

### Canterbury Christ Church University's repository of research outputs

### http://create.canterbury.ac.uk

Please cite this publication as follows:

Proops, L., Osthaus, B., Bell, N., Long, S., Hayday, K. and Burden, F. (2019) Shelter seeking behaviour of donkeys and horses in a temperate climate. Journal of Veterinary Behavior. ISSN 1558-7878.

Link to official URL (if available):

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2019.03.008

This version is made available in accordance with publishers' policies. All material made available by CReaTE is protected by intellectual property law, including copyright law. Any use made of the contents should comply with the relevant law.

Contact: create.library@canterbury.ac.uk





### Canterbury Christ Church University's repository of research outputs

http://create.canterbury.ac.uk

Please cite this publication as follows:

Proops, Leanne, Osthaus, Britta, Bell, Nikki, Long, Sarah, Hayday, Kristin and Burden, Faith (2019) Shelter seeking behaviour of donkeys and horses in a temperate climate. Journal of Veterinary Behavior. ISSN 1558-7878.

Link to official URL (if available):

https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-veterinary-behavior

This version is made available in accordance with publishers' policies. All material made available by CReaTE is protected by intellectual property law, including copyright law. Any use made of the contents should comply with the relevant law.

Contact: create.library@canterbury.ac.uk



### Accepted Manuscript

Shelter seeking behaviour of donkeys and horses in a temperate climate

Leanne Proops, Britta Osthaus, Nikki Bell, Sarah Long, Kristin Hayday, Faith Burden

PII: S1558-7878(18)30232-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2019.03.008

Reference: JVEB 1227

To appear in: Journal of Veterinary Behavior

Received Date: 2 October 2018

Revised Date: 12 March 2019

Accepted Date: 22 March 2019

Please cite this article as: Proops, L., Osthaus, B., Bell, N., Long, S., Hayday, K., Burden, F., Shelter seeking behaviour of donkeys and horses in a temperate climate, *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2019.03.008.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

| _        | Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids                                                                                                   |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT                                                                                                                            |
| 1        | Shelter seeking behaviour of donkeys and horses in a temperate climate.                                                                        |
| 2        |                                                                                                                                                |
| 3        | Leanne Proops <sup>1,2</sup> , Britta Osthaus <sup>3</sup> , Nikki Bell <sup>4</sup> , Sarah Long <sup>4</sup> , Kristin Hayday <sup>4</sup> , |
| 4        | Faith Burden⁴                                                                                                                                  |
| 5        |                                                                                                                                                |
| 6        |                                                                                                                                                |
| 7        |                                                                                                                                                |
| 8        | <sup>1</sup> Centre for Comparative and Evolutionary Psychology, Department of Psychology,                                                     |
| 9        | University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 2DY, UK.                                                                                              |
| 10       |                                                                                                                                                |
| 11       | <sup>2</sup> Mammal Vocal Communication and Cognition Research Group, School of Psychology,                                                    |
| 12       | University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, UK.                                                                                                    |
| 13       |                                                                                                                                                |
| 14       | <sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, Politics and Sociology, Canterbury Christ Church University,                                            |
| 15       | Canterbury, Kent CT1 1QU, UK.                                                                                                                  |
| 16       | 4The Decker Ordered Decker EV40 ONUL UV                                                                                                        |
| 17       | The Donkey Sanctuary, Sidmouth, Devon, EX10 UNU, UK.                                                                                           |
| 10       |                                                                                                                                                |
| 19<br>20 | Corresponding Author Details:                                                                                                                  |
| 20       | faith burden@thedonkeysanctuary.org.uk                                                                                                         |
| 21       | ann.burden@medonkeysanctuary.org.uk                                                                                                            |
| 22       |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |
|          |                                                                                                                                                |

#### 23 Abstract

24 Domestic donkeys descended from wild asses, adapted to the semi-arid climates of Africa, 25 whereas domestic horses originate from more temperate areas of Eurasia. Despite this 26 difference in evolutionary history, modern domestic equids can be found throughout the 27 world, in a wide range of conditions, many of which are very different from their natural 28 environments. To explore the protection from the elements that different equid species may 29 require in the temperate climate of the UK, the shelter seeking behaviour of 135 donkeys 30 and 73 horses was assessed across a period of 16 months, providing a total of 13513 31 observations. The location of each animal (inside a constructed shelter, outside unprotected 32 or using natural shelter) was recorded alongside measures of environmental conditions 33 including temperature, wind speed, lux, precipitation and level of insect challenge. Statistical 34 models revealed clear differences in the constructed-shelter-seeking behaviour of donkeys 35 and horses. Donkeys sought shelter significantly more often at lower temperatures whereas 36 horses tended to move inside when the temperature rose above 20°C. Donkeys were more 37 affected by precipitation, with the majority of them moving indoors when it rained. Donkeys 38 also showed a higher rate of shelter use when wind speed increased to moderate, while 39 horses remained outside. Horses appeared to be more affected by insect challenge, moving 40 inside as insect harassment outside increased. There were also significant differences in the 41 use of natural shelter by the two species, with donkeys using natural shelter relatively more 42 often to shelter from rain and wind and horses seeking natural shelter relatively more 43 frequently when sunny. These results reflect donkeys' and horses' adaptation to different 44 climates and suggest that the shelter requirements of these two equid species differ, with 45 donkeys seeking additional protection from the elements in temperate climates. 46 47 Keywords: Equine welfare; animal welfare; environmental adaptation; domestication;

48 protection from the elements; shelter use

#### 49 Introduction

#### 50

51 Equids may seek shelter under various environmental and climatic conditions, such as hot or 52 cold weather, heavy rain, or high levels of insect challenge. However, the extent to which 53 each of these environmental factors affect shelter seeking behaviour in domestic donkeys 54 and horses has yet to be directly compared and is likely to reveal differences based on their 55 evolutionary history. Although the precise processes by which horses and donkeys were 56 domesticated is still under debate, domestic donkeys are believed to have originated from 57 the African wild ass (Equus africanus) in semi-arid regions of Northeast Africa and the 58 Arabian peninsula, around 6,000 years ago (Rosenborn et al., 2015; Rossel et al., 2008). 59 Modern horses are believed to have been domesticated at a similar time, but in the more 60 temperate regions of Eurasia (Gaunitz et al., 2018; Outram et al., 2009). Although cave 61 paintings in France depict a now extinct small equid with long ears, the earliest finds of 62 domesticated donkey bones in Europe date from around 800 BCE (Geigl and Grange, 63 2012). It can therefore be assumed that modern domesticated donkeys, unlike native horse 64 and pony breeds, have evolved for warmer and dryer climates and not for the conditions of 65 Northern Europe.

66

67 Differences in the biology of donkeys and horses reflect their different evolutionary histories 68 and suggest adaptation to different environments. Donkeys are able to extract moisture from 69 low quality forage more efficiently than horses, have a lower sweat rate and can go without 70 water for several days (Zakari et al., 2015). Their long ears are thought to aid temperature 71 regulation, and their single coat layer is not thought to contain waterproof lanolin oil, 72 although these attributes have not been systematically tested. The coat of standard donkeys 73 does not significantly increase in weight during the winter in the temperate climate of the UK, 74 whereas native pony coats can increase by over 200% in cold, winter climates (Autio et al., 75 2006; Osthaus et al., 2018). Horses have shorter ears, thicker tails, and a two-layered, 76 waterproof coat, and they require water daily. Przewalski horses and Shetland ponies (a 77 pony breed originating in a subarctic climate) are able to slow their metabolism down in 78 winter, a process called hypometabolism (Brinkmann et al., 2012; Kuntz et al., 2006). Based 79 on this, we may expect donkeys to have a reduced capacity to cope with cold, wet and 80 windy conditions compared to horses. Differences in evolutionary home ranges, coupled 81 with the associated differences in the biology of the species, are also likely to produce 82 differences in the nature of, and response to, insect harassment. 83

Exposure to wet, cold and windy conditions require warm-blooded organisms to increase
their metabolism to ensure a constant and sufficient body core temperature. The thermal
neutral zone (TNZ) of an animal refers to the ambient temperature range in which core body

#### Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids CCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

87 temperature can be maintained without expending additional energy beyond that required for 88 maintenance (Holcomb, 2017). TNZ may vary depending on other environmental factors 89 beyond ambient temperature including wind speed, humidity, precipitation and solar 90 radiation. The lower critical temperature (LCT) is the point below which the metabolism can 91 no longer produce enough heat to avoid heat loss and is a valuable measure for 92 recommendations of extra feeding and to determine minimal environmental temperatures 93 required for health and welfare of a species. It is known for different horse breeds and their 94 environments (see Autio et al., 2007 for review) and varies between -15° to 10°C, depending 95 on age, condition, breed and acclimatisation. The welfare of Equids may be compromised 96 not just in cold conditions but also when adequate protection from the elements is not 97 provided during hot weather. Above an ambient temperature of 20°C horses show an 98 increased evaporative heat flow (Morgan et al., 1997) and their upper critical limit (UCT) has 99 been calculated to be 20-30°C (Morgan, 1998). The TNZ has not been calculated for 100 donkeys.

101

102 To date, the shelter seeking behaviour of wild and domestic horses has been documented 103 across a range of climates – showing that they seek shelter in wet (Michanek and Bentorp, 104 1996), windy (Heleski and Murtazashvili, 2010), hot and cold conditions (Holcomb et al., 105 2014; Mejdell and Bøe, 2005; Tyler, 1972) and in times of increased fly activity (Keiper and 106 Berger, 1982). Feral horses have been observed moving as a group to shade areas at the 107 hotter times of day and shade use in domestic horses can rise to over 70% when 108 temperatures increase above the UCT (Holcomb, 2017; Keiper and Berger, 1982). Breezy 109 areas may also be sought out when temperatures or insect challenge are high (Crowell-110 Davis, 1994; Tyler, 1972), with insect challenge suggested as a strong motivator to seek 111 protection during hot, sunny days (Rubenstein and Hohmann, 1989). Several studies have 112 reported an increase in shelter use during rain, but primarily when this occurs in conjunction 113 with breezy or cold conditions (Heleski and Murtazashvili, 2010; Snoeks et al., 2015). A 114 recent study of shelter seeking behaviour in horses in a temperate climate showed a 115 significant increase in shelter use at temperatures below 7°C and above 25°C. 116 corresponding to horses' TNZ, with significant shelter use (41%) even within the TNZ, 117 potentially due to factors such as insect harassment (Snoeks et al., 2015). In contrast, a 118 study of Icelandic horses during the cold, Nordic winter (with temperatures reaching -31°C) 119 reported low levels of shelter use (average 30%) across weather conditions (Meidell and 120 Bøe, 2005). 121

To our knowledge, there have been no physiological or behavioural studies of donkeys in
colder climates, but hypothermia is a problem for donkeys during cold weather (Stephen et

al., 2000). A few studies have assessed the responses of donkeys to climatic conditions in

## Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids

125 tropical environments and animals have been observed shivering when temperatures drop 126 below 20°C, a temperature far higher than the LCT reported for horses (Ayo et al., 2014). 127 Baseline physiological measures of donkeys in the tropics, including heart rate, rectal 128 temperature and respiration rate, have been recorded and can be used to assess heat 129 stress (Ayo et al., 2014). The Nigerian rainy season, with its high ambient temperature and 130 humidity, is thought to be thermally stressful to donkeys (Ayo et al., 2008). Research 131 conducted in the hot-dry season showed that pack donkeys provided with shade after 132 working experienced significantly lower levels of heat stress than those without shade 133 (Minka and Ayo, 2007). However, the few studies of the effects of the climate on donkeys in 134 tropical environments tend to be conducted on working equids, whose welfare and body 135 condition is often poor. There are also no studies of shelter seeking behaviour in donkeys in 136 any climate. It is therefore important to conduct research with healthy, unrestrained animals 137 to assess the natural shelter seeking behaviours and baseline heat tolerance of donkeys in 138 both hot and cold climates. 139

140 Here we provide an assessment and direct comparison of the shelter seeking behaviour of

141 healthy, semi-free ranging donkeys and horses in a temperate climate. A sample of 208

142 donkeys and horses were observed over a 16 month period and the location and shelter use

143 of the animals were recorded. Climatic conditions and levels of insect challenge were

144 measured to assess the factors that influence shelter seeking behaviour and the extent to

- 145 which the two species differ in their responses to environmental conditions.
- 146

#### 147 Methods

148

#### 149 Study Animals And Housing Details

150 A total of 135 donkeys (mean age =  $17.56 \pm 8.4$  S.D., 53 females, 81 males) and 73 151 horses/ponies (mean age =  $13.95 \pm 7.72$  S.D.; 29 females, 43 males) were observed during 152 this study. Twenty-two of the donkeys in the sample were Poitou donkeys and the rest were 153 standard donkeys. The horses were from a variety of breeds with 43 being classified as 154 native/coldblooded types and 30 being classified as warm-blooded types. Some subjects 155 were removed from the study due to relocation, illness, death, wearing a rug or being 156 clipped. A total of 74 donkeys and 57 horses and ponies (subsequently referred to as 157 horses throughout the paper) were monitored for the full observation period. When subjects 158 were removed, additional subjects were added to replace lost subjects. 159

160 All donkeys and 30 horses were owned by The Donkey Sanctuary, the remaining horse

161 subjects were either owned by LM, a private owner, the Dartmoor Pony Heritage Trust or

162 were privately owned by staff at The Donkey Sanctuary. Animals at The Donkey Sanctuary

## Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids CCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

163 were under the care of the veterinary team and all subjects were considered to be in good 164 health with no history of disease in the preceding two years. All animals were unclipped and 165 unrugged in the winter. Subjects were identified by their individual markings and, for the 166 subjects kept at The Donkey Sanctuary, by neck collars showing their names. Subjects were 167 from 18 social groups, kept at seven separate locations across Devon and Somerset, UK. All 168 groups had an outside space throughout the study and free access to constructed shelters. 169 All constructed shelters included a roof to protect the animals from rain and were of sufficient 170 size for all group members to seek shelter if required (based on DEFRA guidelines 171 (Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs UK Government, 2018)). Natural 172 shelter included the presence of bushes and trees in, or growing along the perimeter, of the 173 enclosures (see Supplementary Material for details of the shelter available at each location). 174

#### . . .

175 *Procedure*176 Data were colle

Data were collected from September 2015 to December 2016. Watson W-8681-Solar 177 Weather stations were kept at each farm in a central position throughout the duration of the 178 study to record precipitation levels. Researchers carried with them handheld weather 179 stations (Skywatch Meteos - Anemo-thermometer with Ø 54 mm propeller) that recorded 180 temperature and wind speed, and a lux meter (Sinometer MS6612). At the start of each 181 observation session the precipitation rate from the previous hour was recorded from the 182 fixed weather stations. In addition to this measure, researchers coded the current 183 precipitation condition as either dry, drizzle/intermittent rain, or rain when collecting subject 184 data. From outside the enclosure, so the animals were not disturbed, the location of each 185 subject was recorded as either outside or inside a constructed shelter. If more than one 186 constructed shelter was present in the field, the specific shelter was recorded. If an animal 187 was outside, researchers recorded whether they were using any natural shelter as protection 188 from the sun, rain or wind, whether they were not using natural shelter or whether no 189 protection was required. To do this, researchers assessed whether it was sunny or overcast 190 (determined by whether there were any clearly defined shadows visible) and, if it was sunny, 191 whether the animal was standing in the sun or shade. When raining, researchers recorded 192 whether the animal was using any natural protection such as trees. When the weather was 193 calm, natural shelter from the wind was deemed not applicable but at higher wind speeds 194 researchers recorded if subjects were standing exposed to the wind or using natural 195 protection. If it was unclear from a distance whether animals were protected from the wind or 196 rain, the location was recorded on a map and once all subject information was collected, the 197 researcher stood in the location and assessed whether protection was afforded by that 198 location. Observation of whether the mane hair was moving less on the subject than those 199 animals in an exposed location was also a useful indicator of protection from the wind.

#### Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

201 Once the subject data were recorded for a group, the researcher entered the field and 202 determined the location of any subjects that were previously out of sight in a shelter. To gain 203 measures of the effects of insects on shelter use, three animals from each group in each of 204 the possible locations (inside, outside, using natural shelter) were selected. Where possible 205 subjects included an individual with a light, a medium and a dark coat. To prevent any bias 206 in subject selection, the animal nearest the researcher in the correct position (i.e. side-on to 207 the researcher) and with the correct coat colour was chosen. To obtain a measure of relative 208 insect density across observations, researchers recorded the number of insects visible on 209 one side of subjects' bodies. To assess insect harassment, researchers timed one minute, 210 and with the help of a handheld tally counter, recorded the number of behaviours performed 211 that were indicative of insect harassment. The behaviours recorded were tail swishing, foot 212 stamping, head shaking, biting the body and skin twitching (panniculus reflex). The average 213 number of insects and behaviours observed across the three animals in each location were 214 recorded as the measures of insect density and harassment respectively for the observation 215 session. When fewer than three animals were present in a given location, data were 216 recorded for as many animals as possible. When no group members were found in a 217 location (inside, outside or in shade), no insect challenge data could be collected for that 218 location.

219

200

220 The temperature, wind speed, and lux outside, away from any natural shelter, were 221 recorded. To assess the conditions within each shelter, and to account for variations in these 222 condition across locations, temperature, wind speed (to measure any draughts), and lux 223 level in each of the available constructed shelters were recorded. Finally, the measure of 224 hourly precipitation rate at the central wind station in the farm was recorded again. If 225 observations took longer than one hour to complete, this central measure of precipitation 226 rate was taken at one hour intervals. Care was taken to make observations at a range of 227 times and in a range of weather conditions across groups. Observations were made 228 between 07:00 and 19:10. Where possible, each subject was observed at least once per 229 week. When more than one observation of a subject occurred in a day, a minimum of 30 230 minutes elapsed between observations and no more than two observations of a subject 231 occurred in a single day.

232

#### 233 Statistical Analysis

The range of climate conditions and levels of insect challenge experienced by subjects
during the study are presented in the results section. A series of pairwise comparisons
(repeated measures ANOVAs) were used to compare harassment behaviour and density
measures of insect challenge across the three locations (outside vs. shade, shade vs inside,

## Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

238 inside vs. outside) at the level of the observation session. To analyse the extent to which the 239 environmental factors influenced the shelter seeking behaviour of donkeys and horses, and 240 to determine if there were significant differences between the two equid species in their 241 shelter seeking behaviour, a series of generalised linear mixed models (GLMM) with a 242 binomial logit function were performed using the statistical package lme4 in R (R Core 243 Development Team, 2018). A series of a-priori candidate models were generated for the 244 response variable Location (inside a constructed shelter versus outside). The fixed factors of 245 Species, Temperature Outside, Temperature in the Shelter, Rain, Wind, Lux, Insect 246 Challenge, Time and Month were included in a global model. To assess the extent to which 247 the shelter seeking behaviour of donkeys and horses differed, all environmental conditions 248 were included as an interaction with Species as well as a main effect. We further explored 249 the potential interaction of climatic variables, for example Wind\*Rain, Wind\*Insects, 250 Temperature\*Wind, in a series of interactions, with Species and without Species. Subject 251 nested in Social Group then Farm was included as a random factor.

252

253 Where multiple measures of an environmental factor were taken, e.g. precipitation rate prior, 254 during and after observation sessions, models were constructed to determine the best 255 predictor of the environmental condition to be included in the final set of models. From the 256 three measures of precipitation (precipitation measured at the start of the observation 257 session, precipitation measured after the session was conducted and precipitation rate at 258 the time of observation), precipitation level at the time of observation was the best predictor 259 and was included in the main analysis. From the measures of insect challenge - insect 260 density outside, insect harassment behaviours outside, density inside, harassment 261 behaviours inside and relative measures of density and harassment - both insect density 262 outside and insect harassment behaviours were good predictors of shelter seeking, 263 however, insect harassment behaviours were deemed slightly more predictive and included 264 in the final analysis. Due to the complexity of the global model, candidate models were 265 assessed using the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) because it penalizes model 266 complexity more heavily than Akaike information criterion (AIC). A GLMM was run with the 267 null model, followed by the global model. Factors with little or no predictive value were 268 systematically removed from the global model to produce the final, best fit model. 269

To assess if natural shelter was sought more in windy, rainy or sunny conditions and
whether the two species were affected differently by these factors, a complete series of
binomial GLMMs were run on the response variable Outside Location (using natural
protection versus unprotected). The fixed factors of Type of Protection Afforded (from sun,
wind or rain), Species and Protection Type\*Species were included in the global model with
Subject nested in Social Group then Farm included as a random factor. The best fit model

#### Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids CCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

- was determined using AIC. Only instances where natural protection would have been of
- benefit were included in the analysis, i.e. only instances where subjects were outside, and itwas sunny, rainy or windy were included.
- 279
- 280 Results
- 281

#### 282 <u>Session Conditions</u>

283

A total of 13513 data points were collected from 1728 separate observations of the different social groups. The average number of observations per subject for subjects present throughout the study was  $86.39 \pm 23.2$  (M  $\pm$  SD = Mean  $\pm$  Standard Deviation) and  $64.97 \pm$ 37.1 (M  $\pm$  SD) across all subjects. The following descriptions of environmental conditions are at the level of the group observation session.

289

#### 290 Weather Conditions

Precipitation: The mean hourly rainfall during the observation sessions was 0.12mm ± 0.56
with a maximum of 6.5mm and a minimum of 0mm. 1423 (82.3%) observation sessions
were conducted during dry weather, 177 (10.2%) during intermittent/light rain and 128
(7.4%) during rain/heavy rain. The average monthly rainfall for South West England and
South Wales during the study period was 105.1mm, range 41.8-215.4mm (MET Office,
2018).

297

298 Wind speed: The mean wind speed during the observation sessions was 1.22m/s ± 1.47 299 with a maximum of 8.3m/s and a minimum of 0m/s. Based on the Beaufort Scale, 728 300 (42.1%) observation sessions were conducted in calm conditions (<0.3m/s), 460 (26.6%) 301 sessions during a light air (0.3-1.5m/s), 391 (22.6%) sessions during a light breeze (1.6-302 3.3m/s), 105 (6.1%) during a gentle breeze (3.4-5.4m/s) and 43 (2.5%) during a moderate to 303 fresh breeze (5.5-8.3m/s). For most observations, the wind was minimal in the constructed 304 shelter: 1515 (91.2%) of observations reported calm conditions and 1615 (97.2%) 305 observation sessions reported calm or light air (<1.6m/s) in the shelters.

- 306
- 307 Temperature: The mean average outside temperature recorded during the observation
- 308 sessions was  $14.16^{\circ}C \pm 5.18$  (M  $\pm$  SD) with a maximum of  $33.3^{\circ}C$  and minimum of  $1^{\circ}C$ .
- 309 Similar conditions were found in the constructed shelters ( $M \pm SD = 14.31^{\circ}C \pm 5.35$ , Max.
- 310 32.8°C, Min. 1°C in shelter 1 and where there was an additional shelter:  $M \pm SD = 14.29$ °C ±
- 5.06, Max. 29.2°C, Min. 0°C in shelter 2). The average difference between the temperature
- outside and in the constructed shelter (shelter 1) was small ( $M \pm SD = -0.25^{\circ}C \pm 1.20$ ),
- 313 although there were some instances of large variations across locations, with differences in

#### Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids CCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

- temperature ranging from -4.7°C to 13.5°C. The average monthly temperature per month for
- 315 South West England and South Wales during the study period was 9.65°C, range 3.9-
- 316 15.4°C (MET Office, 2018).
- 317

Lux: Lux is a measurement of luminance and can be used to quantify the brightness of outside and inside light. The average lux level outside during the observation sessions was 27764.52 ± 25721.97 with a maximum of 125,300 and a minimum of 0. The average number of hours of sunshine per month for South West England and South Wales during the study period was 107.2, range 24-227 (MET Office, 2018).

323

#### 324 Insect Challenge

325 Insect Density: The average number of insects observed on the exemplar animals outside 326 was  $0.95 \pm 1.97$  (max. = 22, min. = 0), the average for outside shade was  $1.29 \pm 3.24$  (max. 327 = 38, min. = 0) and for inside shelters was  $0.43 \pm 1.04$  (max. = 11, min. = 0). However, these 328 figures are not directly comparable because there were many sessions where animals were 329 not found in all three locations at the same time (no animals were observed outside, in the 330 shade and inside in 61%, 50% and 73% of sessions respectively), thus these overall 331 averages are affected by systematic sampling bias e.g. animals are more likely to be found 332 in the shade in hot weather when insect numbers are higher across all locations. Pairwise 333 comparisons of observation sessions where the insect challenge in two or more locations 334 was recorded at the same time reveal that insect density was significantly higher outside 335 exposed compared to in the shade ( $t_{1,47} = 3.24$ , p = 0.001) and inside shelters ( $t_{1,491} = 5.93$ , 336 p < 0.0001), and higher in the shade compared to inside ( $t_{1.46} = 2.40$ , p = 0.02). The number 337 of insects observed on horses outside was higher than on donkeys (donkeys:  $0.60 \pm 1.34$ , 338 horses:  $1.10 \pm 2.17$ ;  $t_{1.1349} = 5.43$ , p < 0.0001).

339

340 Insect Harassment Behaviours: The average number of insect harassment behaviours on 341 the exemplar animals outside was  $3.19 \pm 5.85$  (max. = 44, min. = 0), the average for outside 342 shade was  $4.04 \pm 7.35$  (max. = 50, min. = 0) and for inside constructed shelter was  $1.60 \pm$ 343 3.50 (max. = 27, min. = 0). Pairwise comparisons of the observation sessions where the 344 insect challenge in two or more locations was recorded reveal that animals showed more 345 harassment behaviours outside than inside ( $t_{1.491} = 5.61$ , p < 0.0001), more outside exposed 346 than in the shade  $(t_{1.147} = 3.46, p = 0.001)$  but there was no significant difference between 347 the number of behaviours observed in the shade and inside ( $t_{1.46} = 1.58$ , p = 0.12). There 348 was no significant difference in number of insect harassment behaviours produced by 349 horses and donkeys outside (donkeys:  $3.59 \pm 7.02$ , horses:  $3.01 \pm 5.27$ ;  $t_{1.696} = 1.61$ , p = 350 0.11).

351

352 Effects of Environment on Shelter Use

353

354 Effects of environmental conditions on constructed shelter use of donkeys and horses

The predictors contained in the best fit model for whether an animal was observed inside a constructed shelter or outside, can be seen in Table 1. Overall, donkeys spent significantly less time outside (Species: z = 2.45, p = 0.014). The factor Species and its interactions with a range environmental conditions were present in the final model showing that across the different measures of climatic conditions, the horses and donkeys responded differently in their shelter use (see Figure 1).

361

#### 362 Table 1. Factors included in the best fit model of constructed shelter use by horses

363 and donkeys.

| Factor                            | 7       | D value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Factor                            | Z score | P value |
| Species                           | 2.45    | 0.014   |
| Rain                              | 9.94    | <0.0001 |
| Wind Speed                        | 4.31    | <0.0001 |
| Temperature (Outside)             | 1.63    | 0.10    |
| Lux                               | 11.75   | <0.0001 |
| Insect Harassment Outside         | 3.26    | 0.001   |
| Temperature (Shelter)             | 0.39    | 0.70    |
| Month                             | 5.06    | <0.0001 |
| Time                              | 5.06    | <0.0001 |
| Species*Rain                      | 2.48    | 0.013   |
| Species*Wind Speed                | 3.80    | 0.0001  |
| Species*Lux                       | 4.93    | <0.0001 |
| Species*Insect Harassment Outside | 7.04    | <0.0001 |
| Species*Temperature (Shelter)     | 8.65    | <0.0001 |
| Rain*Wind Speed                   | 5.06    | <0.0001 |
| Rain*Temperature (Outside)        | 5.40    | <0.0001 |
| Species*Rain*Wind Speed           | 5.49    | <0.0001 |

364

Rain: When it was raining, both species spent significantly less time outside (Rain: z = 9.94, p < 0.0001) however, the donkeys were significantly more affected by the rain than the horses (Species\*Rain: z = 2.48, p = 0.013). There was a 54% increase in the number of donkeys inside a constructed shelter when it was raining heavily compared to when it was dry (from 35% to 89%). In contrast, there was only a 16% increase in the number of horses inside when it was raining compared to when it was dry (from 10% to 26%) (see Figure 1a).

#### Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

371

372 Wind: Despite the relatively small range of wind speeds observed (see environmental 373 conditions detailed above), there was still a significant main effect of wind (Wind: z = 4.31, p 374 < 0.0001). There was also a significant interaction of wind speed and species, showing that 375 the two species responded differently to the wind (Species\*Wind: z = 3.80, p = 0.001). The 376 lowest number of donkeys were found inside when the wind speeds were light (39% at 0.3-377 3.3m/s), with donkeys tending to move inside as the winds rose, until 61% were inside 378 during a fresh to moderate breeze (5.5-8.3m/s). In contrast the number of horses inside 379 reduced slightly as the wind speed rose, from 16% in calm weather (0-0.2) to 5% during a 380 fresh to moderate breeze (5.5-8.3m/s) (see Figure 1b). There was also a significant 381 interaction of wind and rain, as well as a significant three-way interaction with species, 382 suggesting that the donkeys and horses were affected differently by combinations of wind 383 and rain levels (Species\*Rain\*Wind: 5.49, p < 0.0001). Donkeys were relatively unaffected 384 by changes in wind speed when the weather was dry but when it was raining they tended to 385 seek shelter more as wind speeds increase. Perhaps surprisingly, although overall shelter 386 use by horses increased when it rained, shelter use was lower at higher wind speeds.

387

388 Temperature and Lux: There was a significant main effect of lux, with more animals being 389 found outside at higher lux levels (Lux: z = 11.75, p < 0.0001), however the two species 390 showed different patterns of shelter use (Species\*Lux: z = 4.93, p < 0.0001), with the 391 number of donkeys outside steadily increasing as lux levels rose while the number of horses 392 remained relatively stable, with a possible increase in shelter use at the lowest and highest 393 lux levels (see Figure 1d). As may be expected, the relationship between temperature and 394 shelter use showed a similar pattern to the relationship with lux (Figure 1e). Horses seemed 395 relatively unaffected by the temperatures experienced during the study, with the number of 396 horses inside remaining at around 10% in temperatures from 0-20°C but increasing to 22% 397 as temperatures rose above 20°C. In contrast donkey shelter use was much more varied 398 across the temperature range with 69% staying indoors in the coldest weather (0-9°C) and 399 donkeys tending to move outside as the temperature became warmer until, at the highest 400 temperatures (>20°C) the same percentage of horses and donkeys were found outside 401 (22%) (see Figure 1e). Although outside temperature was included in the global model, the 402 main effect was not significant, and the interaction of species and temperature in the shelter 403 was found to be a better predictor of shelter use than the temperature outside 404 (Species\*Temperature (Shelter): z = 8.65, p < 0.0001), reflecting the relatively stable, low 405 level of shelter use in horses across temperatures and the reduction in shelter use as shelter 406 (and outside) temperatures rise (Figure 1f). There was also a significant interaction effect of 407 temperature and rain (Rain\*Temperature (Outside): z = 5.40, p < 0.0001); shelter use was

408 not strongly affected by temperature when the weather was dry, however, when it was409 raining, shelter use was much higher at cold temperatures.

410

411 Insect Challenge: There was significant main effect of insect challenge (Insect Harassment

- 412 Outside: z = 3.26, p = 0.001) as well as a significant interaction with species, showing that
- 413 as the level of insect harassment increased, horses tended to move inside whereas the
- 414 donkeys tended to move outside (Species\*Insect Harassment: z = 7.04, p < 0.0001) (see
- 415 Figure 1c).
- 416

417 Time and Month: As would be expected, shelter use differed across months of the year and

418 time of day (Month: z = 5.06, p < 0.0001; Time: z = 5.06, p < 0.0001), with shelter use 419 highest over the winter months (November-February) and early in the morning (before

420 10am). However, there was no difference in the shelter use of the two species as a function

- 421 of time or month.
- 422 423

#### Insert Figure 1 here.

Figure 1. Shelter use of donkeys and horses in relation to environmental conditions, measured by percentage of animals observed inside a constructed shelter. A. during three levels of precipitation B. as a factor of wind speed. C. as a factor of outside insect harassment D. as a factor of lux levels. E. as a factor of outside temperature F. as a factor of temperature inside the shelter.

429

430 Effects of environmental conditions on natural shelter use of donkeys and horses

431 Rate of natural shelter use was very low; there were only 78/1728 observation sessions and
432 1646/13513 specific instances in which animals were seen using natural shelter, probably

433 due to the availability of constructed shelters. The global model containing the factors

434 Species, Protection Type and Species\* Protection Type was the best fit model to explain

435 natural shelter use (see Figure 2). Overall, donkeys sought natural shelter when outside 436 more often than horses (z = 15.14, p < 0.0001). The rate of natural shelter use also varied

- The rate of natural sheller use also varied z = 15.14, p < 0.0001). The rate of natural sheller use also varied
- 437 depending on the environmental conditions (z = 20.08, p < 0.0001), with protection being
- 438 sought most often in windy conditions, followed by rainy conditions, and least often for sunny
- 439 conditions. Finally, there was a significant difference in the environmental factors that led to 440 natural shelter use across the two species (z = 15.28, p < 0.0001), with donkeys seeking
- 441 shelter relatively more than horses in windy and rainy conditions and horses seeking natural
- 442 shelter relatively more readily in sunny conditions.
- 443

444

## Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure 2. Natural shelter use by donkeys and horses as protection from sun, wind and rain. Shelter was more likely to be sought in windy conditions, and least often in sunny conditions. Overall, donkeys used natural shelter more than horses but there was a significant difference in the use of natural shelter by the two species, with donkeys seeking shelter from rain and wind and horses seeking shelter relatively more often when sunny.

- 451
- 452 Discussion

453

454 Even in the relatively mild climate of the UK, changes in environmental conditions 455 significantly affected shelter seeking behavior in domestic equids, with significant differences 456 in the patterns of shelter use in horses versus donkeys. Overall donkeys spent more time in 457 constructed shelters and were more affected by changes in the weather conditions than 458 horses. The use of constructed shelters by donkeys increased significantly in temperatures 459 below 10°C, when it was raining, and when winds increased from light to moderate speeds. 460 In contrast, shelter use by horses remained relatively low across the observed temperatures, 461 with a slight increase as temperatures rose above 20°C. Across wind speeds, constructed 462 shelter use by horses was low and reduced further in moderate winds. Horses did seek 463 shelter more when it rained but the effect was smaller than that seen in the donkey 464 population. The pattern of natural shelter use was similar: donkeys used natural shelter 465 more than horses and sought natural shelter as protection from the rain and wind more than 466 horses, whereas horses sought natural protection from the sun more than donkeys. Unlike 467 the other environmental conditions, horses appeared more affected by insect challenge than 468 donkeys, moving inside as insect numbers rose.

469

470 Donkeys sought constructed and natural shelter more readily than horses when it was 471 raining and when wind speed increased, as would be expected by an animal adapted to a 472 semi-arid environment. The number of horses outside increased slightly at higher wind 473 speeds, this may be because they sought relief from insect challenge. Shelter use is unlikely 474 to be affected by different environmental features in isolation but reflects a response to a 475 complex interaction of environmental conditions. For cattle, an increase in wind speed from 476 0.3 to 3.9 m/s (i.e. from calm to a gentle breeze) was found to increase the LCT from -2° to 477 7°C if the animal was dry, and from 6° to 16°C if the animal had a wet coat. Exposure to wind 478 and rain therefore leads to a significant rising of the LCT of cattle to above the average 479 temperature in the UK (Gregory, 1995). Similarly, studies of horses have reported that 480 precipitation levels affect shelter seeking behaviour considerably more when wind speeds 481 are higher and temperatures are lower (Heleski and Murtazashvili, 2010; Snoeks et al., 482 2015). We found the same interaction of weather conditions in this study, however, again,

## Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

483 the species showed different behavioural patterns in response to the combinations of 484 environmental conditions. When raining, donkeys sought shelter more readily as the wind 485 speeds increased but surprisingly, horses did not seek shelter more in higher winds. This is 486 contrary to previous research but may be due to the climatic conditions remaining relatively 487 mild for the horses.

488

489 Donkeys' shelter use also varied significantly across the observed temperature range (0-490 33°C), with around 70% staying indoors when the temperature was below 5°C and around 491 70% observed outside as the temperature rose above 15°C. In contrast, horses' shelter use 492 remained relatively low ( $\approx 10\%$ ) from 0-20°C and slightly increased as temperatures rose. 493 The pattern of shelter use by the horses is in line with previous research showing significant 494 increases in shelter use above 25°C (Holcomb et al., 2014; Snoeks et al., 2015). Previous 495 research indicates that horses' TNZ is approximately 0-25°C, and the slight increase in 496 shelter use at temperatures above 20 °C supports this, indicating they may be approaching 497 their UCT and are attempting to find shelter from the sun (Autio et al., 2007; Morgan, 1998). There are no estimations of donkeys' TNZ but these results suggest that their TNZ may be 498 499 higher than that of horses. Future work assessing the rates of heat loss in donkeys across 500 climatic conditions, taking in to account demographic factors such as age and breed, would 501 be of benefit. In this study, ambient temperature often did not vary significantly between 502 outside and constructed shelters which may explain why lux levels were also a significant 503 predictor of shelter use across species. This finding highlights the importance of including 504 measures that assess animal comfort levels, such as measures of solar radiation, or, more 505 accurately, globe temperature (Holcomb et al., 2014).

506

507 There is contradicting evidence as to whether insect challenge is generally higher outside, in 508 shade, or inside constructed shelters (Holcomb, 2017), with these differences probably 509 reflecting variations in environment and climatic conditions (Powell et al., 2006). In our study, 510 levels of insect challenge were lower inside shelters compared to outside. As the level of 511 insect harassment increased, horses tended to move inside, in contrast, donkeys tended to 512 move outside, thus it is possible that insect challenge is not as significant a driver of location 513 choice for donkeys than horses in this climate. Although donkeys and horses showed similar 514 levels of harassment behaviours, suggesting that they experienced similar levels of 515 discomfort, overall insect numbers tended to be higher on horses than donkeys. It is 516 therefore possible that horses experienced higher levels of insect challenge. Measures of 517 insect density and insect harassment behaviours are standard ways to assess insect 518 challenge (Holcomb, 2017), however, there is currently no definitive measure of insect 519 challenge. Insect harassment behaviours give an indication of the extent to which insects 520 are causing actual discomfort and, in our study, this was a slightly more accurate indicator of

shelter use than insect density. Future research could explore in more depth the relationshipbetween measures of density and harassment.

523

524 Taken together these results appear to reflect the differences in evolutionary history of 525 donkeys and horses. It is important to assess the behavioural and physiological effects of 526 the environment on domestic species to ensure that the disparity between the climates to 527 which they are adapted, and those they find themselves in, does not cause welfare 528 problems. Horses were less affected by changing climate conditions and showed less 529 shelter use overall than donkeys, although there was an increase in shelter use as 530 temperatures rose. Even in the relatively mild climate of the UK, donkeys readily sought 531 adequate, i.e. constructed, shelter during cold, windy or wet weather. These findings 532 suggest that management and particularly, shelter provision, of each species should be 533 considered separately, and that donkeys may require more protection from the elements 534 than horses in temperate climates.

535

#### 536 Acknowledgements

537 We are grateful to Lucinda McAlpine and the Dartmoor Pony Heritage Trust for facilitating 538 this research and providing access to their animals. Thanks also to Max Leonardi for 539 statistical advice. The project was funded by a Donkey Sanctuary Research Grant awarded 540 to BO and LP.

541

Authorship Statement: The idea for the paper was conceived by LP, BO, FB. The
experiments were designed by LP, BO, FB. The data was collected by NB, SL, KH, FB. The
data were analysed by LP. The paper was written by LP, BO, FB, NB, KH and SL.

545

#### 546 **Ethical Statement**

547 This study was approved by Canterbury Christ Church Animal Welfare Ethics Research 548 Board and adhered to the EU Directive 2019/63/EU for animal experiments and the 549 Association of Animal Behaviour guidelines for the treatment of animals. The study did not 550 affect the management practices and decisions made by the equid owners. Any subjects 551 that ceased to meet the inclusion criteria of the study (free access to an outside area and a 552 constructed shelter, no rug or clipping and in good health) were excluded from further 553 observations.

554

555 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

- 556
- 557
- 558

|     | Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids                                              |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|     | ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT                                                                       |
| 559 |                                                                                           |
| 560 | References                                                                                |
| 561 | Autio, E., Heiskanen, ML., Mononen, J., 2007. Thermographic Evaluation of the Lower       |
| 562 | Critical Temperature in Weanling Horses. J. Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci. 10, 207–216.           |
| 563 | https://doi.org/10.1080/10888700701353493                                                 |
| 564 |                                                                                           |
| 565 | Autio, E., Neste, R., Airaksinen, S., Heiskanen, ML., 2006. Measuring the Heat Loss in    |
| 566 | Horses in Different Seasons by Infrared Thermography. J. Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci. 9,        |
| 567 | 211–221. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327604jaws0903_3                                      |
| 568 |                                                                                           |
| 569 | Ayo, J.O., Dzenda, T., Olaifa, F., Ake, S.A., Sani, I., 2014. Diurnal and Seasonal        |
| 570 | Fluctuations in Rectal Temperature, Respiration and Heart Rate of Pack Donkeys in a       |
| 571 | Tropical Savannah Zone. J. equine Sci. 25, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1294/jes.25.1          |
| 572 |                                                                                           |
| 573 | Ayo, J.O., Dzenda, T., Zakari, F.O., 2008. Individual and Diurnal Variations in Rectal    |
| 574 | Temperature, Respiration, and Heart Rate of Pack Donkeys during the Early Rainy           |
| 575 | Season. J. Equine Vet. Sci. 28, 281–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2008.03.003       |
| 576 |                                                                                           |
| 577 | Brinkmann, L., Gerken, M., Riek, A., 2012. Adaptation strategies to seasonal changes in   |
| 578 | environmental conditions of a domesticated horse breed, the Shetland pony (Equus          |
| 579 | ferus caballus). J. Exp. Biol. 215, 1061–1068. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.064832         |
| 580 |                                                                                           |
| 581 | Crowell-Davis, S.L., 1994. Daytime rest behavior of the Welsh pony (Equus caballus) mare  |
| 582 | and foal. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 40, 197–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-              |
| 583 | 1591(94)90061-2                                                                           |
| 584 |                                                                                           |
| 585 | Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs UK Government, 2018. Code of practice   |
| 586 | for the welfare of horses, ponies, donkeys and their hybrids.                             |
| 587 |                                                                                           |
| 588 | Gaunitz, C., Fages, A., Hanghøj, K., Albrechtsen, A., Khan, N., Schubert, M., Seguin-     |
| 589 | Orlando, A., Owens, I.J., Felkel, S., Bignon-Lau, O., Damgaard, P. de B., Mittnik, A.,    |
| 590 | Mohaseb, A.F., Davoudi, H., Alquraishi, S., Alfarhan, A.H., Al-Rasheid, K.A.S.,           |
| 591 | Crubézy, E., Benecke, N., Olsen, S., Brown, D., Anthony, D., Massy, K., Pitulko, V.,      |
| 592 | Kasparov, A., Brem, G., Hofreiter, M., Mukhtarova, G., Baimukhanov, N., Lõugas, L.,       |
| 593 | Onar, V., Stockhammer, P.W., Krause, J., Boldgiv, B., Undrakhbold, S., Erdenebaatar,      |
| 594 | D., Lepetz, S., Mashkour, M., Ludwig, A., Wallner, B., Merz, V., Merz, I., Zaibert, V.,   |
| 595 | Willerslev, E., Librado, P., Outram, A.K., Orlando, L., 2018. Ancient genomes revisit the |
| 596 | ancestry of domestic and Przewalski's horses. Science (80). 360, 111–114.                 |

| 1   | Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids<br>ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT                           |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 597 | https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao3297                                                       |
| 598 | Geigl, EM., Grange, T., 2012. Eurasian wild asses in time and space: Morphological versus     |
| 599 | genetic diversity. Ann. Anat Anat. Anzeiger, Special Issue: Ancient DNA 194, 88–102.          |
| 600 | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aanat.2011.06.002                                                   |
| 601 |                                                                                               |
| 602 | Gregory, N.G., 1995. The role of shelterbelts in protecting livestock: A review. New Zeal. J. |
| 603 | Agric. Res. 38, 423–450. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.1995.9513146                        |
| 604 |                                                                                               |
| 605 | Heleski, C.R., Murtazashvili, I., 2010. Daytime shelter-seeking behavior in domestic horses.  |
| 606 | J. Vet. Behav. Clin. Appl. Res. 5, 276–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2010.01.003        |
| 607 |                                                                                               |
| 608 | Holcomb, K.E., 2017. Is shade for horses a comfort resource or a minimum requirement? J.      |
| 609 | Anim. Sci. 95, 4206–4212. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2017.1641                               |
| 610 |                                                                                               |
| 611 | Holcomb, K.E., Tucker, C.B., Stull, C.L., 2014. Preference of domestic horses for shade in a  |
| 612 | hot, sunny environment. J. Anim. Sci. 92, 1708–1717. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2013-        |
| 613 | 7386                                                                                          |
| 614 |                                                                                               |
| 615 | Keiper, R.R., Berger, J., 1982. Refuge-seeking and pest avoidance by feral horses in desert   |
| 616 | and island environments. Appl. Anim. Ethol. 9, 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-         |
| 617 | 3762(82)90187-0                                                                               |
| 618 |                                                                                               |
| 619 | Kuntz, R., Kubalek, C., Ruf, T., Tataruch, F., Arnold, W., 2006. Seasonal adjustment of       |
| 620 | energy budget in a large wild mammal, the Przewalski horse (Equus ferus przewalskii)          |
| 621 | I. Energy intake. J. Exp. Biol. 209, 4557–4565. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02535             |
| 622 |                                                                                               |
| 623 | Mejdell, C.M., Bøe, K.E., 2005. Responses to climatic variables of horses housed outdoors     |
| 624 | under Nordic winter conditions. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 85, 307–308.                               |
| 625 | https://doi.org/10.4141/A04-066                                                               |
| 626 |                                                                                               |
| 627 | MET Office, 2018. UK Climate Summaries. Retrieved from:                                       |
| 628 | https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/summaries                                             |
| 629 |                                                                                               |
| 630 | Michanek, P., Bentorp, M., 1996. Time spent in shelter in relation to weather by two free-    |
| 631 | ranging thoroughbred yearlings during winter. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 49, 104.                |
| 632 | https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591(96)87698-2                                                  |
| 633 |                                                                                               |
| 634 | Minka, N.S., Ayo, J.O., 2007. Effects of Shade Provision on Some Physiological                |

# Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

| 635<br>636<br>637               | Parameters, Behavior and Performance of Pack Donkeys (Equinus asinus) during the Hot-Dry Season. J. Equine Sci. 18, 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1294/jes.18.39                                                                                                                       |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 638<br>639<br>640               | Morgan, K., 1998. Thermoneutral zone and critical temperatures of horses. J. Therm. Biol. 23, 59–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4565(97)00047-8                                                                                                                                 |
| 641<br>642<br>643<br>644        | Morgan, K., Ehrlemark, A., Sällvik, K., 1997. Dissipation of heat from standing horses<br>exposed to ambient temperatures between –3°C and 37°C. J. Therm. Biol. 22, 177–<br>186. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4565(97)00007-7                                                    |
| 645<br>646<br>647<br>648        | Osthaus, B., Proops, L., Long, S., Bell, N., Hayday, K., Burden, F., 2018. Hair coat<br>properties of donkeys, mules and horses in a temperate climate. Equine Vet. J. 50,<br>339–342. https://doi.org/10.1111/evj.12775                                                           |
| 649<br>650<br>651<br>652        | Outram, A.K., Stear, N.A., Bendrey, R., Olsen, S., Kasparov, A., Zaibert, V., Thorpe, N.,<br>Evershed, R.P., 2009. The Earliest Horse Harnessing and Milking. Science (80 ). 323,<br>1332–1335. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1168594                                            |
| 653<br>654<br>655<br>656        | Powell, D.M., Danze, D.E., Gwinn, M.A., 2006. Predictors of biting fly harassment and its impact on habitat use by feral horses (Equus caballus) on a barrier island. J. Ethol. 24, 147–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10164-005-0174-2                                             |
| 657<br>658<br>659               | R Core Development Team, 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 660<br>661<br>662<br>663<br>664 | Rosenbom, S., Costa, V., Al-Araimi, N., Kefena, E., Abdel-Moneim, A.S., Abdalla, M.A.,<br>Bakhiet, A., Beja-Pereira, A., 2015. Genetic diversity of donkey populations from the<br>putative centers of domestication. Anim. Genet. 46, 30–36.<br>https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12256 |
| 665<br>666<br>667<br>668        | Rossel, S., Marshall, F., Peters, J., Pilgram, T., Adams, M.D., O'Connor, D., 2008.<br>Domestication of the donkey: Timing, processes, and indicators. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.<br>105, 3715–3720. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0709692105                                           |
| 669<br>670<br>671               | Rubenstein, D.I., Hohmann, M.E., 1989. Parasites and Social Behavior of Island Feral<br>Horses. Oikos 55, 312–320. https://doi.org/10.2307/3565589                                                                                                                                 |
| 672                             | Snoeks, M.G., Moons, C.P.H., Odberg, F.O., Aviron, M., Geers, R., 2015. Behavior of                                                                                                                                                                                                |

|     | Shelter seeking behaviour of domestic equids                                               |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|     | ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT                                                                        |
| 673 | horses on pasture in relation to weather and shelter—A field study in a temperate          |
| 674 | climate. J. Vet. Behav. Clin. Appl. Res. 10, 561–568.                                      |
| 675 | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2015.07.037                                                 |
| 676 |                                                                                            |
| 677 | Stephen, J.O., Baptiste, K.E., Townsend, H.G.G., 2000. Clinical and pathologic findings in |
| 678 | donkeys with hypothermia: 10 cases (1988–1998). J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 216, 725–          |
| 679 | 729. https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2000.216.725                                            |
| 680 |                                                                                            |
| 681 | Tyler, S.J., 1972. The behaviour and social organisation of the New Forest ponies. Anim.   |
| 682 | Behav. Monogr. 5, 85–196.                                                                  |
| 683 |                                                                                            |
| 684 | Zakari, F.O., Ayo, J.O., Kawu, M.U., Rekwot, P.I., 2015. The Effect of Season and          |
| 685 | Meteorological Stress Factors on Behavioural Responses and Activities of Donkeys           |
| 686 | (equus Asinus) - a Review. Ann. Anim. Sci. 15, 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1515/aoas-      |
| 687 | 2015-0013                                                                                  |
| 688 |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     | 7                                                                                          |
|     |                                                                                            |
|     |                                                                                            |





#### Highlights

- We observed the shelter seeking behaviour (SSB) of donkeys and horses in a temperate climate.
- Overall donkeys sought shelter more frequently than horses, particularly when cold (<10°C), rainy and windy.</li>
- Constructed shelter use by horses was low but they started to move inside as temperatures rose (>20 °C).
- Horses sought natural shelter more than donkeys when sunny and appeared more affected by insects.
- Differences in SSB appear to reflect donkeys' and horses' adaptation to different climates.

#### **Ethical Statement**

This study was approved by Canterbury Christ Church Animal Welfare Ethics Research Board and adhered to the EU Directive 2019/63/EU for animal experiments and the Association of Animal Behaviour guidelines for the treatment of animals. The study did not affect the management practices and decisions made by the equid owners. Any subjects that ceased to meet the inclusion criteria of the study (free access to an outside area and a constructed shelter, no rug or clipping and in good health) were excluded from further observations.

Chillip Marker