



CREaTE

Canterbury Research and Theses Environment

Canterbury Christ Church University's repository of research outputs

<http://create.canterbury.ac.uk>

Please cite this publication as follows:

Scales, J., Coleman, D. A., O'Driscoll, J. and Brown, Mathew (2018) Characteristics of torque production of the lower limb are significantly altered after 2 hours of treadmill load carriage. *Translational Sports Medicine*, 2 (1). ISSN 2573-8488.

Link to official URL (if available):

<https://doi.org/10.1002/tsm2.54>

This version is made available in accordance with publishers' policies. All material made available by CReaTE is protected by intellectual property law, including copyright law. Any use made of the contents should comply with the relevant law.

Contact: create.library@canterbury.ac.uk



1 **Characteristics of torque production of the lower limb are**
2 **significantly altered after two hours of treadmill load carriage**

3
4 **Running Head: Prolonged load carriage**

5 *Authors: James Scales, Damian Coleman, Jamie O'Driscoll, Mathew Brown*

6
7 Corresponding author

8 Dr James Scales

9 Queen Mary University London

10 Yvonne Carter Building, 58 Turner St, E1 2AB

11 07533897264

12 Scales-j@hotmail.co.uk

13 Twitter: @scalesJF

14
15
16 All other authors:

17 *Canterbury Christ Church University*

18 *North Holmes Rd*

19 *Canterbury*

20 *UK*

21 *CT1 1QU*

22
23
24
25
26 Conflict of interest: Authors report no conflict of interests. No funding was received.

27
28
29 Acknowledgements: None

42 **Abstract**

43 Load carriage is seldom completed in isolation, meaning load bearers need to be physically
44 capable of physical activity after the load carriage task. This study aims to examine changes in
45 lower limb muscle strength, as measured by torque production across a range of joint angles as
46 a result of prolonged load carriage. Thirty-four healthy participants underwent two hours of
47 loaded or unloaded treadmill load carriage, with lower limb muscle function variables assessed
48 pre and post activity. The loaded group had a mass of (Mean(range)) 76.45 (27.12)kg, stature:
49 178.56 (17.63)cm, age: 23(6)yrs, and comprised of 13 males and 3 females. While the unloaded
50 group had a body mass of 73.69(24.19)kg, stature: 178.89(18.49)cm, age: 22(5)yrs and
51 comprised of 14 males and 4 females. Significant reductions across a range of parameters were
52 observed. Characterised by reductions at the optimum muscle length for torque output, with all
53 aspects demonstrating large (knee extension at $180^{\circ}\cdot s^{-1}$: 0.51 Standardised SD, knee extension
54 at $60^{\circ}\cdot s^{-1}$: 0.98 standardised SD) or extremely large individual differences (knee flexion at
55 $180^{\circ}\cdot s^{-1}$: 2.17 standardised SD). These findings suggest after the completion of the load
56 carriage task participants are in a significantly reduced physical state, which may have
57 implications for secondary tasks.

58

59 **Key words:**

60 Joint angle, Muscle length, Torque curve, Prolonged exercise, Military

61

62

63 **Introduction**

64

65 The capacity to safely carry external loads is a requirement in many occupational settings,
66 including the military ¹, firefighting and other emergency services ². In these settings there are
67 frequently secondary tasks which require substantial exertion, such as moving over obstacles
68 ³, climbing ladders or evacuating casualties ⁴. Furthermore, load carriage is seldom completed
69 in isolation, meaning upon completion of the load carriage, the load carrier needs to be
70 physically capable to undergo occupational tasks such as setting up military positions or to
71 execute attacks involving high intensity activity, such as sprinting or skilful activities, such as
72 shooting⁵, while emergency services personnel may be required to undertake lifesaving

73 activities. Consequently, this study will assess the impact of prolonged load carriage on the
74 torque producing capacity of the major muscle groups associated with locomotion.

75

76 Muscular function is accurately assessed by measuring the ability of a muscle or muscle group
77 to generate force. While electromyography analysis provides a commentary on muscle fibre
78 recruitment it cannot directly report the change in force produced by the muscle. Isokinetic
79 dynamometry is commonly used to study changes in the muscles force producing capability⁶
80 as the findings can be related directly to ability to complete real world tasks, making it a
81 uniquely relevant tool to study load carriage.

82

83 It has previously been demonstrated that externally carried loads cause a number of acute
84 changes to lower limb muscle torque output, which are cited as markers for injury risk ⁷. These
85 alterations are characterised by a reduction in ankle plantarflexion and knee extension and
86 flexion, as measured by peak torque, following a two hour bout of treadmill load carriage task
87 ^{7,8}. These findings are important, as it has been previously identified that plantarflexion peak
88 force output is associated with braking impulse and energy cost and knee peak torque output
89 has been associated with energy cost ⁹. The use of peak torque as a measure of force producing
90 capacity of the muscle could be viewed as an oversimplification, given that previous research
91 has shown the timing and muscle length (as measured by joint angle) at which peak torque
92 occurs can change with movement velocity and fatigue, as such if peak torque shifts from the
93 optimum position it suggests there is a delay in muscle activation suggesting less economical
94 gait and a greater injury risk ^{10,11}. Therefore, it may be useful to support peak torque assessment
95 with torque measurements at multiple joint angles.

96

97 This work aims to use an occupationally relevant model of load carriage⁷ to assess the torque
98 output of the knee flexors and extensors and the ankle plantarflexors and dorsiflexors
99 throughout the torque curve. It is hypothesised that as a result of load carriage peak torque will
100 be reduced characterised by a reduction of torque across the range of the movement and by a
101 shift in the angle of peak torque. This will be the first study to conduct an assessment of torque-
102 length relationship following load carriage. This method will provide a greater understanding
103 of the change in muscle behaviour as a result of an occupationally relevant load carriage task.

104

105 **Materials and Methods**

106 **Participants**

107 Voluntary, informed consent was collected from 34 healthy participants. Participants were
108 matched according to gender, body mass, lower limb strength (all measures), stature, and age.
109 The loaded group had a mass of (Mean(range)) 76.45(27.12)kg, stature: 178.56(17.63)cm, age:
110 23(6)yrs, and comprised of 13 males and 3 females. While the unloaded group had a body mass
111 of 73.69(24.19) kg, stature: 178.89(18.49)cm, age: 22(5)yrs and comprised of 14 males and 4
112 females (Lower limb strength of both groups are presented in table 1). When assessed via t-
113 tests no statistically significant differences were observed between groups.

114

115 Ethical approval was attained from the university ethics committee and all procedures were
116 performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013). To participate in the
117 laboratory studies the participants were required to meet the following inclusion criteria: 18-
118 32 years old, be free from musculoskeletal injury and disorders, which may obviously alter
119 gait, must sufficiently complete a pre-exercise physical activity questionnaire, must be taller
120 than 163cm and must weigh more than 50kg. These criteria ensured participants reflected
121 physical characteristics of a military cohort¹².

122

123

124 **Experimental Design**

125 The study was conducted in a parallel controlled group design with both conditions running
126 concurrently. Participants walked on a level motorised treadmill (Woodway ELG, Birmingham,
127 UK)(0% gradient) for 120minutes, at 6.5km·h⁻¹, which is a commonly used speed and duration
128 as it reflects the pace and task duration used in the British Army annual load carriage task¹².

129

130 Participants consumed water with no restrictions during the treadmill protocol, which reflected
131 the occupational military setting. The bottle from which the water was drunk was not carried
132 within the load carriage system.

133

134 (Insert Figure 1 about here)

135

136 The loaded condition consisted of a 32kg external load spread across, webbing (10kg), bergen
137 (15kg) and a dummy rifle (7kg) (Figure 1), this load was chosen as it reflects the load carriage
138 system carried during the annual British Army and US Marine Corps load carriage test.

139 However the load is heavier than the load carried by Greek Soldiers (17kg) who carry the load
140 for a longer distance (21km) during their annual load carriage test. During the task, participants
141 wore their own walking boots, shirt, and shorts. Participants were advised to wear a polo neck
142 shirt to avoid the rifle sling rubbing the neck causing skin sores.

143

144 Before and after the treadmill protocol, participants underwent isokinetic and isometric testing.
145 The test order was the same on each occasion and conducted at approximately the same time
146 of day (early morning) to control for diurnal variation in the force producing capabilities of the
147 muscles¹³.

148

149 **Lower Limb Strength**

150

151 Isokinetic knee assessment was conducted on the right limb using a Biodex System 3 Pro
152 (Biodex: New York: USA). The right leg was chosen for all measurement to allow comparison
153 to previous research⁷. The set up followed BASES guidelines as they were seated in the chair
154 and secured with straps 5cm above the lateral malleolus, with their hips and knee joints at
155 approximately 90°, with the inclusion of placing the left leg behind a restraining webbing strap
156 to limit a countermovement swing. Before testing participants were instructed to undergo the
157 entire protocol at a submaximal effort (self-perceived 30% effort- confirmed post hoc from a
158 subsample of five participants) to familiarise the participant with the test protocol.

159

160 For ankle assessment, participants were seated in the chair and secured with straps. The thigh
161 supporting attachment was used to ensure a hip angle of approximately 80° and a knee angle
162 of approximately 170°, again the right limb was used.

163

164 The test protocol consisted of a maximal voluntary isometric knee extension and flexion and
165 then one set of eight maximal contractions of the knee extensors and flexors at speeds of 60°·s⁻¹
166 and 180°·s⁻¹. The ankle test protocol consisted of maximal voluntary isometric plantarflexion
167 contraction followed by one set of eight maximal contractions of the ankle during dorsi and
168 plantar flexion at speeds of 60°·s⁻¹ and 120°·s⁻¹. These speeds were chosen to ensure relevant
169 to previous work in the field^{7,8}.

170

171 The tests were conducted in the order of isometric flexion, 30 second rest, isometric extension,
172 60 second rest, isokinetic knee flexion and extension at $60^{\circ}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, 30 second rest and isokinetic
173 knee flexion and extension at $180^{\circ}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. Ankle testing was conducted in the same order with
174 $120^{\circ}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ being the final measurement. The testing order was chosen as it has been observed that
175 when participants who have a limited experience of isokinetic dynamometry are tested, higher
176 reliability scores are observed when lower rotation speeds are used first¹⁴.

177

178 Maximal voluntary contraction score for isometric contractions were considered the single
179 highest recorded value. For the isokinetic contractions, visual basic code was used to highlight
180 the start and end of each repetition, then for each repetition the highest torque value registered
181 was extracted from each of the eight repetitions on the condition that the target velocity was
182 attained. The highest five out of eight values were averaged to be presented as the peak torque
183 score. This method of averaging was chosen as it was frequently observed that participants
184 took three trials to present accurate and reliable results¹⁰. However, during data analysis it was
185 highlighted that a small number of participants achieved higher torques in the first three
186 repetitions, this method allowed for both events to be accurately portrayed.

187

188 Torque at specific joint angles was extracted at 5° intervals including all measurements at
189 which the participant achieved target velocity. Knee joint angle was defined as the internal
190 measurement of the knee angle. For example, if the leg is fully extended the angle would be
191 180° , while a seated position would present a joint angle of approximately 90° . Joint angles
192 were derived from the lever position reported by the isokinetic dynamometer, values which
193 occurred during the target velocity were exported in raw format and were processed in excel.

194

195 **Environmental Conditions**

196 Environmental temperature and humidity were monitored (ATP: UK) during all the testing
197 periods. No statistically significant differences in environmental temperature were observed
198 during testing (Mean: SD), with a temperature of $18.7:2.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $50.1:9.4\%$.

199

200 **Statistical Analysis**

201 SPSS for windows version 23 (SPSS, Chicago, USA) and Excel (Microsoft: USA) was used
202 for statistical analyses. Distribution of the data was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test for
203 normality. Subsequently, differences between groups were assessed using independent group

204 t-tests with an alpha level set at 0.05. Analysis of the change score enabled normalization to
205 baseline. Before change scores were compared and normalized to body mass the data was log
206 transformed and plotted to ensure that it did not violate scaling guidelines¹⁵

207

208 Analysis of the torque at joint angles was examined by three way mixed methods ANOVA of
209 the change scores once normality was confirmed. Post hoc pairwise analysis was conducted to
210 confirm the significant differences at individual joint angles. Effect sizes were presented as
211 d_{Glass} . The primary measure of individual differences was conducted using standardised
212 standard deviations¹⁶. Qualitative thresholds were taken from Smith, Hopkins¹⁷. Sample size
213 was calculated using G*Power¹⁸ using means and standard deviations drawn from the live data
214 to confirm the study was sufficiently powered. The variable examined was taken from previous
215 work within our lab and recruitment was stopped when sufficient sample size was met for the
216 variables of knee extension 60°s^{-1} (n=9 participants per group).

217

218 **Results**

219 **Adverse Events**

220 No participants experienced any major injury as a result of this study. However, six participants
221 experienced blisters on their feet as a result of the load carriage protocol and three participants
222 noted hotspots due to the load carriage equipment rubbing on their shoulders and hips.
223 Grannuflex (a hydrocolloid, moisture retentive wound dressing) and zink-oxide tape were
224 provided to the participants during and after the study, and the participants were advised to
225 wear polo neck shirts. Participants reported that these were very useful in mitigating the skin
226 sores.

227

228 **Sample Size Profile**

229 Three participants failed to complete the load carriage task, these consisted of two females and
230 one male. All three participants stated the reason for withdrawal was excessive pain across their
231 shoulders as a result of the load.

232

233 **Lower limb Muscle Strength**

234

235 (Insert Figure 2 about here)

236

237 Figure 2 presents significant differences in the knee flexors at $180^{\circ}\cdot s^{-1}$ were observed between
238 95° - 125° , while knee extensors demonstrate reductions between 95° - 105° at $60^{\circ}\cdot s^{-1}$ and 95° -
239 125° at $180^{\circ}\cdot s^{-1}$.

240

241 Seventeen participants completed the unloaded protocol and 16 completed the loaded protocol,
242 however some participants (n presented in table 1) were not able to achieve the target velocity
243 so were excluded from the analysis. Large effect sizes were observed for all significant
244 variables.

245

246 (Insert Table 1 about here)

247

248

249 (Insert Table 2 about here)

250

251

252 A statistically significant change was observed in the angle of peak torque in the knee flexors
253 at $60^{\circ}\cdot s^{-1}$ (Table 2), despite no change in torque at individual joint angles or peak torque
254 magnitude.

255

256 (Insert table 3 about here)

257 Table 3 presents individual differences observed for knee flexion.

258

259 **Discussion**

260 This is the first study to demonstrate an overall reduction in torque across multiple joint angles
261 in both the knee extensors and flexors, as a result of two hours of treadmill load carriage (fig.2).

262 This can be further characterised by a reduction in peak torque and a statistically significant
263 shift in the position of peak torque from a number of variables in the knee but none in the ankle,
264 suggesting that load carriage instigates a reduction in torque output, while table 3 explains that
265 individual differences were observed in these findings. As such it is possible to accept the
266 hypothesis that peak torque is reduced in the knee extensors and flexors and ankle
267 plantarflexors as a result of two hours of load carriage. This can be defined by changes in the
268 position of peak torque and the profile of the curve from multiple triangulatory measurements.

269

270 **Peak Torque**

271 Reductions in peak torque (table 1) were observed for most measures of knee flexion and knee
272 extension for both isometric and isokinetic contractions supported by large effect sizes, the
273 observed changes across a range of velocities and contraction types provides strong
274 triangulatory support for the changes. Furthermore, while reductions of peak torque between
275 9% and 15.1% in the load carriage group were observed for knee flexion in alignment with
276 previous work¹⁹, and increases from baseline were observed for the unloaded group, which
277 suggests greater decrements than previously documented.

278

279 When the joint angle of torque was assessed and presented in table 2, this study observed that
280 peak torque occurred at a larger angle in the load carriage group compared to unloaded control
281 at both baseline and for change scores, for both knee flexion ($p=0.03$) and extension at $60^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$
282 ($p=0.008$) with a trend for knee extension at $180^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$ ($p=0.08$). These results suggest that while
283 peak torque is reduced during load carriage, the working muscle also requires a greater distance
284 to achieve peak torque suggesting a shift from optimal muscle length. It is noteworthy that the
285 angle of peak torque changed in knee flexion at $60^\circ \cdot s^{-1}$, while no differences were observed in
286 peak torque or the torque profile curve, highlighting a change in torque producing capacity
287 which would have been missed by peak torque testing. Due to reduced specificity of isokinetic
288 dynamometry it is unclear what impact this shift in angle of peak torque will have on the
289 participant's locomotive ability.

290

291 Significant reductions in ankle plantarflexor peak torque across all parameters were observed
292 as a result of the load carriage in agreement with previous work⁸, which were supported by
293 moderate to large effect sizes (Table 1). As previous work has shown that the ankle
294 plantarflexors provide propulsive force to propel the body forwards during locomotion²⁰, it is
295 likely that this reduction in muscle strength will increase the energy cost of the task. Moreover,
296 a number of muscles such as the peroneus longus that are involved in plantarflexion have
297 secondary roles providing mediolateral support for the ankle protecting against ankle inversion
298 injury. However, further research is required to examine this in more depth.

299

300 **Torque angle relationship**

301 The examination of knee extension and flexion at multiple joint angles is novel to load carriage
302 study. It is clear that the faster joint movements displayed reduced torque output over a larger

303 proportion of the joint angle (Fig.2). In all instances, the peak torque values occurred during
304 the optimal muscle length for force, displayed by a flattening of the curve around its peak of
305 the loaded post-test measurements. It is notable that these are muscle lengths (95°-125°) which
306 do not occur during load carriage. So when the muscle is at lengths which are reflective of
307 locomotion (130°-180°²¹) there appears to be no significant change between loaded and
308 unloaded groups. These findings suggest that while changes in torque and peak torque can be
309 observed by isokinetic dynamometry of the whole muscle action, it is unclear whether this loss
310 will have a pronounced effect on the muscle's ability to produce force at muscle lengths relevant
311 to walking with or without external load.

312

313 This study assessed lower limb strength as a result of a two hour occupational load carriage
314 task to highlight that the reduction in peak torque (Table 1), change in the torque profile (Fig2)
315 and that the position of peak torque shift as a result of load carriage (Table 2). These findings
316 suggest a delay in muscle fibre recruitment, potentiating the body's ability to mitigate the effect
317 of the load suggesting the participant may be exposed to greater injury risk and reduced
318 movement economy. Interestingly, large inter individual responses were observed for most
319 isokinetic dynamometry testing with large standard deviation. This suggests that there is merit
320 in future research examining the profile of the torque curve, both in an experimental design
321 study supporting load carriage and in a clinical setting. These findings suggest that the load
322 carrier may be exposed to reduced ability to produce for in the low limb suggesting they are
323 less able to move economically and are exposed to increased injury risk. Further studies
324 examining impact forces are required to confirm this.

325 **Limitations**

326 This study highlights the benefit of assessing knee torque output at specific joint angles.
327 However, it was not possible to evaluate ankle torque output in the same manner due to the
328 limited range of movement of the ankle joint. Future work could be conducted at a lower
329 velocity and would increase the range of movement for which the participants are at the target
330 velocity.

331

332 **Perspectives**

333 This paper analyses torque output of the knee extensors and flexors at multiple joint angles
334 which highlighted that reductions in torque output occur at muscle lengths not typically used
335 during locomotion. This suggests that the change in output is likely to be greater than

336 previously thought. Future research should focus on analysis of torque at specific joint angles,
337 to provide comprehensive assessment of the muscle action. In an applied setting, load carriage
338 instigates significant alteration to lower limb strength which could influence injury risk through
339 changes in impact forces and energy cost of the task to the participants.

340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368

369

370 **References**

371

- 372 1. Taylor NA, Peoples GE, Petersen SR. Load carriage, human performance, and
373 employment standards. *Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism*. 2016;41(6
374 Suppl 2):S131-147.
- 375 2. Taylor NA, Fullagar HH, Sampson JA, et al. Employment standards for Australian
376 urban firefighters: Part 2: The physiological demands and the criterion tasks. *Journal*
377 *of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2015;57(10):1072-1082.
- 378 3. Simpson KM, Munro BJ, Steele JR. Does load position affect gait and subjective
379 responses of females during load carriage? *Applied ergonomics*. 2012;43(3):479-485.
- 380 4. Knapik J, Reynolds K, Santee WR, Friedl KE. Load carriage in military operations: a
381 review of historical, physiological, biomechanical and medical aspects. *Military*
382 *Quantitative Physiology: Problems and Concepts in Military Operational Medicine*
383 *Office of the Surgeon General and the Borden Institute, Ft Detrick, MD*. 2012:303-
384 337.
- 385 5. Knapik JJ, Reynolds KL, Harman E. Soldier load carriage: historical, physiological,
386 biomechanical, and medical aspects. *Military Medicine*. 2004;169(1):45-56.
- 387 6. Warren GL, Ingalls CP, Lowe DA, Armstrong R. What mechanisms contribute to the
388 strength loss that occurs during and in the recovery from skeletal muscle injury?
389 *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*. 2002;32(2):58-64.
- 390 7. Blacker, Fallowfield, Bilzon, Willems. Neuromuscular impairment following backpack
391 load carriage. *Journal of Human Kinetics*. 2013;37:91-98.
- 392 8. Clarke HH, Shay CT, Mathews DK. Strength decrements from carrying various army
393 packs on military marches. *Research Quarterly American Association for Health,*
394 *Physical Education and Recreation*. 1955;26(3):253-265.
- 395 9. Blacker SD, Willems MET, Bilzon JLJ, Fallowfield JL. Physiological responses to load
396 carriage during level and downhill treadmill walking. *Medicina Sportiva*.
397 2009;13(2):116-124.
- 398 10. Baltzopoulos V, Brodie D. Isokinetic dynamometry. *Sports Medicine*. 1989;8(2):101-
399 116.
- 400 11. Gefen A. Biomechanical analysis of fatigue-related foot injury mechanisms in
401 athletes and recruits during intensive marching. *Med and BioEngineering and*
402 *Computing*. 2002;40(3):302-310.
- 403 12. Allsopp A, Shariff A. Improving the selection of candidates for Royal Marine recruit
404 training by the use of a combination of performance tests. *Journal of the Royal Naval*
405 *Medical Service*. 2003;90(3):117-124.
- 406 13. Sedliak M, Finni T, Cheng S, Haikarainen T, Häkkinen K. Diurnal variation in maximal
407 and submaximal strength, power and neural activation of leg extensors in men:
408 multiple sampling across two consecutive days. *International Journal of Sports*
409 *Medicine*. 2008;29(03):217-224.
- 410 14. Wilhite MR, Cohen ER, Wilhite SC. Reliability of concentric and eccentric
411 measurements of quadriceps performance using the KIN-COM dynamometer: the

412 effect of testing order for three different speeds. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports*
413 *Physical Therapy*. 1992;15(4):175-182.

414 15. Atkinson G, Batterham AM. The use of ratios and percentage changes in sports
415 medicine: time for a rethink? *Int J of Sports Med*. 2012;33(07):505-506.

416 16. Hopkins WG. Individual responses made easy. American Physiological Society; 2015.

417 17. Smith TB, Hopkins WG. Variability and predictability of finals times of elite rowers.
418 *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2011;43(11):2155-2160.

419 18. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A. G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power
420 analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior*
421 *research methods*. 2007;39(2):175-191.

422 19. Blacker SD, Williams NC, Fallowfield JL, Bilzon J, Willems ME. Carbohydrate vs
423 protein supplementation for recovery of neuromuscular function following
424 prolonged load carriage. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*.
425 2010;7:2.

426 20. Birrell SA, Hooper RH, Haslam RA. The effect of military load carriage on ground
427 reaction forces. *Gait & posture*. 2007;26(4):611-614.

428 21. Kadaba MP, Ramakrishnan H, Wootten M. Measurement of lower extremity
429 kinematics during level walking. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 1990;8(3):383-392.

430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448

449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456

Table 1 Means and change scores for knee and ankle peak torque

Variable	Condition	n	Baseline (N·M ⁻¹)	Change (%)	P-Value	Effect Size (d _{Glass})
Knee Flexion 180°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	72.0 (27.5)	4.5 (17.2)	0.008*	1.10
	Loaded	16	92.0 (39.3)	-10.9 (15.6)		
Knee Flexion 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	86.7 (30.1)	-4.9 (13.9)	0.154	
	Loaded	16	105.8 (38.2)	-12.2 (13.1)		
Knee Flexion 0°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	14	90.1 (29.5)	0.3 (10.2)	0.248	
	Loaded	16	101.3 (32.7)	-5.8 (13.7)		
Knee Extension 180°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	130.2 (33.1)	2.1 (10.6)	0.009*	1.06
	Loaded	16	146.5 (41.8)	-9.1 (11.6)		
Knee Extension 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	172.8 (40.0)	-2.2 (9.5)	0.022*	1.04
	Loaded	16	195.0 (75.7)	-12.1 (12.8)		
Knee Extension 0°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	14	225.2 (70.9)	0.8 (14.6)	0.005*	1.25
	Loaded	16	269.9 (110.7)	-11.9 (14.1)		
Ankle Dorsiflexion 120°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	10.8 (24.1)	-2.9 (30.5)	0.224	
	Loaded	16	14.7 (5.4)	-12.2 (33.8)		
Ankle Dorsiflexion 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	17.8 (5.8)	7.2 (24.6)	0.617	
	Loaded	16	21.9 (5.0)	2.2 (19.6)		
Ankle Plantarflexion 120°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	46.8 (20.9)	-1.6 (9.1)	0.052	
	Loaded	16	45.5 (17.3)	-11.0 (13.4)		
Ankle Plantarflexion 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	13	67.2 (4.7)	2.8 (18.9)	0.045*	0.62
	Loaded	16	70.6 (16.9)	-14.6 (14.9)		
Ankle Plantarflexion 0°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	14	77.6 (28.4)	7.0 (26.5)	0.004*	0.89
	Loaded	16	113.4 (37.3)	-19.9 (6.6)		

457 Table presents means with standard deviation in brackets. * highlights significance to $P < 0.05$.

458
 459

460
461
462
463
464
465

Table 2 The position of peak torque for knee extension and flexion

Action	Group	Baseline (Degrees)	Post (Degrees)	Change score (%)	<i>P</i> -Value	Effect Size (d_{Glass})
Knee Flexion 180°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	130.3 (12.5)	132.8 (16.4)	1.2 (45.9)	0.65	
	Loaded	123.9 (17.1)	131.2 (10.8)	8.1 (27.7)		
Knee Flexion 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	129.4 (11.6)	127.2 (17.7)	-6.3 (36.4)	0.03*	0.64
	Loaded	122.0 (13.5)	134.6 (7.8)	19.1 (17.3)		
Knee Extension 180°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	108.3 (8.6)	108.6 (7.8)	0.0 (12.3)	0.08	
	Loaded	109.6 (7.5)	104.6 (7.2)	-7.8 (12.4)		
Knee Extension 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	105.0 (7.7)	103.5 (8.1)	-2.4 (10.1)	0.008*	0.19
	Loaded	106.4 (5.0)	97.5 (5.8)	-12.9 (11.2)		
Ankle Dorsiflexion 120°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	11.1 (14.2)	12.1 (15.3)	2.2 (2.1)	0.88	
	Loaded	13.7 (17.5)	14.9 (19.2)	4.1 (7.4)		
Ankle Dorsiflexion 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	5.7 (17.8)	9.8 (13.0)	7.8 (18.1)	0.35	
	Loaded	5.3 (31.1)	16.2 (21.4)	23.2 (55.3)		
Ankle Plantarflexion 120°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	22.2 (11.4)	21.3 (7.2)	-2.5 (2.9)	0.76	
	Loaded	19.5 (5.0)	19.9 (3.6)	5.2 (9.2)		
Ankle Plantarflexion 60°·s ⁻¹	Unloaded	38.7 (18.5)	31.8 (7.0)	-10.0 (2.1)	0.07	
	Loaded	27.9 (6.2)	36.2 (19.8)	35.2 (42.1)		

466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478

Table presents means with standard deviation in brackets. * highlights significance to $P < 0.05$.

479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503

Table 3 Individual differences, SD confidence intervals and standardised standard deviations

Table presents individual differences with qualitative description

Variable	S _{dir}	SD Upper CI	SD Lower CI	Standardised SD	Qualitative Description
Knee Flexion 180°s ⁻¹	9.23	10.28	-4.83	2.17	Extremely Large
Knee Extension 180°s ⁻¹	4.62	0.51	-9.26	0.51	Large
Knee Extension 60°s ⁻¹	8.64	13.70	-6.21	0.98	Large