



# CREaTE

Canterbury Research and Theses Environment

Canterbury Christ Church University's repository of research outputs

<http://create.canterbury.ac.uk>

Please cite this publication as follows:

MacInnes, D. L., Parrott, F. and Parrott, J. (2015) Mental illness and parenthood: being a parent in secure psychiatric care. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health*, 25 (4). pp. 258-272. ISSN 1471-2857.

Link to official URL (if available):

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbm.1948>

This version is made available in accordance with publishers' policies. All material made available by CReaTE is protected by intellectual property law, including copyright law. Any use made of the contents should comply with the relevant law.

Contact: [create.library@canterbury.ac.uk](mailto:create.library@canterbury.ac.uk)



# **Mental illness and parenthood: being a parent in secure psychiatric care**

**Short title/running head: Parenthood in secure psychiatric care**

Fiona R. Parrott<sup>1</sup>, Douglas L. MacInnes<sup>2</sup>, and Janet Parrott<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Amsterdam Institute for Social Science Research, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Health and Social Care Research, Faculty of Health, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, Kent, UK

<sup>3</sup>Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust, The Bracton Centre, Dartford, Kent, UK

Corresponding Author: Dr Fiona Parrott

Dep. Sociology & Anthropology, University of Amsterdam, OZ Achterburgwal 185

1012 DK Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Email: [f.r.parrott@uva.nl](mailto:f.r.parrott@uva.nl)

**Keywords:** mothers, fathers, parenting, mental illness, secure psychiatric care

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Research into parenting and mental illness seldom includes forensic mental health service users, despite its relevance to therapeutic, family work and risk management.

**Aims:** To understand the experiences of parents and the variety of parenting roles maintained during admission to a secure forensic hospital.

**Methods:** Narrative interviews with eighteen parents (8 mothers, 10 fathers) at an English medium security hospital were analysed thematically, using the framework approach. The proportion of patients who are parents and their contact patterns with their children were estimated from records.

**Results:** About a quarter of men and 38% of women were parents. Parenthood was of central importance to their emotional life, spanning experiences of loss, shame and failed expectations, joy, responsibility and hope. Fewer fathers maintained contact with their children than mothers, yet fatherhood remained a vital aspect of men's identities, with impact on their self-esteem. Parenting during lengthy admissions - while constrained and dependent on professional support and surveillance – ranged from sending gifts and money to visits and phone calls. Offending was seen as a particularly shameful aspect of admission, contributing to distancing from own risk and difficulty explaining detention to children.

**Conclusions:** Such complex experiences call for multi-disciplinary knowledge and skills. Provision of focused therapy, as well as appropriate visiting spaces, creative approaches to contact time, and support for patients in explaining their mental illness and detention to their children are recommended.



## INTRODUCTION

Forensic mental health services provide assessment and treatment for people with mental disorder who are charged with or convicted of a criminal offence and/or pose a serious risk to other people. About a third of forensic in-patients are parents, some retaining contact and even a care-giving role with their child(ren), (Chao and Kuti 2009; Gow et al., 2010; Robinson and Scott 2007; Riddleston, 2012). Research from the perspective of mothers and fathers with severe mental illness alone highlights the rewards, anxieties and demands of parenting, (Nicholson et al., 1998; Diaz-Caneja and Johnson 2004; Evenson et al., 2008), but has not been extended to parents in specialist forensic services. Here violent or other serious antisocial behaviour commonly involving family members (Chao and Kuti, 2009), and substance misuse (Mullen 2000) further complicate parent-child relationships. For some, the index crime was the killing of his/her own child (Friedman et al., 2005; West et al., 2009). The views and experiences of such parents are important in managing risk and promoting the interests of the child(ren) (Royal College of Psychiatrists (2002; 2011).

Research with women hospitalised by severe mental illness suggests that recognising their parental roles and grief over lost relationships with children should be central to recovery-orientated care (Dipple et al., 2002; Benders-Hadi et al., 2013). Male psychiatric patients' experiences of fatherhood remains almost entirely unresearched (Evenson et al., 2008; Grube, 2011), perhaps partly because they are less likely to be in contact with their children (Chao and Kuti 2009). General psychiatric studies highlight the range of effects of parental mental disorder on children (Howard et al., 2004; Smith 2004).

Given the knowledge gap about forensic mental health service users, our aim was to examine their experiences of parenting in depth, through interview.

## **METHOD**

### ***Ethical approval***

Ethical approval was received from the NHS National Research Ethics Service, Guy's Research Ethics Committee (REC ref 10/H0804/12) and The London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Research Ethics Committee (REC ref 5660).

### ***Study sample and recruitment***

An independent review of clinical and social work records of all male and female in-patients in the secure hospital unit at a date in 2010 was carried out to establish their demographics, the point prevalence of parenthood and extent of contact between those parents and their children.

One male and one female admission ward, the long-term male accommodation and male and female pre-discharge accommodation (totalling 46 male beds; 12 female beds), were theoretically sampled, as we envisaged that relationships to children might differ by gender and treatment or rehabilitative stage. Information about the study was presented at ward community meetings with an invitation to participate. Participants gave written, informed consent to interview and for anonymous quotations to be used in publications. Due to the small number of women, three additional mothers were recruited from subsequent admissions in 2011 in order to achieve data saturation - the point at which repetition of themes occurs.

### ***Data Collection and Analysis***

A topic guide for narrative interviews (Riessman, 2006) on parenthood and parenting was developed in collaboration with an expert reference group of a service user and clinicians. This focused on parenting practice, identity and aspirations, and the role and impact of illness and admission to a secure hospital unit, the latter covering views on facilities, procedures, constraints and supports

relating to the current admission. Interviews of 30-70 minutes were conducted in private by the first author, a trained qualitative researcher, recorded and transcribed. Initial non-directive prompts, such as 'tell me a bit about yourself and your interest in this study' elicited accounts of children, feelings and relevant personal relationships. Open-ended questions were posed until both guiding topics and any unforeseen issues had been discussed.

Transcripts were analysed using the framework approach (Ritchie and Spencer 1994; Pope et al., 2000). A detailed index of the data was created from thorough reading of the transcripts, and charts created for each topic, using condensed summaries and key quotations. The authors then discussed overarching associations, for example, gendering of themes, and potential explanations for the findings. 'Silences' within the data as well as positive content were considered.

## **RESULTS**

### **Prevalence and patterns of parenting**

Demographic data on the total secure hospital population of 91 men and 24 women are shown in table 1. Their median age was 34 years. They were ethnically diverse. Almost all who had previously been married were divorced or separated but most had never married (71% men, 65% women). Most people had schizophrenia; half had convictions for serious interpersonal violence. Around a third of offences involved family members, a minority involved children. The longest current admission was 51 months for women (median 16.5 months) and 122 months for men (median 24 months).

Table 1 about here

Twenty-four (27%) men and nine (38%) women were parents (Table 2). On average, the men had one child (maximum 5) and women two children (maximum 3). The majority had at least one child

under the age of eighteen. Eighteen parents (eight mothers and ten fathers) completed in-depth interviews.

### Contact

Two thirds of mothers and a quarter of fathers were in contact with their children, meeting weekly to bi-annually (Table 3). Children tended to be seen more frequently by mothers. Most children with contact had visited their parent at the hospital. This made parenthood visible at defined times on-site and in records. In-depth interviews showed parents relied on a range of forms of direct and indirect communication, including news about their children. Figure 1 maps these informal and formal channels.

### Care arrangements

Children lived with their other parent, extended family members, adoptive or foster parents, with different arrangements pertaining to each sibling in some cases. Care arrangements varied by gender and influenced contact. Closed adoptions accounted for the loss of direct contact between mothers and children aged below eighteen. Men's partners usually remained the primary carer. Interviews suggested that fewer fathers had lived with their children prior to admission and some fathers had lost touch. Place of residence further complicated contact, for instance, the children of interviewed parents lived elsewhere in the UK, as well further afield in Europe, Africa, the Caribbean and North America.

Tables 2 & 3 about here

Figure 1 about here



## **Themes of parenthood**

### ***Parenthood and Self-identity***

Participants described being a parent as a continuous aspect of their identity:

It never stops; it's something you just are (SU17-mother).

An identity they wanted remembered by staff:

They should recognise first and foremost that we are parents (Service User-11).

Being out of contact didn't stop parents thinking of their children:

Wondering how they are, what they look like now (SU7-father).

Some parents had photographs in their rooms from when they lived with their children. This also raised awareness of parental status among nursing staff. Others found pictures too painful. While different institutional and contact histories were important in shaping experience, they bore little relationship to strength of parental feeling, although being a parent in their children's eyes depended on making and sustaining the relationship:

Luckily my children see me as a mum, rather than someone in hospital (SU16- mother).

Parenthood was a source of joy:

Having someone to love, totally and unconditionally (SU14-mother)

Seeing them smile (SU11-mother).

Pleasure at having built a relationship with a child was, however, coupled with the pain of being unable to maintain it, at least as wished.

Mothers were more likely to talk with their psychologist about these issues than fathers, and had found this helpful.

### ***Impact of Mental illness on Parenting***

Mothers' narratives focused on the multiple impacts of mental illness on their parenting. 'Being unwell' included periods of being unable to take care of oneself, fears about psychotic symptoms, difficulties in communicating and in coping with the children's needs:

If you can't look after yourself, you can't look after them (SU13-mother).

If I sit there and want to write a letter [to my children] the noise that comes into my head, I can't even make sentences... (SU12-mother).

"Drug issues" were perceived as an even greater complication to parenting with mental illness:

I used a lot of cannabis and didn't look after my kids (SU5-father).

They were perceived as a major contributor to custody-loss.

Parents rarely saw themselves escaping the burden of living with severe mental illness:

I wish I could have been a normal parent without any mental health problems (SU16-mother).

Admission brought mixed feelings. Three women found comfort from knowing their children had not been with them at their most unwell. Equally, dealing with distress associated with separation from children could be overwhelming. One father described how losing and re-gaining contact with his child affected his wellbeing:

I attempted suicide I was so depressed... [now] just knowing what they are up to lifts your mood (SU3-father).

For those with some contact, the desire to be a good parent was a strong motivator to 'get better', 'stay well' and 'not mess up'. One mother summarised:

... to get out and be there, to have as much contact as possible and do normal mother-daughter things (SU16-mother).

A father with chronic illness in long-stay accommodation considered that becoming "more insightful" about his illness was key to developing a good relationship with his daughter. Medication was generally favoured, but sometimes seen as complicating parenting, for example by drowsiness:

It was nice for them to see 'yes Mummy does take medication now, but Mummy's quite normal. She's not strange, she's the same Mummy really' so they were really happy (SU18-mother).

### ***Parental concepts of offending and risk***

Offending behaviour was seen as a particularly shameful aspect of admission by almost all participants. Several fathers gave this as a reason for not contacting their children:

They wouldn't understand....I can't tell them why I'm in hospital. I committed a crime (SU10-father).

Mothers described the secure architecture:

It looks like a jail with the locks and doors (SU12-mother),

They hoped visiting children understood that the unit was a hospital 'not a prison'. Men without contact were more likely to justify the unit as 'no place for children' saying:

... people [here] have got offences (SU1-father).

Participants usually referred to their own offence in generic terms - 'what happened' -when describing their illness. An exception was the one participant whose offending directly involved her

children. Women tended to focus on the impact of their mental state on their parenting capacity and risk rather than on risk as a separate concept:

It is hard if you're mentally ill and have children. I'll never hurt my children...but you never know what you are going to hear (SU12-mother).

Some participants explicitly distanced themselves from their own risk:

I mean obviously people that have tried to hurt their children, I mean I can't understand that at all, someone wanting to hurt their own child. 'Cos ill as I was, I was so paranoid I would sleep next to my son's bed with a hammer just in case someone was going to hurt him, like my main thing was making sure he was okay (SU18-mother).

Occasionally, fathers mentioned the direct impact of offence behaviour on contact with their child, in terms of their need to convey to the child's mother that they were "not threatening", or in relation to perceptions of risk by external agencies such as Social Services:

A person who doesn't know me thinks I'm dangerous (SU3-father);

I've never been allowed out legally with my son - spent all my time in here (SU6-father).

### ***Parenting from within the unit – maintaining relationships***

#### *Child visits*

Contact with children during admission was primarily arranged by forensic social workers at the unit, if risk assessment by the multi-disciplinary team determines that visits can safely occur. Children under 18 years are subject to local child protection procedures, under which the child's interests are paramount. Parents acknowledged this necessity, but found it frustrating to wait for agreement to see their own children. It seemed unfair to one mother that she had seen her children immediately

in prison but had to wait weeks for permission when transferred to the unit. Trust could however, be established in the process of seeking contact, especially if it involved liaison with the family court:

My team...have worked really hard (SU3-mother).

Forensic social workers sometimes mediated in relationships with the children's primary carers. The nature of relationships with, for instance, ex-partners, played a pivotal role in maintenance of regular visits:

He was a shitty partner, but I don't know what I would have done without their Dad (SU17-mother).

All visits are supervised. Parents found this intrusive if they felt this made their child nervous or unable to focus:

... trying to entertain everyone in the room (SU17-mother).

Note-taking when it occurred was experienced as distracting (parents suggested notes be written afterwards), yet being observed was also a chance to show one's capacity as a parent:

... shows how I am with my kid (SU3-father)

It's when they actually remember I'm a mother (SU11-mother).

Preparing for a visit was enjoyed. Parents bought games and toys, and help from staff was appreciated. Parents encouraged children in school:

They showed me all their report cards and projects they've been doing and I saw loads of their schoolwork. I was really proud of them (SU11-mother).

On-site visits were the only option for parents without escorted leave, but meeting at a child contact centre or park, with space and a family atmosphere, was preferred. Visiting the unit could involve a long journey for the child, so some parents were taken to a venue nearer the child's

home, in one instance outside the UK. While one mother saw her children weekly and another had transferred to this unit to be nearer her children, some did not receive the external support required:

Although I can see them 4 days each month, I've only seen them twice in one year (SU16-mother).

#### *Keeping in touch, sending money and receiving news*

Other forms of keeping in touch were viewed as vital. Several mothers called young children every day after school, including children who lived abroad. Mothers also called their children's father, advising him

what to cook them, what lotion to use on their skin (SU12-mother).

One father (SU4) phoned to remind his daughter to visit or to "ring her Nan", while other parents exchanged emails and received photographs from older children. Mothers were reassured if they knew their letters were being read to young children:

... then I'd feel a bit better 'cos it was all down on paper and he was going to get it. I'd address it to his social worker and then he reads it with him (SU18-mother).

Sending birthday and Christmas presents, often with staff help, was highly valued. Receiving news, photos or a child's drawings from relatives was sometimes the only way parents heard about them. For mothers of children in closed adoptions, receiving an annual report and photographs was a precious right. Sending money, saved from benefits or unit jobs, carried particular emotional weight for men:

You've got to provide, that's the way I was brought up; the men provide (SU9-father).

You might not believe this – it's the responsibility [I feel] – even though I haven't got much, no contact. I save and send £100 each birthday and £40 a month (SU6-father).

Not being able to do so, impacted negatively:

I felt totally inadequate because I couldn't help him financially...through university. It wasn't enough (SU2-father).

Some parents tried to earn money in occupational therapy to increase their contributions.

### ***Explaining mental illness and detention to children***

Parents experienced great difficulty explaining admission to a forensic unit. A child's age played a decisive role in selecting what to tell them. Parents told children they were unwell and in hospital but said that young children had trouble understanding why their parent couldn't leave, and why there was nothing visibly wrong. Parents used explanations such as:

Sometimes the mind doesn't work properly and needs to be fixed with tablets – I say tablets even though I'm on depot (SU13-mother).

One mother found it helpful for that the child's guardian spoke to the child about what to expect. Explanations became easier as children reached young adulthood, but disclosing offence details remained hard. It took six months and staff support for one mother to tell her young adult children what had happened:

I was so ashamed – they asked, 'how could this happen Mum, you're a good person' (SU15-mother).

Parents who were able to explain their mental illness and detention, however, found it important:

If you're not part of their life they need to know why...you reassure them it's going to be ok and tell them you are always in my thoughts (SU16-mother).

## **DISCUSSION**

Being a parent has an enormous impact on a patient's quality of life, in the sense that for many being a parent is what defines them and their lives in multiple ways, despite variation in the nature of their parent-child relationships and contact patterns. Mignon and Ransford (2012) have noted that child contact is associated with more responsible parenting and that a parent can lose their parental drive and skills if contact is unable to be maintained. Schen's (2005) work examining women in secure units and prisons concluded that without an ongoing relationship with their child, a parent's identity as a mother is easily forgotten by others. The impact of separation of the parent from their child has been noted in previous research with increased levels of stress, depression and feelings of loss (Houck and Loper 2002; Poehlmann 2005; Schen 2005; Mignon and Ransford 2012; Dolan et al. 2013). Conversely, frequent and flexible communication with children is correlated with reduced parenting stress (Houck and Loper 2002). We highlighted the importance of activities such as phoning, emailing, sending birthday presents and money. These help maintain a place for the parent in kinship networks, even when not the principal carer of their child (Parrott, 2010).

Overall, fewer men than women were in touch with their children, yet interviews showed that fatherhood and their ability or failure to provide for their children was important to them, impacting on their self-esteem. Robinson and Scott (2007) reported that male detained patients were less likely to live with their children when not in hospital and the limited number of, mainly prison studies, examining parenting from a male perspective note male prisoners often did not have ongoing relationships with the mothers of their children making it difficult to locate their children or have ongoing contact with them (Magaletta and Herbst, 2001). Visits allow the father to maintain a critical, lifelong relationship that helps to allow it to continue once the father is released. The greatest fear of imprisoned fathers is that they are powerless and cannot be involved in the upbringing of their child and also that their children will forget them. This is perhaps most clearly



illustrated in Hairston's (1995) study which found that 50% of fathers in her study were unable to state one area of parenting they did from prison.

Parents in forensic care, like most other parents, described their feelings of love and responsibility towards their children, yet feelings of loss, shame, guilt or inadequacy about parenthood which fell short of their own aspirations were prominent. Negative feelings were strongest among parents of either sex who had lost touch with their children, although the reasons for this tended to differ.

Dipple et al. (2002) emphasise that recovery-orientated care should include acknowledging lost parental roles. Robinson and Scott (2007) found the vast majority of children of detained patients did not live with them but typically with their partners' parents, their ex-partner, or immediate family. Mignon and Ransford (2012) found grandparents were the most likely people to act as caregivers for the children (nearly 50% of their cohort). Both men and women navigated fraught relationships with former partners with Poehlmann (2005) reporting conflicts between mothers and caregivers resulted in less contact between the mother and child. A study of male prisoners noted that the relationship with the mother can mean that the child is used as a tactical weapon in the battle between the two making contact difficult (Hairston, 1991).

Participants described their mental state as dynamic and related parenting capacity or the quality of the relationship to their well-being. This conceptualisation aligns closely with that of mental health professionals though there is disagreement over the impact of mental health distress on parenting with Schen (2005) proposing there is evidence that a diagnosis of mental illness, including schizophrenia does not bring about damaged parenting while Dolan et al (2013) suggest parents with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders show a range of disturbances of parenting. The Mental Health Foundation (2007) noted parents with mental health problems may struggle to manage their parenting role. Putting their children's needs first can mean parents avoid hospital

admissions or stop taking medication that makes them tired or unable to think clearly. Exploring parental concepts of offending and risk suggested it was extremely difficult for parents to consider the possibility that they could harm their child, though parents could hold negative views of other patients as parents.

Supervision of these parental relationships could be experienced as surveillance or support, depending on circumstances. Inevitably phase of admission was a factor, and whether escorted leave outside the unit was possible. The role of the staff in helping to support parents to have ongoing contact with their children is noted by Robinson and Scott (2007). They state the majority of secure mental health settings in the United Kingdom did not provide child friendly spaces for family visits with amenities for older children extremely limited. The greatest barrier to parents receiving visits was the perceived sense of stigma associated with mental illness among the users and their families which was not addressed by staff. Staff were highlighted as a major source of support for users and their families but the authors suggest they could play a more proactive role in facilitating contact.

Parents reflected on the difficulty, even the impossibility, of explaining the relationship between mental illness, offending and detention to their children. Robinson and Scott (2007) noted that parents often do not discuss the reasons for their stay in a secure mental health unit as they fear overburdening of their children and that they will also be stigmatised. When supported, we found parents also valued the opportunity to convey why they were away, unable to be their carer or provide financially.

### ***Limitations***

Our results may not be generalisable to other forensic settings, despite inclusion of a diverse range of people, as unit-wide priorities, resources and policies may moderate the individuals' experiences.

Service users who participated in interviews may have been more receptive to the topic than non-participants and we studied only parental perceptions. Appropriate exploration of children's perceptions would be valuable (Gladstone et al., 2006).

### ***Implications for mental health practice***

These complex experiences call for multi-disciplinary knowledge, skills and commitment. Provision of focused therapy, creative approaches to contact time, and support for patients in explaining their mental illness and detention to their children are recommended; Access to appropriate visiting facilities, communication technologies and finance is essential.

### **Acknowledgements**

We thank the participants and the research advisory panel. We are grateful to Pamela Taylor, George Harrison, Liz Lloyd, Rein Houben, Astrid Blystad and Marcia Inhorn for their feedback.

The research was supported by the Forensic Education and Research Fund, Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust. The first author was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research.

## REFERENCES

- Benders-Hadi, N, Barber, M, Alexander, M (2013). Motherhood in women with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric Quarterly* 84(1): 65-72.
- Chao, O, Kuti, G (2009). Supporting children of forensic in-patients: whose role is it? *Psychiatric Bulletin* 33(2): 55-57.
- Cunningham, J, Oyeboode, F, Vostanis, P (2000). Children of mothers admitted to psychiatric hospital: Care arrangements and mothers' perceptions. *Child Psychology and Psychiatric Review* 5(3): 114-119.
- Diaz-Caneja, A, Johnson, S (2004). The views and experiences of severely mentally ill mothers - A qualitative study. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 39(6): 472-482.
- Dipple, H, Smith, S, Andrews, H, Evans, B (2002). The experience of motherhood in women with severe and enduring mental illness. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 37(7): 336-340.
- Dolan, R, Birmingham, L, Mullee, M, Gregoire, A (2013). The mental health of imprisoned mothers of young children: a follow-up study. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology* 24 (4) 421-439.
- Evenson, E, Rhodes, J, Feigenbaum, J, Solly, A (2008). The experiences of fathers with psychosis. *Journal of Mental Health* 17(6): 629-642.
- Friedman, SH, Hrouda, DR, Holden, CE, Noffsinger, SG, Resnick, PJ (2005). Child murder committed by severely mentally ill mothers: an examination of mothers found not guilty by reason of insanity. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 50(6): 1466-1471.
- Gladstone, BM, Boydell, KM, McKeever, P (2006). Recasting research into children's experiences of parental mental illness: Beyond risk and resilience. *Social Science & Medicine* 62(10): 2540- 2550.
- Gow, RL, Choo, M, Darjee, M, Gould, S, Steele, S (2010). A demographic study of the Orchard Clinic: Scotland's first medium secure unit. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology* 21(1): 139-155.
- Grube, M (2011). Fatherhood and mental illness. *Psychiatrische Praxis* 38(1): 16-22.
- Hairston, CF (1991) Family ties during imprisonment: Important to whom and for what? *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare* 18(1): 85-102.
- Hairston, CF (1995). Fathers in prison. In Johnston, D, Gable, K (eds) *Children of Incarcerated Parents*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books pp 31-40.
- Hawes, V, Cottell, D (1999). Disruption of children's lives by maternal psychiatric admission. *Psychiatric Bulletin* 23: 153-156.
- Houck, KDF, Loper, AB (2002). The relationship of parenting stress to adjustment among mothers in prison. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry* 72(4): 548-58.
- Howard, LM, Thornicroft, G, Salmon, M, Appleby, L (2004). Predictors of parenting outcome in women with psychotic disorders discharged from mother and baby units. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 110(5): 347-355.

- Joseph, JG, Joshi, SV, Lewin, AB, Abrams, M (1999). Characteristics and perceived needs of mothers with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric Services* 50(10): 1357-1359.
- Magaletta, PR, Herbst, DP (2001). Fathering from prison: common struggles and successful solutions. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training* 38(1): 88-96.
- Mental Health Foundation (2007) <http://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/help-information/mental-health-a-z/P/parents/> [16 Oct 2014]
- Mignon, SL, Ransford, P (2012). Mothers in prison: maintaining connections with children. *Social Work in Public Health* 27(1-2): 69-88.
- Mullen, PE (2000). Forensic mental health. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 176: 307-311.
- Nicholson, J., E. M. Sweeney and J.L. Geller. (1998). "Mothers with mental illness: I. The competing demands of parenting and living with mental illness." *Psychiatric Services* 49(5): 635-642.
- Parrott, FR (2010). 'Real relationships': sociable interaction, material culture and imprisonment in a secure psychiatric unit. *Culture Medicine Psychiatry* 34(4): 555-570.
- Poehlmann, J (2005). Representations of attachment relationships in children of incarcerated mothers. *Child Development* 76(3): 679-96.
- Pope, C, Ziebland, S, Mays, N (2000). Qualitative research in health care - Analysing qualitative data (Reprinted from *Qualitative Research in Health Care*). *British Medical Journal* 320(7227): 114-116.
- Riessman, C. K. (2006). Narrative Interviewing. In Jupp, V (ed) *The SAGE Dictionary of Social Research Methods*. London: SAGE pp 190-192.
- Ritchie, J, Spencer, L (1994). Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In Bryman, A, Burgess, RG (eds) *Analyzing Qualitative Data*. London: Routledge pp 173-194.
- Robinson, B, Scott, S (2007). *Parents in hospital: How mental health services can best promote family contact when a parent is in hospital*. Ilford: Barnardo's.
- Royal College of Psychiatrists (2002). *Patients as parents: Addressing the needs, including the safety, of children whose parents have mental illness*. Council Report (CR105). Royal College of Psychiatrists: London.
- Royal College of Psychiatrists (2011). *Parents as patients: supporting the needs of patients who are parents and their children*. Council Report (CR164). Royal College of Psychiatrists: London.
- Seeman, MV (2012). Intervention to prevent child custody loss in mothers with schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research and Treatment* 2012: 796763. DOI:10.1155/2012/796763
- Schen, CR (2005). When mothers leave their children behind. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry* 13(4):233-43.
- Smith, M (2004). Parental mental health: disruptions to parenting and outcomes for children. *Child & Family Social Work* 9(1): 3-11.

Taylor, PJ, Jones, R, Lougher, M (submitted). Mental disorder, offending and motherhood.

West, SG, Friedman, SH, Resnick, PJ (2009). Fathers who kill their children: an analysis of the literature. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 54(2): 463-468.