1 2

## Performance response to endurance training studies – a reminder

- 3 Arthur Henrique Bossi<sup>1,2</sup>, Guilherme Matta<sup>3</sup>
- 4

<sup>1</sup> School of Applied Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

6 <sup>2</sup> The Mountain Bike Centre of Scotland, Peel Tower, Glentress, Peebles, United Kingdom

7 <sup>3</sup> School of Psychology and Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, Engineering and Social Sciences,

8 Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, United Kingdom

9

10 TO THE EDITOR: Souza et al. (1) in their study outline several protocols for research to be conducted 11 outside the laboratory. We would like to complement their Viewpoint by pointing out some methods 12 for participants' self-assessment of endurance performance. Given the applied nature of training studies, 13 which are often featured in this Journal (2,3), it is curious that researchers sometimes choose not to 14 directly assess the performance response to an endurance training intervention (2). This is at odds with 15 evidence that physiological adaptations can be uncorrelated with performance changes (3). Although 16 logistics, practicality, and participant burden may dictate methodological choices, self-assessment of 17 endurance performance can be implemented with relative ease, provided that instructions are followed. 18 Cycling-based time trials and critical power testing can be performed at home or outdoors, with the help 19 of smart trainers or power meters (4,5). Running-based time trials and critical speed testing can be 20 completed on athletics tracks or treadmills, using just a stopwatch (5). Conceivably, self-assessed 21 performances may not be as valid as their laboratory-based equivalents, particularly in the case of 22 nonathletes, due to a lack of motivation and/or experience to perform maximally. However, preliminary 23 data suggest that performance reliability is not compromised in the case of recreationally trained cyclists 24 (4), underlining the usefulness of a home-based approach. Whether endurance training studies are 25 conducted entirely in the laboratory, remotely, or using a hybrid format, is up to research teams to 26 decide. Regardless, such studies will always benefit from a performance test to demonstrate the impact 27 of observed physiological adaptations.

28

## 29 Disclosures

30 None

31

## 32 References

33

Souza HLR, Bernardes BP, Dos Prazeres EO, Arriel RA, Meireles A, Camilo GB, da Mota
 GR, and Marocolo M. Hoping for the best, prepared for the worst - can we perform remote data
 collection in sport sciences? *J Appl Physiol* 2022. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00196.2022

37 2. Goodman JM, Liu PP, and Green HJ. Left ventricular adaptations following short-term
38 endurance training. *J Appl Physiol* 98: 454-460, 2005. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00258.2004

39 3. Vollaard NB, Constantin-Teodosiu D, Fredriksson K, Rooyackers O, Jansson E,
 40 Greenhaff PL, Timmons JA, and Sundberg CJ. Systematic analysis of adaptations in aerobic
 41 capacity and submaximal energy metabolism provides a unique insight into determinants of human
 42 aerobic performance. *J Appl Physiol* 106: 1479-1486, 2009. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.91453.2008
 43 4. Matta G, Edwards A, Roelands B, Hettinga F, and Hurst P. Reproducibility of 20-min time-

trial performance on a virtual cycling platform. *Int J Sports Med* 2022. doi: 10.1055/a-1848-8478

45 5. Muniz-Pumares D, Karsten B, Triska C, and Glaister M. Methodological approaches and
46 related challenges associated with the determination of critical power and curvature constant. *J Strength*47 *Cond Res* 33: 584-596, 2019. doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000002977

48