 2.1 and 2.2 of the Code (WADC,
77 2015; p. 141) an athlete can be banned from sport for up to 4 years after using a sport
78 supplement without having to demonstrate “intent, negligence or knowing Use on the
79 Athlete’s part”.

80 Researchers have suggested that use of sport supplements may over time increase the
81 likelihood of athletes doping (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b; Petróczi,
82 2013). Two theoretical frameworks underpinning the sport supplement-doping association

83 are the *gateway hypothesis* (Kandel, 1975) and the *incremental model of doping behaviour*
84 (IMDB; Petróczi, 2013). Both propose that doping evolves as part of a routine application of
85 the use of banned performance-enhancing substances and methods.

86 The gateway hypothesis (Kandel, 1975) posited that the use of softer drugs (e.g., alcohol,
87 marijuana), often precedes the use of harder drugs (e.g., cocaine, heroin). In sport,
88 researchers have suggested that the use of sport supplements may similarly facilitate use of
89 banned substances (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hildebrandt, Harty, & Langenbucher, 2012;
90 Hurst et al., 2017b). It is argued that supplement use could have an impact on athletes'
91 tendency to feel comfortable with taking a substance to improve performance and lead to
92 the use of banned substances. Thus, the continued use of sport supplements could precede
93 and increase the likely consumption of banned substances.

94 The incremental model of doping behaviour (Petróczi, 2013) proposes a link between
95 supplement use and doping use based on their common intended outcome of performance
96 enhancement. The model posits that doping is a motivated, goal-directed behaviour, and
97 prolonged involvement in performance enhancement methods can lead to doping. From
98 this perspective, the IMDB can be seen as describing a behavioural translation, in which
99 doping is the eventual outcome of systematic efforts aimed to maximise athletic ability
100 through performance-enhancement methods. In short, the continued use of performance
101 enhancement methods and the search for additional and better performance enhancing
102 methods, could ultimately lead an athlete to dope.

103 Several studies have confirmed a positive association between sport supplement use and
104 doping (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Boardley et al., 2015; Hildebrandt et al., 2012), thereby
105 providing support for both the gateway hypothesis and the IMDB. Qualitative studies have
106 revealed that some athletes dope to improve performance and overcome performance
107 plateaus while taking sport supplements (Boardley et al., 2015). Cross-sectional research has

108 reported that supplement users are three and half times more likely to dope (Backhouse et
109 al., 2013). In a meta-analysis, Ntoumanis, Ng, Barkoukis, and Backhouse (2014) reported that
110 use of sport supplements was one of the strongest predictors of doping (Odds Ratio = 8.24,
111 95% CI = 5.07 to 13.39). Although this evidence is based solely on athlete testimony, it
112 suggests that the use of sport supplements represents a risk factor for doping. Further
113 research is needed to better elucidate the nature of the sport supplement-doping
114 relationship.

115 It has been suggested that sport supplement users may express more favourable beliefs
116 about their effectiveness compared to non-users (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al.,
117 2017b). In this context, beliefs refer to perceptions of an association between behaviour
118 (e.g., sport supplement use) and outcome (e.g., improvement in performance). Zelli, Mallia,
119 and Lucidi (2010) reported that beliefs accounted for nearly 50% of the variance of
120 adolescents' doping intentions. Moreover, Bloodworth, Petroczi, Bailey, Pearce, and
121 McNamee (2012) suggested that athletes who believed that sport supplementation was a
122 necessity for optimal sports performance were more likely to dope. Further, Hurst et al.
123 (2017b) showed a positive association between athletes' sport supplement use and beliefs
124 about their effectiveness. When considered alongside the main tenets of the gateway
125 hypothesis and IMDB, this evidence suggests that the use of sport supplements may put
126 athletes at greater risk of doping via the development of more positive beliefs about their
127 effectiveness. However, there is relative dearth of research that has investigated sport
128 supplement beliefs and how these may explain the sport supplement use-doping
129 relationship. The current study was designed to address this gap in our understanding of this
130 relationship and investigate if sport supplement beliefs mediate any association between
131 supplement use and doping.

132 *Doping Attitudes and Likelihood*

133 Typically, research on substance use frames the behaviour as one of decision-making and
134 the explicit processes involved (Hauw & McNamee, 2015). Accordingly, several researchers
135 have used the Theory of Reasoned Action (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1975) and Theory of Planned
136 Behaviour (Ajzen, 1985) to examine athletes' attitudes and likelihood of doping (e.g.,
137 Backhouse et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2015; Elbe & Brand, 2016). Attitudes are an evaluation of
138 an object of thought (Bohner & Dickel, 2011) and can be anything that a person may have in
139 mind, ranging from people, groups, ideas and objects. They are stable entities stored in
140 memory and represent evaluative judgements that are constructed in the situation based on
141 current accessible information (Schwarz, 2007). Researchers interested in doping attitudes
142 are therefore aiming to understand athletes' judgements about banned substances. A large
143 body of literature has reported that attitudes are associated with doping use (Backhouse et
144 al., 2013; Whitaker, Long, Petróczi, & Backhouse, 2014) and doping likelihood (Chan et al.,
145 2015; Lazuras, Barkoukis, Mallia, Lucidi, & Brand, 2017), and that users of sports
146 supplements show more favourable attitudes towards doping than non-users (Backhouse et
147 al., 2013; Lazuras et al., 2017).

148 The Theory of Reasoned Action also suggests that attitudes are influenced by beliefs (Ajzen
149 & Fishbein, 1975). For example, an athlete who holds strong positive beliefs about the
150 effectiveness of anabolic steroids is expected to have positive attitudes towards them. In
151 turn, this influences the athlete's intention to use anabolic steroids, which ultimately
152 influences their likelihood of using them. There is accumulating evidence to support this
153 model of doping. Petróczi (2007) reported that stronger beliefs about doping were
154 associated with more favourable doping attitudes. Chan et al. (2015) showed that beliefs
155 about the advantages of using banned substances positively predicted doping attitudes and
156 intention to dope. Other studies have shown that athletes who use sport supplements
157 express more positive beliefs about these types of substances than non-users (Backhouse et
158 al., 2013; Dascombe, Karunaratna, Cartoon, Fergie, & Goodman, 2010). Research examining

159 beliefs about banned and non-banned substance use is limited, but there is sufficient
160 evidence to suggest that they can influence doping attitudes and likelihood.

161 *The Present Research*

162 In sum, research assessed doping attitudes and doping likelihood in order to better
163 understand doping behaviour. In a meta-analysis of the predictors of doping, Ntoumanis et
164 al. (2014) reported that the use of sport supplements was one of the strongest. However, no
165 study has investigated what may mediate the relationship between sport supplement use
166 and doping. We conducted two studies to examine whether sport supplement beliefs
167 mediate any relationships between sport supplement use and doping attitudes/likelihood. In
168 Study 1, we examined the relationships between sport supplement use, beliefs and doping
169 attitudes, and tested two hypotheses. First, we hypothesised sport supplement use would
170 be positively associated with doping attitudes. Second, we hypothesised that this
171 relationship would be mediated by sport supplement beliefs. In an extension to Study 1, in
172 Study 2, we examined the relationships between sport supplement use, sport supplement
173 beliefs, and doping likelihood. We hypothesised that sport supplement use would be
174 positively associated with doping likelihood and that this association would be mediated by
175 sport supplement beliefs.

176 **Study 1**

177 **Method**

178 *Participants*

179 Competitive male ($n = 417$) and female ($n = 191$) athletes volunteered to participate in the
180 study (mean + SD; age = 21.2 ± 4.5 years, years competing = 10.8 ± 5.9 , hours per week
181 training = 6.0 ± 3.7). Athletes had competed at club (26.3%), county (33.3%), regional

182 (24.1%) and national level (16.3%). Athletes participated in individual (31.9%) and team
183 sports (69.1%).

184 *Measures*

185 *Sport Supplement Use*

186 Athletes were asked to indicate whether they use sports supplements. Responses were
187 scored as 0 (no) and 1 (yes).

188 *Sport Supplement Beliefs*

189 We measured sport supplement beliefs using the Sports Supplements Beliefs Scale (SSBS;
190 Hurst et al., 2017b). This unidimensional instrument designed to assess athletes' beliefs
191 about the effectiveness of sports supplements was developed by Hurst et al. (2017b), who
192 provided evidence supporting the factorial validity of SSBS scores through exploratory and
193 confirmatory factor analyses. The SSBS includes six-statements related to beliefs about sport
194 supplements (e.g. "sport supplements are necessary for me to be competitive"). Athletes
195 indicated their level of agreement to each statement using a Likert-type scale, anchored by 1
196 (*strongly disagree*) and 6 (*strongly agree*). The mean of the six statements was computed as
197 a measure of athletes' belief about the effectiveness of sport supplements, with higher
198 scores indicating a more positive belief in their effectiveness. Cronbach alpha values were
199 very good in this study ($\alpha = .91$).

200 *Doping Attitudes*

201 We measured doping attitudes with a shortened 5-item version of the Performance
202 Enhancement Attitude Scale (Petróczi, 2006). This version has been reported to have better
203 model fit than the original 17-item scale (Nicholls, Madigan, & Levy, 2017). Athletes
204 responded to statements that represented their general attitudes towards doping (e.g.,
205 "doping is necessary to be competitive") on a six-point Likert-type scale, ranging from 1

206 (*strongly disagree*) to 6 (*strongly agree*). The mean of all statements was calculated, with
207 higher scores indicating more positive attitudes towards doping. Cronbach alpha scores have
208 been reported to range from .71 to .91 (Petróczi & Aidman, 2009). In the current sample
209 internal consistency was very good ($\alpha = .90$).

210 *Procedure*

211 After obtaining ethical approval from the institutional research ethics committee, athletes
212 were recruited in person from sport clubs. Stakeholders of sport clubs (e.g., coaches,
213 managers and secretaries) were first contacted via telephone and informed about the study
214 purposes. After gaining permission to conduct the study from club stakeholders, athletes
215 were recruited in person at the club's training facility. They were informed about the
216 purpose of the study, that participation was voluntary, and that honesty in their responses
217 was vital. Athletes did not disclose any personal information (e.g., names, date of births or
218 contact details) and were told that all data would be kept anonymous and the information
219 they provided would be used only for research purposes. After reading the study
220 information sheet and providing informed consent, athletes completed the measures
221 described above and returned the questionnaire in a sealed envelope.

222 *Data Analysis*

223 Preliminary data analysis revealed that 10 athletes did not complete the PEAS or SSBS scale.
224 Their data were deleted leaving a final sample size of 598 for further analyses. Eleven
225 athletes (1.9%) had missing data and Little's Missing Completely at Random test (MCAR;
226 Little, 1988) indicated that data were missing completely at random ($\chi^2 = 17.562$, $df = 27$, $p >$
227 $.916$). Missing values were replaced using a multiple imputation model that generated five
228 data sets with maximum number of parameters set at 100. The average value of the missing
229 data sets was used for subsequent analysis.

230 We used the PROCESS 2.16 (Hayes, 2013) SPSS macro (model 4) to test direct and indirect
231 (via beliefs) effects of sport supplement use on doping attitudes. Direct effects are the
232 effects of the predictor on the outcome variable that occur separately to the mediator,
233 while indirect effects are the effects of the predictor on the outcome variable via the
234 mediator. Bootstrapping was set at 10,000 samples to control for Type I error (Hayes, 2009;
235 Preacher & Hayes, 2004) and bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals were calculated for all
236 effects. When the confidence interval for indirect effects does not contain zero, this is
237 indicative of mediation. The Completely Standardised Indirect Effect (CSIE) has been
238 reported as the effect size metric and interpreted as 0.01 = small effect, 0.09 = medium
239 effect and 0.25 = large effect (Preacher & Kelley, 2011). The level of statistical significance
240 was set at $p \leq .05$.

241 **Results**

242 *Descriptive Statistics and Zero-Order Correlations*

243 Mean scores indicated that around half of athletes used sport supplements (51%) and
244 overall the sample was characterised by low doping attitudes (mean \pm SD = 2.09 \pm 0.82;
245 median = 2.00) and moderate beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements (mean \pm
246 SD = 3.01 \pm 1.12; median = 3.17). Zero-order correlations provided support for our first
247 hypothesis, that is sport supplement use was positively associated with attitudes towards
248 doping ($r = .11, p = .005$). Also, positive relationships were found between sport supplement
249 use and beliefs about sport supplements ($r = .51, p < .001$) and between sport supplement
250 beliefs and doping attitudes ($r = .26, p < .001$).

251 *Mediation Analysis*

252 We hypothesized that sport supplement beliefs would mediate the relationship between
253 sport supplement use and doping. This hypothesis was supported as sport supplement use
254 had an indirect effect on doping attitudes via sport supplement beliefs ($b = 0.22, 95\% \text{ CI} =$

255 0.14 to 0.31, CSIE = 0.13, 95% CI = 0.09 to 0.19). In contrast, sport supplement use did not
256 have a direct effect on doping attitudes ($b = 0.03$, 95% CI = -0.17 to 0.27). Overall the model
257 accounted for 26% of the variance in doping attitudes ($F_{(2, 593)} = 207.62$, $p < .001$, $r = .51$).
258 Results are presented in Figure 1.

259 Discussion

260 Researchers have supported the notion that an athlete's use of sport supplements is related
261 to doping attitudes (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2013; Ntoumanis et al., 2014). However, to date,
262 no study has attempted to understand the process through which sport supplement use
263 may lead to doping. One potential explanation is that over time athletes develop beliefs
264 about supplements. To move beyond simple description of the supplement use-doping
265 relationship and extend understanding in this area, we investigated whether this
266 relationship was mediated by sport supplement beliefs. The support provided for this
267 mediational pathway suggests that use of sport supplements may lead athletes to develop
268 beliefs about their effectiveness, possibly due to perceived improvements in performance.
269 These beliefs, in turn, may lead to the development of favourable attitudes toward doping
270 with possible implications for doping behaviour. The absence of a direct effect of sport
271 supplement use on doping attitudes underscores the importance of beliefs as a mechanism
272 that could explain the link between supplement use and doping attitudes.

273 Study 2

274 The results of Study 1 provided evidence consistent with the hypothesis that the relationship
275 between sport supplement use and doping attitudes is mediated by sport supplement
276 beliefs. However, the measure we used to assess doping attitudes has been criticised by
277 some researchers for its poor predictive validity in relationship to doping behaviour (Nicholls
278 et al., 2017). Specifically, the five-item version of the PEAS represents a mix of governmental
279 (e.g., "legalising performance enhancement would be beneficial for sport"), moral ("doping

280 is not cheating”) and functional (“doping is necessary to be competitive”) statements.
281 Therefore, when using this scale it is not possible to determine which of these sub-
282 components of doping attitudes is/are most important.

283 As an alternative, researchers have advocated the use of hypothetical scenarios to assess
284 doping intentions (e.g., Huybers & Mazanov, 2012; Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring &
285 Kavussanu, 2018). Athletes are presented with a hypothetical situation that they may
286 encounter in their career and are asked to indicate how likely they would be to use a banned
287 substance, if they were in that situation. Doping likelihood is reported to be one of the
288 strongest predictors of doping behaviour (Ntoumanis et al., 2014) and has previously been
289 shown to identify athletes at risk of doping (Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring & Hurst, 2019;
290 Ring, Kavussanu, Simms, & Mazanov, 2018). Therefore, in Study 2, we extended the results
291 of Study 1 by aiming to 1) examine the relationship between athletes’ use of sport
292 supplements and doping likelihood, and 2) determine whether beliefs about the
293 effectiveness of supplements mediate this relationship.

294 **Method**

295 *Participants*

296 Four-hundred and eighty-one competitive athletes volunteered to participate in the study
297 (age = 20.3 ± 2.2 years; years competing = 5.9 ± 4.2 , hours per week training = 6.3 ± 4.4).
298 The sample comprised mostly males (69.5%), who competed in team (88.8%) and individual
299 (11.2%) sports. The highest ever standard at which the athletes had competed at in their
300 sport was club (27.6%), county (45.7%), regional (6.7%), and national level (20.0%).

301 *Measures*

302 *Sport Supplement Use and Beliefs*

303 These variables were assessed using the same measures described in Study 1.

304 *Doping Likelihood*

305 In line with previous research (Huybers & Mazanov, 2012; Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring &
306 Kavussanu, 2018), we asked athletes to indicate how likely they are to dope during a
307 hypothetical scenario. This scenario focused on the benefits of using a banned substance to
308 help improve performance for a future competition and is presented below:

309 *It's the week before the most important competitive game/event of your season.*
310 *Lately, your performance has been below your best. You don't feel you have the*
311 *necessary fitness for this competition, and you're concerned about how you'll*
312 *perform. You mention this to a teammate, who tells you that he/she uses a new*
313 *substance that has enhanced his/her fitness and performance. The substance is*
314 *banned for use in sport, but there's no chance that you will be caught.*

315 After reading the scenario, athletes were asked to rate how likely they were to use the
316 banned substance on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*not at all likely*) to 7 (*very likely*).

317 *Procedure*

318 After gaining ethical approval from the university research ethics committee, athletes were
319 recruited from sports clubs. Recruitment strategy and instructions were identical to those in
320 study 1, and athletes provided informed consent and completed the measures previously
321 described.

322 *Data Analysis*

323 Preliminary examination of the data revealed that six athletes did not complete the SSBS
324 scale. These were deleted, leaving a final sample size of 475. Two athletes (0.42%) had
325 missing data and Little's MCAR test revealed data were missing completely at random ($\chi^2=$
326 5.142, $df = 10$, $p > .882$). Missing values were replaced using a multiple imputation model

327 that generated five data sets with maximum number of parameters set at 100. The average
328 value of the missing data sets was used in subsequent analysis.

329 Similar to Study 1, we used the PROCESS 2.16 (Hayes, 2013) SPSS macro (model 4) to test
330 direct and indirect effects of sport supplement use on beliefs and doping likelihood.

331 Bootstrapping was set at 10,000 samples and bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals were
332 calculated for all effects. The CSIE was reported as the effect size metric and the level of
333 statistical significance accepted was at $p \leq .05$.

334 **Results**

335 *Descriptive Statistics and Zero-Order Correlations*

336 Descriptive statistics indicated that on average, over two thirds of athletes used
337 supplements (69%) and reported relatively moderate beliefs in their effectiveness (mean \pm
338 SD = 3.12 ± 1.41 ; median = 3.67). Athletes also reported relatively low doping likelihood
339 scores (mean \pm SD = 2.27 ± 1.53 ; median = 2.00). Supporting our first hypothesis, zero-order
340 correlations showed the use of sport supplements was positively associated with likelihood
341 of doping ($r = .15$, $p = .002$). Further, positive relationships were identified between sport
342 supplement use and sport supplement beliefs ($r = .46$, $p < .001$), and sport supplement
343 beliefs and likelihood of doping ($r = .22$, $p < .001$).

344 *Mediation Analysis*

345 Our second hypothesis posited that the relationship between supplement use and doping
346 likelihood would be mediated by sport supplement beliefs. As can be seen in Figure 2, sport
347 supplement use was not directly related to doping likelihood ($b = 0.17$, 95% CI = -0.15 to
348 0.50), but was indirectly related to doping likelihood via sport supplement beliefs ($b = 0.31$,
349 95% CI = 0.15 to 0.49, CSIE = 0.09, 95% CI = 0.05 to 0.15). Overall the model accounted for
350 21% of the variance in doping likelihood ($F_{(2, 473)} = 143.52$, $p < .001$, $r = .46$).

351 **Discussion**

352 Similar to Study 1, in Study 2, we found that sport supplement use indirectly predicted
353 doping likelihood via sport supplement beliefs. This finding suggests that users of sport
354 supplements may be more likely to dope because supplement use may lead one to develop
355 beliefs about their effectiveness. In turn, these beliefs may influence doping likelihood.

356 **General Discussion**

357 It has been proposed that the use of sport supplements can lead an athlete to dope
358 (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b; Petróczi, 2013). Building on research conducted
359 on the role of sport supplement use and doping (Backhouse et al., 2013), we examined the
360 associations between athletes' use of sport supplements and both doping attitudes and
361 doping likelihood, and whether beliefs about the effectiveness of supplements mediated any
362 of these associations.

363 In support of our hypotheses, we found that sport supplement use was positively associated
364 with both doping attitudes (Study 1) and doping likelihood (Study 2). These results are in line
365 with existing cross-sectional research (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hildebrandt et al., 2012),
366 which has reported a positive relationship between sport supplement use and doping. While
367 sport supplements may help athletes meet nutritional targets, train harder, and stay healthy
368 and injury-free (Maughan et al., 2018), their continued consumption may also lead to a
369 greater willingness to engage in doping (i.e., via the gateway hypothesis or IMDB). If athletes
370 perceive sport supplements as beneficial for performance, they may subsequently be more
371 likely to consider doping. These findings provide some support for the gateway hypothesis
372 and IMDB, namely, that the use of performance enhancing methods (e.g., sport
373 supplements) could increase the likelihood of an athlete doping.

374 To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the mediating role of sport supplement
375 beliefs in the sport supplement use-doping relationship. We found support for the possibility

376 that sport supplement beliefs mediate the relationship between sport supplement use and
377 both doping attitudes and likelihood. This suggests that athletes who use sport supplements
378 may develop beliefs about their effectiveness over time and as a result be more likely to
379 dope. This may happen because athletes believe that doping can improve performance to
380 the same, or to a greater extent to that of supplements. In other words, the perceived
381 beneficial effects of sport supplements may augment the belief that they are effective,
382 which in turn may lead to doping. Given the IMDB, which posits that the continued use of
383 non-banned performance enhancing methods can lead to doping (Petróczy, 2013), the more
384 an athlete believes in the effectiveness of these types of methods, the more likely they are
385 to dope. Overall, our results underline the potentially important role of sport supplement
386 beliefs in doping.

387 *Practical Implications*

388 Our findings have practical implications for organisations and researchers aiming to prevent
389 doping in sport. They show that sport supplement use is indirectly related to doping
390 attitudes and likelihood via beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements. Thus, anti-
391 doping prevention programmes need to focus on reducing the belief about the effectiveness
392 of sport supplements. This could be achieved by downplaying their effectiveness during
393 nutritional and anti-doping interventions. There is a body of evidence suggesting that a large
394 proportion of the effectiveness of sport supplements is the result of a *placebo effect* (Beedie
395 et al., 2018; Hurst, Foad, Coleman, & Beedie, 2017a). Informing athletes about the placebo
396 effect could help them to make more informed choices about the use of sport supplements
397 and banned substances, which, in turn, may modify their beliefs about their effectiveness.

398 Alternatively, a more indirect way to modify beliefs could be for practitioners to promote an
399 environment that fosters behaviours away from the use of sport supplements. For example,
400 providing athletes with a “food-first approach” could provide athletes with functional

401 alternatives to sport supplementation (Whitaker & Backhouse, 2017). This may indirectly
402 modify an athlete's behaviour in relationship to supplements. For example, instead of an
403 athlete adopting non-natural forms of nutrition, such as powders and pills, that athlete may
404 adopt more natural means of nutrition, and have a reduced belief in the effectiveness of
405 sport supplements. It is reasonable to suggest that based on the results of this and other
406 studies (Backhouse et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2017b), as well as the gateway hypothesis and
407 the IMBD, a reduction in the use of sport supplements might change an athlete's belief in
408 their effectiveness, and subsequently the chance of that athlete doping.

409 *Limitations and Future Research Directions*

410 In this multi-study research programme, we have reported some novel findings. However,
411 these need to be interpreted in light of the following limitations. First, both studies are
412 cross-sectional, and, therefore, a causal link between supplement use and doping outcomes
413 cannot be asserted. It could be argued that beliefs about supplements influence supplement
414 use which in turn influences doping. Future research should examine whether supplement
415 use acts a mediator between supplement beliefs and doping¹. Similarly, researchers should
416 also investigate how athletes develop beliefs about banned and non-banned substances and
417 whether they are related to future substance use. This could help determine how athletes
418 learn and interpret information about performance enhancing substances, which could be
419 used to facilitate the development of anti-doping educational interventions. Second, the
420 effect sizes between sport supplement use and doping were small ($r = .11$ and $.15$, for
421 doping attitudes and likelihood, respectively). This suggests that any potential causal
422 relationship between the use of sport supplements and doping could be influenced by other
423 factors that may be more influential in leading athletes to dope. Third, and like other

¹ In this study, we were unable to analyse whether sport supplement use mediated the relationship between supplement beliefs and doping as supplement use was measured on a dichotomous scale (i.e. 0 = no, 1 = yes).

424 research in this area (Kavussanu & Ring, 2017; Ring et al., 2018), participants had relatively
425 low doping attitudes and likelihood scores. It is unknown whether the results from this study
426 are similar for athletes with higher scores on these variables. Future research is therefore
427 needed that examines the mediating role of sport supplements and the supplement use-
428 doping relationship in an athletic sample with higher doping scores.

429 **Conclusion**

430 In conclusion, the results from our research demonstrate that sport supplement use is
431 related to both doping attitudes and doping likelihood. That is, athletes using sport
432 supplements are more likely to report a more favourable attitude to doping and indicate a
433 greater likelihood of doping. Moreover, we provide novel evidence to suggest that sport
434 supplement users, who have a strong belief in the effectiveness of the supplements, may be
435 more likely to dope, and these beliefs may explain the relationship between sport
436 supplement use and doping. For anti-doping organisations and researchers aiming to
437 prevent doping, targeting athletes' beliefs about the effectiveness of sport supplements may
438 improve anti-doping prevention programmes. Research investigating the effects of belief-
439 based interventions on sport supplement use in sport is now needed.

- 442 Ajzen, I. (1985). *From Intentions to Actions: A Theory of Planned Behavior* Berlin: Springer.
- 443 Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention and behavior: An introduction to*
- 444 *theory and research*: Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- 445 Backhouse, S., Whitaker, L., Patterson, L., Erickson, K., & McKenna, J. (2016). *Social*
- 446 *Psychology of Doping in Sport: A Mixed Studies Narrative Synthesis*. Montreal,
- 447 Canada: World Anti-Doping Agency.
- 448 Backhouse, S. H., Whitaker, L., & Petroczi, A. (2013). Gateway to doping? Supplement use in
- 449 the context of preferred competitive situations, doping attitude, beliefs, and norms.
- 450 *The Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 23(2). 244-252.
- 451 Beedie, C., Benedetti, F., Barbiani, D., Camerone, E., Cohen, E., Coleman, D., . . . Szabo, A.
- 452 (2018). Consensus statement on placebo effects in sports and exercise: the need for
- 453 conceptual clarity, methodological rigour, and the elucidation of neurobiological
- 454 mechanisms. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 18(10). 1383-1389.
- 455 Bloodworth, A. J., Petroczi, A., Bailey, R., Pearce, G., & McNamee, M. J. (2012). Doping and
- 456 supplementation: the attitudes of talented young athletes. *The Scandinavian Journal*
- 457 *of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 22(2). 293-301.
- 458 Boardley, I. D., Grix, J., & Harkin, J. (2015). Doping in team and individual sports: a qualitative
- 459 investigation of moral disengagement and associated processes. *Qualitative*
- 460 *Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 7(5). 698-717.
- 461 Bohner, G., & Dickel, N. (2011). Attitudes and attitude change. *Annual review of psychology*,
- 462 62. 391-417.
- 463 Chan, D. K., Hardcastle, S., Dimmock, J. A., Lentillon-Kaestner, V., Donovan, R. J., Burgin, M.,
- 464 & Hagger, M. S. (2015). Modal salient belief and social cognitive variables of anti-
- 465 doping behaviors in sport: Examining an extended model of the theory of planned
- 466 behavior. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16. 164-174.
- 467 Cohen, P. A., Bloszies, C., Yee, C., & Gerona, R. (2016). An amphetamine isomer whose
- 468 efficacy and safety in humans has never been studied, beta-
- 469 methylphenylethylamine (BMPEA), is found in multiple dietary supplements. *Drug*
- 470 *Test Anal*, 8(3-4). 328-333.
- 471 Dascombe, B. J., Karunaratna, M., Cartoon, J., Fergie, B., & Goodman, C. (2010). Nutritional
- 472 supplementation habits and perceptions of elite athletes within a state-based
- 473 sporting institute. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13(2). 274-280.
- 474 Elbe, A.-M., & Brand, R. (2016). The effect of an ethical decision-making training on young
- 475 athletes' attitudes toward doping. *Ethics & Behavior*, 26(1). 32-44.
- 476 Geyer, H., Parr, M., Mareck, U., Reinhart, U., Schrader, Y., & Schänzer, W. (2004). Analysis of
- 477 non-hormonal nutritional supplements for anabolic-androgenic steroids-results of
- 478 an international study. *International journal of sports medicine*, 25(02). 124-129.
- 479 Geyer, H., Parr, M. K., Koehler, K., Mareck, U., Schanzer, W., & Thevis, M. (2008). Nutritional
- 480 supplements cross-contaminated and faked with doping substances. *J Mass*
- 481 *Spectrom*, 43(7). 892-902.
- 482 Hauw, D., & McNamee, M. (2015). A critical analysis of three psychological research
- 483 programs of doping behaviour. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16. 140-148.
- 484 Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new
- 485 millennium. *Communication monographs*, 76(4). 408-420.
- 486 Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis:*
- 487 *A Regression-Based Approach (Methodology in the Social Sciences)* New York, NY:
- 488 Guilford-Press.

- 489 Hildebrandt, T., Harty, S., & Langenbucher, J. W. (2012). Fitness supplements as a gateway
490 substance for anabolic-androgenic steroid use. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*,
491 26(4). 955-962.
- 492 Hurst, P., Foad, A. J., Coleman, D. A., & Beedie, C. (2017a). Athletes Intending to Use Sports
493 Supplements Are More Likely to Respond to a Placebo. *Medicine & Science in Sports
494 & Exercise (MSSE)*, 40(9). 1877-1883.
- 495 Hurst, P., Foad, A. J., Coleman, D. A., & Beedie, C. (2017b). Development and validation of
496 the Sports Supplements Beliefs Scale *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 5(3). 89-
497 97.
- 498 Huybers, T., & Mazanov, J. (2012). What would Kim do: A choice study of projected athlete
499 doping considerations. *Journal of sport management*, 26(4). 322-334.
- 500 Kandel, D. (1975). Stages in adolescent involvement in drug use. *Science*, 190(4217). 912-
501 914.
- 502 Kavussanu, M., & Ring, C. (2017). Moral identity predicts doping likelihood via moral
503 disengagement and anticipated guilt. *Journal of sport & exercise psychology*, 39(4).
504 293-301.
- 505 Knapik, J. J., Steelman, R. A., Hoedebecke, S. S., Austin, K. G., Farina, E. K., & Lieberman, H. R.
506 (2016). Prevalence of Dietary Supplement Use by Athletes: Systematic Review and
507 Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 46(1). 103-123.
- 508 Lazuras, L., Barkoukis, V., Mallia, L., Lucidi, F., & Brand, R. (2017). More than a feeling: The
509 role of anticipated regret in predicting doping intentions in adolescent athletes.
510 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 30. 196-204.
- 511 Little, R. J. (1988). A test of missing completely at random for multivariate data with missing
512 values. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 83(404). 1198-1202.
- 513 Maughan, R. J., Burke, L. M., Dvorak, J., Larson-Meyer, D. E., Peeling, P., Phillips, S. M., . . .
514 Geyer, H. (2018). IOC consensus statement: dietary supplements and the high-
515 performance athlete. *International journal of sport nutrition and exercise
516 metabolism*, 28(2). 104-125.
- 517 Nicholls, A. R., Madigan, D. J., & Levy, A. R. (2017). A Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the
518 Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale for adult and adolescent athletes.
519 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 28. 100-104.
- 520 Ntoumanis, N., Ng, J. Y., Barkoukis, V., & Backhouse, S. (2014). Personal and psychosocial
521 predictors of doping use in physical activity settings: a meta-analysis. *Sports
522 Medicine*, 44(11). 1603-1624.
- 523 Petróczi, A. (2006). *Measuring attitude toward doping: Further evidence for the psychometric
524 properties of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale*. 14th Congress of the
525 European Association for Sport Management. Nicosia, Cyprus.
- 526 Petróczi, A. (2007). Attitudes and doping: a structural equation analysis of the relationship
527 between athletes' attitudes, sport orientation and doping behaviour. *Substance
528 Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 2. 34.
- 529 Petróczi, A. (2013). The doping mindset—Part I: Implications of the Functional Use Theory
530 on mental representations of doping. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 2(4).
531 153-163.
- 532 Petróczi, A., & Aidman, E. (2009). Measuring explicit attitude toward doping: Review of the
533 psychometric properties of the Performance Enhancement Attitude Scale.
534 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 10(3). 390-396.
- 535 Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects
536 in simple mediation models. *Behavior research methods, instruments, & computers*,
537 36(4). 717-731.
- 538 Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: quantitative
539 strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychological methods*, 16(2). 93-115.

- 540 Ring, C., & Hurst, P. (2019). The effects of moral disengagement mechanisms on doping
541 likelihood are mediated by guilt and moderated by moral traits. *Psychology of Sport
542 and Exercise, 40*. 33-41.
- 543 Ring, C., & Kavussanu, M. (2018). Ego involvement increases doping likelihood. *Journal of
544 Sports Sciences, 36*(15). 1757-1762.
- 545 Ring, C., Kavussanu, M., Simms, M., & Mazanov, J. (2018). Effects of situational costs and
546 benefits on projected doping likelihood. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 34*. 88-94.
- 547 Schwarz, N. (2007). Attitude construction: Evaluation in context. *Social cognition, 25*(5). 638-
548 656.
- 549 WADC. (2015). *The World Anti-Doping Code*. Montreal, Canada: The World Anti-Doping
550 Agency Retrieved from [https://www.wada-
551 ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/wada-2015-world-anti-doping-code.pdf](https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/wada-2015-world-anti-doping-code.pdf).
- 552 Whitaker, L., & Backhouse, S. H. (2017). Doping in sport: an analysis of sanctioned UK rugby
553 union players between 2009 and 2015. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 35*(16). 1607-
554 1613.
- 555 Whitaker, L., Long, J., Petróczi, A., & Backhouse, S. H. (2014). Using the prototype willingness
556 model to predict doping in sport. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in
557 Sports, 24*(5). e398-e405.
- 558 Zelli, A., Mallia, L., & Lucidi, F. (2010). The contribution of interpersonal appraisals to a
559 social-cognitive analysis of adolescents' doping use. *Psychology of Sport and
560 Exercise, 11*(4). 304-311.
- 561

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The effects of supplement use on doping attitudes and the mediating role of sport supplement beliefs. *Note.* Values are the unstandardized regression coefficients. * $p < .01$

Figure 2. The effects of supplement use on doping likelihood and the mediating role of sport supplement beliefs. *Note.* Values are the unstandardized regression coefficients. * $p < .01$