

**Research Space** Journal article

# **Adsorption of aflatoxin B1 to corn by-products**

**Liu, Yue, Xia, Lei, Galani Yamdeu, Joseph, Gong, Yun Yun and Orfila, Caroline**

This is the accepted version of the article published as:

Yue Liu, Lei Xia, Joseph Hubert Galani Yamdeu, Yun Yun Gong, Caroline Orfila,

Adsorption of aflatoxin B1 to corn by-products,

Food Chemistry,

Volume 440,

2024,

138212,

ISSN 0308-8146,

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2023.138212.>

# Journal Pre-proofs

Adsorption of aflatoxin  $B_1$  to corn by-products

Yue Liu, Lei Xia, Joseph Hubert Galani Yamdeu, Yun Yun Gong, Caroline Orfila





Please cite this article as: Liu, Y., Xia, L., Hubert Galani Yamdeu, J., Yun Gong, Y., Orfila, C., Adsorption of aflatoxin B<sup>1</sup> to corn by-products, *Food Chemistry* (2023), doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2023.138212>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# **Adsorption of aflatoxin B<sup>1</sup> to corn by-products**

Yue Liu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Lei Xia<sup>2</sup>, Joseph Hubert Galani Yamdeu<sup>2,3</sup>, Yun Yun Gong<sup>2</sup>, Caroline Orfila<sup>2†</sup>

1 College of Ocean Food and Biological Engineering, Jimei University, Xiamen, 361021, China

2 Nutritional Science and Epidemiology Group, School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

3 Section of Natural and Applied Sciences, School of Psychology and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK

**Abstract**: The adsorption of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) to natural fiber materials prepared from corn byproducts was investigated in this study. The results showed that corn cob powder (CCP) dose, particle size, time (0.25 to 24 h), temperature (4, 20, 37, 50 and 100 $^{\circ}$ C) and pH (2 to 8), had significant effects on adsorption. The maximum adsorption (98%) was with particles 500-355 µm in size at 20°C for 8 h, at the dose of 50 mg $\cdot$ mL $^{-1}$ . The adsorption fitted pseudo-second-order model and Langmuir isotherm well. Besides, CCP had a higher adsorption capacity to  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  than any single cell wall components of corn, which indicated that capillary effect happened in cell wall might be the main reason for adsorption. The results also suggested that CCP could reduce  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  content from both liquid and solid food matrixes. Briefly, CCP displayed promising properties that could be developed in nature-based practical applications for food aflatoxin decontamination.

**Key words**: Aflatoxin B1 Corn cob Adsorption By-products *Zea Mays*

### **1. Introduction**

 $\overline{a}$ 

Aflatoxin B<sup>1</sup> (AFB1) is a toxic metabolite by *Aspergillus* sp. that accumulates in many foods and feeds, especially in cereal and cereal-based food. These fungi grow in a wide range of geographical areas characterized by warm and humid conditions. The majority of countries set up AFB<sub>1</sub> maximum limit regulations for common grains given its serious toxic effect on human health. Strategies have been employed to prevent fungal growth and to remove the toxin from food. The strategies, include irradiation, treatment with neutral electrolyzed oxidizing water, microbial inhibition and degradation, and adsorption to synthetic and biological materials (Liu,

Abbreviation: AFB1, aflatoxin B1; CBP, corn bran powder; CCP, corn cob powder; EDTA, Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid; FB1, fumonisin B<sub>1</sub>; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; OTA, ochratoxin A; V<sub>P</sub>, pore volume; S<sub>BET</sub>, larger surface area; ZEN, zearalenone.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Email addresses[: y.liu@jmu.edu.cn](mailto:y.liu@jmu.edu.cn) (Y. Liu)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Corresponding author. Email addresses: *[c.orfila@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:c.orfila@hotmail.co.uk)* (C. Orfila)

Galani Yamdeu, Gong, & Orfila, 2020). Whilst some approaches can be simple, efficient, and ecofriendly, they may have issues such as loss of nutrients and sensory properties, the needs for specific equipment, or the introduction of inedible adsorbents to the system. Therefore, there is a need to investigate mycotoxin prevention of decontamination using materials that are foodgrade/safe, sustainable, and widely sourced. For example, our previous study showed that the growth of *A. flavus* was inhibited by polyphenols extracted from citrus peel by-products (Liu, Benohoud, Galani Yamdeu, Gong, & Orfila, 2021).

In recent years, agricultural by-products have shown good capacity to adsorb various mycotoxins in buffer solutions (Table. S1). Greco, D'Ascanio, Santovito, Logrieco, and Avantaggiato (2019), used a mixed solution containing 1  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup> of each AFB<sub>1</sub>, zearalenone (ZEN), ochratoxin A (OTA) and fumonisin  $B_1$  (FB<sub>1</sub>), and found that pomegranate peel (55%), plantain peel (67%), almond hull (87%), carobs (100%) and grape pomaces (94%) all had strong adsorption to  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$ , followed by ZEN; while pomegranate seed (86%), lemon residues (50% to 74%) and orange residues (51% to 61%) showed stronger adsorption to ZEN compared to the other three mycotoxins.

Corn or maize (*Zea mays*) is one of the most widely planted crops in the world. In 2019, the production of corn worldwide was about 1.15 billion tons. The major production regions are the Americas (49.20%), Asia (32.10%), Europe (11.60%) and Africa (7.10%) (FAOSTATS, 2021). As important by-products, about 18 kg of corn cob and 6 to 7 kg of corn bran can be generated for every 100 kg of corn grain (Choi, Nam, Yun, Kim, & Kim, 2022; Rose, Inglett, & Liu, 2010). These abundant corn by-products have been used as a feedstock for animal feed, production of biofuels, construction materials, absorbents for chemical waste, petrochemical industry and fermentation substrates (Choi et al., 2022; Rose et al., 2010).

The adsorption of various chemicals to carbonized or modified corn cob has been previously investigated. Corn cob (1.2 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) carbonized with  $H_2SO_4$  was found to adsorb nearly 100% methylene blue in 1 h (Jawad, Mohammed, Mastuli, & Abdullah, 2018). Similarly, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-modified corn cob (after crude polysaccharide extraction) adsorbed up to 97.5% of malachite green at the dose of 5 μg·mL<sup>-1</sup> (Hu et al., 2018). Biochar produced by pyrolysis of corn cob at 350°C and 450°C removed 8 to 73% of Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions with different concentrations (0.25 to 3 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) of adsorbent doses (Assirey & Altamimi, 2021).

The hypothesis behind the adsorption ability of fiber-rich agricultural by-products is associated with their abundant functional groups of plant polysaccharides and lignin (e.g. hydroxyl, carbonyl, carboxylic/sulfhydryl carboxylic, phenolic, esters). These groups are suggested to be the active sites for adsorption (Rasheed et al., 2020). The cell wall of corn cob is primarily made of cellulose, cross-linked with hemicelluloses and lignin (Fig. S1). The proportion of these polysaccharides, as well as the composition of hemicelluloses, varies greatly between different corn varieties (Fig. S2). On average, cellulose, lignin (Fig. S1c) and hemicelluloses account for up to 42%, 35% and 46% respectively. Among the hemicelluloses, xylan is found to take up 26% to 33% of total cell wall dry weight, and is the most abound hemicellulose in the cob cell wall. When xylan units have arabinose as side groups (2.4% to 3.6% in corn cob), the polysaccharides are identified as arabinoxylan (Serra, Weng, Coelhoso, Alves, & Brazinha, 2020). These polysaccharides and lignin

contain abundant hydroxyls, esters, pyran rings, *etc*., which might be the functional groups involved in aflatoxin adsorption.

Adsorption technology is an important approach to remove small molecule hazardous materials from matrixes. For instance, clays have been shown to be effective adsorbents of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  and are used as technological additives to animal feed (Jaynes, Zartman, & Hudnall, 2007). Recently, biosorption has become an attractive option over other technologies due to showing high efficiency and excellent safety, as well as being environmentally friendly. Adsorption to microorganisms has been shown to be a promising technology (Table S2). For instance, adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> to yeast-based products could offer alternatives to clays and other additives (Campagnollo et al., 2020). Furthermore, adsorption of AFB<sup>1</sup> to the surface of lactic acid bacteria has been proposed to be through hydrophobic interactions, enhanced by sonication of the bacteria (Abedi, Mousavifard, & Bagher Hashemi, 2022). However, it can be difficult to compare between studies due to variation in culture and adsorption methodologies. Studies have demonstrated that the biomass from different agriculture by-products could adsorb hazardous materials, however, there is little data about the detoxification of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  by adsorption to noncharred corn by-products. Furthermore, few studies explore the mechanism of adsorption. Therefore, the present work was conducted to investigate the feasibility of using natural and simple prepared corn by-products as adsorbents to reduce  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  content from aqueous solutions. The adsorption mechanism was characterised through adsorption model fitting and exploration of the adsorption of individual cell wall components. We discuss the potential of corn by-products as effective biosorbents of AFB<sub>1</sub>, including their advantages and disadvantages compared to existing technologies. The present work also demonstrated the application of corn cob powder to detoxify  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  in a number of food matrixes (grains and milk drinks), and the results suggested a new approach on AFB<sub>1</sub> reduction from liquid and solid foods before consumption.

# **2. Materials and methods**

### 2.1 Materials

All chemicals and solvents (acetonitrile, methanol, ethanol) used were analytical grade. Cellulose (Cotton linters, microcrystalline, 9004-34-6) was purchased from Sigma (UK). Xylan (Beechwood, >95%, xylose/glucuronic Acid=80.8/11.4, 9014-63-5) and arabinoxylan (Rye, high viscosity, ~90%, arabinose/xylose=38/62, 9040-27-1) were purchased from Megazyme (Australia). Lignin (HY-111830) was from MedChem Express (UK). Cow's milk (Tesco whole milk, 3.7% fat), oat beverage (1.5% fat, 6.8% total carbohydrate, 1.4% dietary fiber, 0.3% protein), milled rice and pearl barley grain were purchased from local supermarket (Morrisons, UK). The fresh corn was purchased from local market (UK) in 2019. Commercial corn bran powder was obtained from local company (Dove's Farm, UK).

 $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  stock solution for adsorption experiments and quantitative analysis was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of AFB<sub>1</sub> (≥98%, Sigma, CAS 1162-65-8) in 1 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Fluorochem, UK), and then diluted to 5 mL with acetonitrile to give a stock solution with the concentration of 2 mg $\cdot$ mL $^{-1}$ , stored at -20 $\cdot$ C.

### 2.2 Preparation of corn by-product powder

The corn grains were manually removed from fresh corn cobs and the empty cobs were used as the first by-product material. Then the internal content of each grain was squeezed out with a pestle and the remaining bran was used for the other by-product material. Later, 500 g of corn by-products (corn bran or corn cob) were wet-milled (Breville, Australia) with 1 L of cold extraction buffer (50 mM Tris and 100 mM Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid (EDTA) containing 2.63 mM sodium metabisulphite) for 5 min at 4°C. Next, the blended sample was filtered through four layers of cheesecloth. The residue fractions were washed with water three times and then with acetone three times. After freeze drying, the dried corn by-product powder was sieved through test sieves (Endecotts, UK) to divide the powder into 5 fractions: >750, 700-500, 500- 355, 355-250 and <250 µm. The powders were named as prepared corn cob powder (CCP) and prepared corn bran powder (CBP) respectively. Similarly, commercial CBP was dry milled for 5 min, and then was sieved as described above.

While corn is also one of the crops most susceptible to fungal and  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  contamination, the contamination tends to concentrate on surface kernels, and not reach the cob. However, contamination of cob is always a possibility, therefore researchers should check the  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  levels in CCP before starting their experiments.

### 2.3 Batch adsorption experiments with corn by-products

AFB<sub>1</sub> solutions for all adsorption experiments were prepared by diluting the stock solution (2) mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) with milli-Q water to the concentration of 200 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup>. The effects of different conditions (powder particle sizes, CCP doses, incubation temperatures, incubation times, pH) were assayed. Activated charcoal (20-60 mesh) with AFB<sub>1</sub> solution (10 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) was used as positive control (Jaynes et al., 2007). The mixture was placed on a 100 rpm of shaking water bath at 20°C for 1 h. The 200 ng $\cdot$ mL<sup>-1</sup> of AFB<sub>1</sub> solutions without adsorbents was used as negative control. After incubation, the suspended adsorbents were removed by centrifugation at 12000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatants were collected for  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  determination by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

The efficiency  $\frac{1}{2}$  of AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption to adsorbents was calculated as Eq.1:

% Association = 
$$
\left(\frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0}\right) \times 100
$$
 (1)

Where,  $C_t$  is the concentration of AFB<sub>1</sub> in the solution after the adsorption process in ng·mL<sup>-1</sup>; and C<sub>0</sub> is the initial concentration of AFB<sub>1</sub> in ng $\cdot$ mL<sup>-1</sup>.

### 2.4 Model studies of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption

#### 2.4.1 Adsorption kinetic study

Kinetic models of adsorption help to describe the process of adsorption (Rasheed et al., 2020). The experiment was carried out by adding 3 mg of CCP to 300  $\mu$ L of AFB<sub>1</sub> solution at a concentration of 60  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>. The mixture was placed on the shaking water bath (100 rpm) at 20 $^{\circ}$ C. After centrifugation, the supernatant containing residual AFB<sub>1</sub> was measured from 5 min to 24 h with HPLC. The equilibrium was achieved when there was no noticeable change in % adsorption after this time point.

The adsorption capacity of CCP for  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  was calculated as Eq.2 (Ji & Xie, 2021):

$$
q_e = \frac{(c_0 - c_e)v}{m}
$$

Where  $q_e$  is the adsorption amount of AFB<sub>1</sub> at equilibrium condition in  $\mu$ g·mg<sup>-1</sup>; C<sub>0</sub> is the initial concentration of AFB<sub>1</sub> in  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>; C<sub>e</sub> is the equilibrium concentration of AFB<sub>1</sub> after adsorption process in  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>; V is the volume of AFB<sub>1</sub> solution in mL; m is the weight of CCP in mg.

 $(2)$ 

The experimental data from present study could be fitted to two classic kinetic models, pseudofirst-order Eq.3 and pseudo-second-order Eq.4:

$$
\ln \frac{q_e - q_t}{q_e} = -k_1 t \tag{3}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{t}{q_e} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{t}{1} \tag{4}
$$

$$
\frac{c}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{c}{q_e} \tag{4}
$$

Where t is the adsorption time in min;  $q_t$  is the adsorption amount of AFB<sub>1</sub> at time point t in  $\mu$ g·mg<sup>-1</sup>; k<sub>1</sub> is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order in 1/min; k<sub>2</sub> is the rate constant of pseudosecond-order in mg·(μg·min)<sup>-1</sup>. The linearized plots for pseudo-first-order and pseudo-secondorder model were estimated using the slope and intercept of  $ln(q_e-q_t)$  vs. t and  $(t/q_t)$  vs. t respectively.

The root mean square error (RMSE) of the calculated data related to the experimental data could be estimated as Eq.5 (Abedi, Pourmohammadi, Mousavifard, & Sayadi, 2022):

$$
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{(experimental\ data - calculated\ data)^2}{n-p}}
$$
 (5)

Where n is the number of experimental data; p is the number of variables.

Moreover, the experimental data could be calculated as the intraparticle diffusion model Eq.6 (Ma, Cai, Mao, Yu, & Li, 2021):

$$
q_t = k_{id}t^{0.5} + c \tag{6}
$$

Where k<sub>id</sub> is the rate constant of intraparticle diffusion model in  $\mu$ g·(mg·min<sup>-0.5</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>; C is to reflect the thickness of the boundary layer in  $\mu$ g $\cdot$ mg<sup>-1</sup>. The plot for the intraparticle diffusion model was estimated using the slope and intercept of  $q_t$  vs. t. The intercept of the plot reflects the thickness of the boundary layer.

#### 2.4.2 Adsorption isotherm models

Adsorption isotherm models are applied to describe the maximum adsorption capability of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$ adsorbed on CCP and molecules under different initial concentrations at a constant temperature (Rasheed et al., 2020). The experiment was performed at 20°C by adding 3 mg of CCP to 300 µL of AFB<sub>1</sub> solution at various initial concentrations from 0.1 to 90  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>. The mixture was placed on the shaking water bath (100 rpm) immediately until adsorption equilibrium.

The adsorption isotherms data could be conducted using Langmuir Eq.7 and Freundlich Eq.8 models (Avantaggiato, Greco, Damascelli, Solfrizzo, & Visconti, 2013):

The Langmuir model is presented as 
$$
q_e = \frac{q_m k_L C_e}{1 + k_L C_e}
$$
 (7)

Where k<sub>L</sub> is the Langmuir constant in mL· $\mu$ g<sup>-1</sup>; q<sub>m</sub> is the maximum adsorption capacity in  $\mu$ g·mg<sup>-1</sup>. The parameters for the Langmuir model were estimated by plotting  $C_e/q_e$  vs.  $C_e$ .

The Freundlich model is presented as  $q_e = k_F \mathcal{C}^r_e$ 1  $\boldsymbol{n}$ (8)

Where k<sub>F</sub> is the Freundlich constant in mL· $\mu$ g<sup>-1</sup>; 1/n is the degree of heterogeneity of adsorbent surface. The parameters for the Freundlich model were estimated by plotting  $Inq<sub>e</sub>$  vs. InC<sub>e</sub>

#### 2.4.3 Adsorption thermodynamic study

Adsorption thermodynamics study can also provide theoretical evidence of the feasibility and spontaneity of the adsorption reaction (Rasheed et al., 2020). This experiment was carried out by adding 3 mg of CCP to 300  $\mu$ L of AFB<sub>1</sub> solution at concentration of 60  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>. The mixture was placed on the shaking water bath (100 rpm) immediately at various temperature (20 to 50°C) until adsorption equilibrium.

The thermodynamic constants were calculated by the van't Hoff Eq.9-12. The constants were the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G^0$ ), standard entropy change ( $\Delta S^0$ ) and standard enthalpy change ( $\Delta H^0$ ) (Ji & Xie, 2021).

$$
K_T = \frac{q_e}{c_e} \tag{9}
$$

$$
\Delta G^0 = -RTlnK_T \tag{10}
$$

$$
\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\Delta S^0 \tag{11}
$$

$$
ln K_T = \frac{\Delta S^0}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^0}{RT}
$$
\n(12)

Where K<sub>T</sub> is the equilibrium constant in mL·mg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\Delta G^0$  is the Gibbs free energy of adsorption in kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>; R is the general gas constant 8.314 J·(mol·K)<sup>-1</sup>; T is the adsorption absolute temperature in K; ΔH<sup>0</sup> is the enthalpy change in kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>; ΔS<sup>0</sup> is the entropy change in J·(mol·K)<sup>-1</sup>. The plot for the van't Hoff equations was estimated using the slope and intercept of  $ln K<sub>T</sub>$  vs. 1/T, and the slope and intercept approximately equalled to -ΔH<sup>0</sup>/R and ΔS<sup>0</sup>/R respectively.

### 2.5 Adsorption stability of CCP-AFB<sub>1</sub> complex after several washes

According to Assaf, El Khoury, Atoui, Louka, and Chokr (2018), following the adsorption experiment with CCP (500-355 µm) as previously described, the adsorption stability was focused on the CCP-AFB<sub>1</sub> complex. Twenty mg of CCP was added in 2 mL of 200 ng $\cdot$ mL<sup>-1</sup> AFB<sub>1</sub> solution and then incubated at 20°C for 1 h. Next, the mixture was poured into a filtration column (15 mL, TELOS, US) for washing steps (Fig. S3). The complex was washed with 2 mL of water or ethanol 5 times each, and every wash took 10 min. The washed  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  content was quantified by HPLC.

### 2.6 Adsorption experiment with cell wall components

Commercial cellulose, lignin, arabinoxylan and xylan were used in the adsorption experiment following the same binding experiments as described for CCP and CBP. 10 mg of each standard were incubated with 1 mL of 200 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup> AFB<sub>1</sub> solution at 20°C for 1 h. Tube was then centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was collected for AFB<sub>1</sub> content detection by HPLC, and the % adsorption was calculated as described before.

### 2.7 Application of CCP for  $AFB_1$  removal from food matrixes

To evaluate the potential practicability of the CCP, two types of food matrixes were used (Fig. S4). For liquid food matrixes (Fig. S4a), 2 mL of milk or oat beverage was firstly spiked with 400 ng of AFB<sub>1</sub>, and then 20 mg of prepared CCP (500-355  $\mu$ m) was added into the liquid. After 1 h incubation at 20°C, the suspended CCP was removed by centrifugation as described above. In order to extract AFB<sup>1</sup> from matrixes, 1 mL of supernatant was collected and diluted with 1 mL of water, and the mixture was loaded into a pre-conditioned Oasis Max column (Waters, UK). The column was washed with 5 mL of distilled water, and then AFB<sub>1</sub> was eluted from the column with 2 mL of methanol. Finally, the AFB<sub>1</sub> elution solution was diluted to 4 mL with 10% methanol. The milk or oat beverage containing AFB<sub>1</sub> (200 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup>) without adsorbents was used as negative control. The % removal was calculated by comparison with the negative control.

For solid food matrixes (Fig. S4b), rice or barley spiked with AFB<sub>1</sub> were used to simulate grains contaminated by the toxin, and investigate the removal of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  while washing the grain with added CCP. Five grams of milled rice or barley spiked with 2000 ng of AFB1 were soaked in 10 mL of water containing 100 mg CCP (500-355  $\mu$ m) for 1 h at 20°C. The grains were then separated from the soaking solution, and washed with 10 mL of water to remove the CCP remaining on the grains. Finally, 10 mL of neat acetonitrile was applied to extract  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  on the grain by soaking for 5 min. The acetonitrile extraction solution was dried by Genevac (Fisher Scientific, UK), and then diluted with 10mL of 50% methanol which was analyzed by the method specified in 2.8. The rice or barley spiked with  $AFB_1$  and washed without adsorbents was used as negative control. The % removal was calculated by comparison with the negative control.

### 2.8  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  quantification

 $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  quantification was carried out according to the report by Ma et al. (2021) with some modifications. The measurement was performed on a Shimadzu (Japan) HPLC system coupled with a fluorescence detector (RF-20A xs). The AFB<sub>1</sub> samples (50  $\mu$ L) were injected into a Phenomenex Luna C18 column (5  $\mu$ m particle size, 150×4.6 mm) operated at 40°C. The mobile phase was composed of HPLC grade water: acetonitrile: methanol (70:15:15/vol:vol:vol). An isocratic gradient was run and its flow rate was 1 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>. Fluorescence detection was used with excitation and emission wavelengths of 360 and 440 nm, respectively. The retention time for AFB $_1$ was 23.1 min. A calibration curve was established using different concentrations of AFB<sub>1</sub> standard ranging from 3.125 to 200 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup> (R<sup>2</sup>=0.9997). The limit of detection was 0.1 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup>. The recovery (%) of AFB<sup>1</sup> by Oasis Max column from aqueous solution, milk and oat beverage was 105.32%, 118.05% and 73.94% respectively, and this value was 92.16% and 83.26% from rice and barley respectively.

#### 2.9 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple range tests using GraphPad 7. Model fitting was performed by Origin2018. All results are shown as the mean value ± standard deviation of triplicate experiments (P< 0.05).

# **3. Results and discussion**

### 3.1 Factors affecting  $AFB_1$  adsorption by corn by-products

The effect of several factors, including material type, powder particles sizes, dosage, incubation time, temperature and  $pH$ , on the adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> to corn by-products in aqueous solution was evaluated.

To screen the best corn by-product for  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption, batch adsorption experiments were performed with prepared CBP, prepared CCP, and commercial CBP under different conditions. As shown in Fig. 1a, apart from particle sizes >750 µm, the adsorption of prepared CCP (79.54% to 90.02%) was consistently higher than that of prepared CBP (64.52% to 66.13%) and commercial CBP (39.20% to 47.33%). Corn bran is characterized by a porous pericarp layer with a thickness of 100 to 250 µm (Roye et al., 2019). Nevertheless, corn cob generally contains an inner pith layer of a closed cellular structure type, a middle wood ring layer of cross-interconnected sponge-like vascular pores, and the outer glume layer of squeezed bran (Fig. S5) (Zou, Fu, Chen, & Ren, 2021). Thus, the CCP containing three types of structure might explain a higher adsorption ability than a single layer of CBP.

From the perspective of particle size (Fig. 1a), the adsorption of all three adsorbents was significantly affected by particle size ( $p<0.005$ ). For CCP, compared to the smallest size ( $<$ 250  $\mu$ m), when the size was larger than 500  $\mu$ m, the adsorption significantly decreased to 56.12%

(*p*<0.005), whereas the powder with size smaller than 500 µm showed no significant effect on % adsorption (86.49% to 90.03%) (*p*>0.05). In another study, a similar trend could be found in mycotoxin adsorption with grape pomace particles. This might be because larger particles have fewer accessibility to adsorption sites for mycotoxins, compared to smaller ones (Avantaggiato et al., 2013). As the CCP showed the best effect on  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption, it was selected as the adsorbent for further studies.

Batch adsorption experiments showed a strong significant effect of CCP doses on AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption (*p*<0.0001) (Fig. 1b). The results indicated that the adsorption increased linearly from 23.16% to 97.99% by increasing the adsorbent dose from 1 to 50 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>. This indicated that higher doses of CCP provided more adsorption sites resulting in larger % adsorption. Similar results have been reported in other AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption materials. For example, the adsorption capability of blueberry pomace increased from 16% to 79% by increasing the dosage from 0.5 to 3.0 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup> (Rasheed et al., 2020). The toxin removal of a synthetic magnetic adsorbent,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@ATP$ , significantly improved (54% to 87%) with an increase in the dosage from 0.5 to 3 mg·g<sup>-1</sup>, and then the removal ability approached constant levels from 0.3 to 1.0 mg·g<sup>-1</sup> (Ji & Xie, 2021). Somewhat differently, modified organo-rectorites (ORts) as AFB<sup>1</sup> adsorbent showed an increasing adsorption (65% to 89%) with the dosage ranging from 0.5 to 2.0 CEC (cation exchange capacity), while the % adsorption decreased to 82% at the dosage of 2.5 CEC. This was because large dosage (2.5 CEC) of modifier molecules could aggregate together and congregate on the Orts surface, which reduced the proportion of active ingredients in the adsorbent (Sun, Song, Wang, Wang, & Zheng, 2018).

The dependence of Incubation times on  $%$  AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption was investigated and found to be a significant factor (*p*<0.0001) (Fig. 1c). Over 80% of the total adsorption occurred in the first 15 min. With the increase in incubation time, the adsorption efficiency increased gradually up to 93.46%, and this maximum adsorption was reached at 8 h. Then the adsorption efficiency remained stable in the next 16 h, suggesting a state of equilibrium had been reached. Guo, Yue, Hatab, and Yuan (2012) reported that the adsorption of commercial yeast powder and patulin reached to highest point (about 100%) at 30 h, while that of lab-prepared yeast powder was 6 h later (about 100%). Palade, Dore, Marin, Rotar, and Taranu (2020) found  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption occurred rapidly (<5 min) by the food or agricultural by-products (carrot, celery, granny apple, red potato, white potato, and sea buckthorn) accompanied by the increase until 200 min; similarly, the adsorption of ZEN could be seen at less than 5 min and its maximum binding was observed at 90 min. Avantaggiato et al. (2013) demonstrated that around half of mycotoxins  $(AFB<sub>1</sub>, ZEN, OTA, and FB<sub>1</sub>)$  in a mixed solution was adsorbed by grape pomace in less than 3 min, and the maximum adsorption occurred in 15 min. Therefore, it can be seen that the fixation between mycotoxins and adsorbents happens rapidly, and a predominant amount of total mycotoxins would be adsorbed in the early stage. Once the adsorption was stable, the free adsorbates (toxins) in the solution would not significantly decrease.

The AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption experiments were subsequently conducted at 5 different temperatures,  $4^{\circ}$ C, 20°C, biological temperature (37°C), warm temperature (50°C), and boiling temperature (100°C) for 1 h (*p*<0.0001). Fig. 3d shows that, apart from 100°C (decreased to 71.74%) (*p*<0.0001), the

adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> over cob powder was independent of temperature, with binding of 84.18% at 4°C, 84.47% at 20°C, 84.96% at 37°C, and 86.97% at 50°C (*p*>0.05). Therefore, CCP could be used in a wide range of temperatures used in food processing for  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption/removal. The effect of temperatures on  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption was also investigated by previous studies. The by-products of grape seed (approximately 45%) and sea buckthorn (approximately 35%) did not significantly change the AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption ability at 25°C, 37°C and 40°C (Palade et al., 2020). However, temperature significantly affected the adsorption behavior of two novel materials. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@ATP adsorbed 83% of AFB<sub>1</sub> from peanut oils at 40°C, and the % adsorption increased by 5.85% with increasing temperature to 60°C, while fell back to 82.02% when the temperature continuously raised to 110°C (Ji & Xie, 2021). Besides, the AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption to mesoporous silica prepared from rice husk kept stable (around 95%) in an oil matrix between 10°C to 20°C, but decreased sharply to 75% when the temperature increased to 30°C (Li et al., 2020). These studies indicated that the effect of temperature on adsorption varies depending on the materials.

To evaluate the adsorption of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  to CCP as a function of pH, batch adsorption tests were performed with pH ranging from 2 to 8 (Fig. 1e). The result revealed that the pH of adsorption solutions had had a small but significant effect on the adsorption (83.81% to 87.18%) of AFB<sub>1</sub> to CCP (*p*<0.05). However, compared to pH 7, most of the pH conditions did not significantly affect the adsorption (*p*>0.05), apart from pH 5. pH is a key factor impacting the surface charge of adsorbents and the ionization level of toxins (Zahoor & Ali Khan, 2014). In general, the adsorption ability of toxins has been shown to be greatly affected by pH, such as malachite green adsorbed by acidified corn cob (Hu et al., 2018), ZEN, OTA, and  $FB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorbed by grape pomace (Avantaggiato et al., 2013), and methylene blue adsorbed by carbonized corn cob (Jawad et al., 2018). However, there is no ionization on the AFB<sub>1</sub> molecule under different pH conditions (Sun et al., 2018). Therefore, not only the adsorption of CCP on AFB<sub>1</sub> was not strongly affected by pH at a range from 2 to 8 in the present study, but also in the adsorption to iron oxide carbon nanocomposites (prepared from bagasse) (Zahoor & Ali Khan, 2014), blueberry pomace (Rasheed et al., 2020) and grape pomace (Avantaggiato et al., 2013). AFB<sub>1</sub> (Fig. 1f) is a non-ionizable molecule and does not have hydrophilic groups, such as -COOH and -OH (Ma et al., 2021). As the components of natural cob do not carry strong charges, the adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> on CCP may not be strongly affected by pH (Abbas et al., 2018). This result indicated that the CCP can be considered as an AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorbent in liquid foods under most  $pH$  conditions, and that the binding is likely through interactions other than electrostatic.

The levels of adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> to CCP are of a similar order of magnitude to the adsorption to lactic acid bacteria (Abedi, Pourmohammadi, et al., 2022) and yeasts (Campagnollo et al., 2020). Interestingly, the adsorption to lactic acid bacteria cell wall was enhanced by sonication which is proposed by the authors to increase surface hydrophobicity through structural effects on cell wall proteins (Abedi, Mousavifard, et al., 2022). It would be interesting to investigate the effect of such physical treatment on CCP in future research.



Fig. 1 The % Adsorption of CBP and CCP to AFB<sub>1</sub> affected by particle sizes (a), CCP doses (b), incubation times (c), incubation temperatures (d) and pH (e), and chemical structure (f) of AFB<sub>1</sub>. \* represents significant differences between different groups to the group (C) showing the highest AFB<sup>1</sup> % adsorption. \*\*\*, *p*≤0.0001; \*\*, *p*≤0.001; \*, *p*≤0.05. Results are means of three replicates.

### 3.2 Model studies of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption

#### 3.2.1 Adsorption kinetic study

In kinetic study, the adsorption capacity of CCP to  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  raised rapidly within 5 h (Fig. S6a), presumably caused by the strong capillary force formed by microporous and mesoporous material in the cob powder (Ma et al., 2021). Then the equilibrium was achieved in 8 h. To analyse the controlling mechanism of the adsorption process, two classic models (pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order) were applied. The fit of the pseudo-first-order (eq. 3) to  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption data is shown in Fig. S6b and the pseudo-second-order (eq. 4) in Fig. 3Sc. The kinetic parameters are listed in Table. 2. According to the result, the pseudo-second-order model ( $R^2$ =0.9979) better fitted the data than the pseudo-first-order model  $(R^2=0.7727)$ . Meanwhile, the RMSE values in the pseudo-second-order model (0.0188) was much lower than the pseudo-first-order model  $(0.4271)$ . This suggests the AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption process involves chemisorption (Ji & Xie, 2021; Ma et al., 2021; Pourmohammadi, Sayadi, Abedi, & Mousavifard, 2022).

In general, the near-adsorbent adsorption process involves three steps, which are 1) boundary layer diffusion which is when adsorbate diffusion occurs across the liquid film surrounding the adsorbent; then 2) intraparticle diffusion which is further diffusion within internal pores of adsorbent; finally 3) adsorption of adsorbate on the surface of adsorbent (Ma et al., 2021). Moreover, the boundary layer and/or intraparticle diffusion is generally the controlling step, and the last step is relatively fast in the majority of adsorption systems (Ma et al., 2021). Therefore, the intraparticle diffusion model (eq. 6) is usually conducted to fit the experimental results for the explanation of the diffusion mechanism. The intraparticle diffusion plot (Fig. 3Sd) displayed two-linearity in the adsorption of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  on CCP, which indicated that the adsorption process involved the diffusion of  $AFB_1$  from solution to the boundary layer of cob powder and the intraparticle diffusion into the porous structure of cob powder at low concentration of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  to final equilibrium (Cai, Liu, Tian, Yang, & Luo, 2019; Ma et al., 2021). The plot did not pass through the origin, so the boundary layer diffusion and intraparticle diffusion controlled the ratecontrolling step (Ma et al., 2021). It is reported that the meso- and microporous morphology presents an easy accessibility of adsorbates into the internal structure of the adsorbents, while the micropores are narrow for adsorbates to access the adsorbents so that limit the inner diffusion rate of adsorption (Ma et al., 2021). Therefore, the cob powder might have a large portion of meso- and macropores, while having a small portion of micropores (Ma et al., 2021). In addition, the intercept of the intraparticle diffusion plot (C) suggested the thickness of the boundary layer (Table. 2), reflecting the contribution of the boundary layer in the adsorbate adsorption rate (Ma et al., 2021).

Table. 2 Kinetic parameters of pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and intraparticle diffusion for the adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> on CCP (20°C)

**qe,exp (µgˑmg-1**



qe,exp, the experimental adsorption capacity; qe,cal, the calculated adsorption capacity.

#### 3.2.2 Adsorption isotherm models

Two non-linear isotherm models were used to investigate the adsorption characteristic: Langmuir (eq. 7) and Freundlich (eq. 8) isotherm models. The Langmuir model is one of the most classic models describing an ideal adsorption with the characteristics of a monolayer, no interaction between adsorbates, and uniqueness of all adsorption sites on the adsorbent surface (Ma et al., 2021). Whereas, the Freundlich model is the earliest isotherm used to describe the adsorption performance on a heterogeneous surface, not restricted to the formation of monolayer (Avantaggiato et al., 2013). The linear regression fitting figures of the two models can be found in Fig. S7a and b, and the parameters are listed in Table. 3. From the results of the present study, the Langmuir isotherm demonstrated a better fit with the experimental data ( $R^2$ =0.9986) than the Freundlich model ( $R^2$ =0.9344). This suggests that the isothermal adsorption by CCP could be better described by the AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption on the homogeneous CCP surface and no further adsorption occurs once AFB<sub>1</sub> molecules are adsorbed at specific sites on cob powder (Sun et al., 2018). According to the Langmuir model, the maximum adsorption capability of CCP was calculated to be 3.1268  $\mu$ g $\cdot$ mg $^{-1}$ . This AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption capability was far higher than banana peel (0.0084  $\mu$ g·mg<sup>-1</sup>) (Shar et al., 2016), but similar to grape pomace (2.8600  $\mu$ g·mg<sup>-1</sup>) (Avantaggiato et al., 2013) and blueberry pomace (3.2290  $\mu$ g·mg<sup>-1</sup>) (Rasheed et al., 2020). Moreover, k<sub>L</sub> is a constant related to the energy and affinity of CCP, which indicates that the adsorption was favourable when  $k<sub>L</sub>$  was above 0 (Ma et al., 2021).

Table. 3 Isotherm model parameters for the adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> on CCP (20°C)



#### 3.2.3 Adsorption thermodynamics study

In the adsorption thermodynamics study, the van't Hoff plot and thermodynamics parameters (eq. 9-12) for the adsorption of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  on CCP were demonstrated in Fig. S8 and Table. 4 respectively. For this AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption, the equilibrium constant K<sub>T</sub> decreased with increasing temperature, which means the experimental and theoretical adsorption capacities declined with the increased temperature, as well as the absolute Gibbs free energy change ( $\Delta G^0$ ) rose with the increased temperature. This indicated that higher temperatures lowered the adsorption driving force (Ji & Xie, 2021). However, the positive  $\Delta G^0$  at all temperatures suggested the adsorption process occurred non-spontaneously at certain temperatures (Avantaggiato et al., 2013). Furthermore, the enthalpy change ( $\Delta H^0$ ) in this adsorption process was negative confirming the reaction was exothermic. The magnitude of value ∆H<sup>0</sup> can also describe the type of adsorption (either physical or chemical adsorption). Generally, physical adsorption is rapid and weak, and the interactions include hydrogen bonds, van der Waals, dipole-dipole, and induced dipole; while chemical adsorption is highly specific and slow, as well as the interaction exists electron transfer between molecules, e.g. covalent bonds (Avantaggiato et al., 2013; Karakaya, 2011). When ∆H<sup>o</sup> <20 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, the adsorption is considered as physisorption, whereas chemisorption is generally described as having ∆H<sup>0</sup> >20 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> (Avantaggiato et al., 2013). In the adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> on CCP, the ∆H<sup>0</sup> was -19.1060 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, which suggested a physical adsorption. In the kinetic study, the proposed mechanism involved layer diffusion and the involvement of meso- and macro structures, though chemical adsorption could not be ruled out. Thus, both chemical and physical adsorption might exist in this process (Hu et al., 2018). In the solid-liquid system, a natural adsorption of the adsorbate onto the adsorption surface would lower the chaos of the system, which is represented by the entropy change (ΔS<sup>0</sup>) below 0 (Hu et al., 2018). In the present work, the ∆S<sup>0</sup> was found to be -0.0824 kJ $\cdot$ (K $\cdot$ mol)<sup>-1</sup>, illustrating the randomness of AFB<sub>1</sub> molecules on the CCP interface reduced with the increasing temperature (Rasheed et al., 2020). It is believed that this phenomenon corresponds to polar non-covalent interactions (Avantaggiato et al., 2013). In a

word, in terms of the thermodynamics parameters, the adsorption process of AFB<sub>1</sub> on CCP was an exothermic and chaos-reduced adsorption interaction.

Temperature (K)	Кт	ln K <sub>T</sub>			$\Delta G^0$ (kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> ) $\Delta H^0$ (kJ·mol <sup>-1</sup> ) $\Delta S^0$ (kJ·(K·mol) <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>
293		$0.1195 - 2.1249$	5.0460			
303	0.1069	$-2.2363$	5.8703	$-19.1060$	$-0.0824$	0.9589
313	0.0744	$-2.5987$	6.6946			
323	0.0598	$-2.8163$	7.5189			

Table. 4 Thermodynamics parameters for the adsorption of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  on CCP

### 3.3 Adsorption stability after successive washes in water or ethanol adsorbed with AFB<sub>1</sub>

After 1 h incubation of CCP with  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$ , the adsorption stability of the complex was assessed by quantifying AFB<sub>1</sub> in each washing liquid. As shown in Fig. S9, AFB<sub>1</sub> was released from the complex after washes by either water or ethanol. In the first two washes of water, 10.42% and 8.80% of total AFB<sub>1</sub> (200 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup>) were washed off respectively, and around 6% to 7% of that was released in the rest of each successive washes. After five-time wash, around 46% of the total adsorbed AFB<sub>1</sub> (168.94 ng) was eluted. Conversely, ethanol removed 67.68% of total AFB<sub>1</sub> (200 ng) from the complex in the first wash, and AFB<sub>1</sub> was desorbed from 10.26% to 1.33% during the remaining four washes. Thus, nearly all the adsorbed AFB<sub>1</sub> was released from CCP. The reversibility indicated that these non-specific and weak adsorption non-covalent bonds formed between CCP and  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$ could be disrupted after successive washes (Abedi, Pourmohammadi, et al., 2022; Assaf et al., 2018; Karakaya, 2011). As AFB<sub>1</sub> is soluble in ethanol, while sparingly soluble in water, the adsorption was more unstable by the disruption of ethanol than water. Therefore, CCP as the adsorbent to remove  $AFB_1$  in food matrixes should be replaced once the adsorption reaches equilibrium.

#### 3.4 Proposed adsorption mechanism for aflatoxins on CCP

In this study, commercial cellulose, lignin, xylan and arabinoxylan were used to investigate potential molecular mechanisms of AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption to CCP. As shown in Fig. 3, these isolated components all had various degrees of lower  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption capability compared to CCP at the same dose. There was little adsorption of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  to xylan, while cellulose and arabinoxylan showed low adsorption at 8.16% and 14.03% respectively. However, lignin displayed surprisingly high (63.43%) adsorption efficiency. On the one hand, corn cob gave strong signals in some hydrophilic characteristic peaks (e.g. -OH stretching of hydroxyl groups, -CO groups stretching in ether). These might establish hydrogen bonds with 'O atoms' of -C=O groups of AFB<sub>1</sub>, which could provide strong dipole-dipole interaction in the adsorption (Rasheed et al., 2020). On the other hand, CCP also has hydrophobic groups (e.g. -CH, -C=C, aromatic subunits in lignin, and the ferulic acid of arabinoxylan) which might enable hydrophobic interactions with AFB<sub>1</sub> (Ojedokun & Bello, 2017). In a study of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  removal by metal-organic framework materials, with the carbonization temperature rising (uncarbonized, 400°C, 600°C and 800°C), the intensities of -OH peaks in the material gradually disappeared, suggesting an increasing hydrophobicity of the material surface. The sample carbonized at high temperatures (600°C and 800°C) displayed the highest AFB<sub>1</sub> removal capability (Ma et al., 2021). This suggested that the hydrophobic treatment of corn cob might improve the AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption to some extent. The involvement of hydrophobic interactions in AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorption was also suggested by Abedi, Pourmohammadi, et al. (2022). In this study,  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  was adsorbed by lactic acid bacteria, and it should be noted that the cell walls of these bacteria contain significant higher amounts of protein and that proteins have more potential of forming hydrophobic patches on surfaces compared to cellulosic or other carbohydrate material. The binding to corn cell wall proteins (e.g. extensins, arabinogalactan proteins) should be further investigated.



Fig. 3 % Adsorption of corn cob cell wall components (10 mg) to AFB1 (200 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup>) for 1 h at 20 °C.

Based on the present work, however, even at the same amount, the % adsorption of any single cob component was far less than the natural cob powder. Considering that each component accounted for a portion of the total weight of corn cob, the role of individual components in adsorption might be very limited. Therefore, the adsorption may not only depend on the interaction between  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  with cell wall components, but also on a significant effect of cob cellular organizational structure on adsorption properties. In general, larger surface area ( $S<sub>BET</sub>$ ) and pore volume  $(V_P)$  causes a higher adsorption capacity on adsorbents, as they can provide more sorption sites and space. For natural corn cob, the S $_{\tt BET}$  and V<sub>P</sub> was 93.46 m<sup>2</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.0577 cm<sup>3</sup>·g<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> respectively, and one nearly-planar AFB<sub>1</sub> molecule normally occupied 1.38 nm<sup>2</sup> surface area, so that the CCP could provide large amount of adsorption sites and space for  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption (Chukwuemeka-Okorie, Ekemezie, Akpomie, & Olikagu, 2018; Ma et al., 2021). In addition, the adsorption also depends on the molecular size of adsorbates and the pore size of adsorbents. When the molecule size of adsorbates is larger than pore diameter, there is no adsorption process occurring because of the steric hindrance; when the molecule size approximately equal to pore diameter, the adsorbates can be strongly captured by the adsorbents and no easy desorption due to the superposition of potential energy fields in adjacent wall pores; while the molecule size of adsorbates smaller than pore diameter can take place capillary condensation easily in the pores of adsorbates to improve the adsorption capacity (Zhu, Shen, & Luo, 2020). For  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  molecule, the micropores (<2.0 nm) of the adsorbents were found to impede the diffusion of AFB<sub>1</sub>, while AFB<sub>1</sub> could be directly adsorbed with mesopores (2.0 to 50.0 nm) by the capillary effect (Galvano et al., 1996; Ma et al., 2021). The average pore sizes of CCP were estimated to be about 18 to 25 nm, and mesopores took up 27.40% of total pores in the raw cob (Chukwuemeka-Okorie et al., 2018; Ji, Lin, Chen, Dong, & Imran, 2015). Thus, it could be postulated that the capillary effect that happened in the mesopores might be the main reason for the adsorption of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  by CCP.

### 3.5 Application of CCP for  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  removal from food matrixes

The extent of  $AFB_1$  removal by CCP from food model matrixes is listed in Table. 5. The removal of AFB<sub>1</sub> by CCP in milk was 29.01% (p<0.001) lower than that in AFB<sub>1</sub> aqueous solution, and this value was lower (22.59%) in oat beverage. This could be because proteins or other macromolecules in these drinks could have interactions with AFB<sub>1</sub> or CCP, which might reduce the accessibility of the toxin to the adsorbent. There could be competitive adsorption between proteins and adsorbent; while the different types and contents of protein in milk and oat beverage could explain the discrepancy between the two beverages (Harshitha et al., 2022; Rafique et al., 2022).

In the process of contaminated grain washing, some of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  (35.55% for rice and 22.71% for barely) could be removed by prolonged soaking (1 h) in water, and a little would be removed by rapid rinsing later (5.11% for rice and 5.52% for barley). Thus, about 40% of AFB<sub>1</sub> from rice and 28% from barley was reduced by 'pre-washing'. Once the CCP was added during soaking, 72.47% of remaining  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  on rice or 52.55% on barley was additionally removed compared to negative control group (Fig. 4). It can be observed that the surface of rice was densely packed with starch granules (Bonto, Tiozon, Sreenivasulu, & Camacho, 2021), while there was a loose and porous aleurone layer on the outside of the barley endosperm (Jääskeläinen, Holopainen-Mantila, Tamminen, & Vuorinen, 2013). This difference in grain structure might be the main reason for the different removal effects of CCP on  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$ . This approach of using CCP as a grain washing step may also be interesting in animal feeding, compared to adding adsorbents directly to the feed. For instance, the study by Jaynes et al. (2007) showed that various clays could adsorb  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  in corn meal, but the risk of aflatoxicosis due to the likely desorption in the digestive tract could not be ignored.

In short, although CCP showed different behaviors of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  removal in various matrixes, it could still be a promising adsorbent for the removal of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  in foods. For instance, filter or padding produced from CCP could be applied in the detoxification of liquid foods or contaminated water, while the CCP can be also added to water directly when washing contaminated grains before consumption. However, it is also worth noting that concomitantly to  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  removal, nutrient losses may occur due to the adsorption of nutrients by adsorbent; while the components in food matrixes might interact with AFB<sub>1</sub> that could decrease adsorption capability by CCP. This needs to be investigated in the future. Moreover, the further study should focus on the maximum adsorption in different food matrixes.



Table. 5 AFB1 removal (%) by CCP in different food matrixes

<sup>a</sup> % Removal was compared with the negative control; \* represents significant differences between different food matrixes to aqueous matrixes. \*\*\*, *p*≤0.0001; \*\*, *p*≤0.001; \*, *p*≤0.05. Results are means of three replicated dishes.

# **4. Conclusion**

The present work provided evidence that powdered corn by-products, corn cob in particular, could be used as a low-cost and non-toxic material for AFB<sub>1</sub> removal from aqueous solutions. The finding showed that, within one hour, the CCP had high % adsorption of up to 98%, and it was significantly affected by CCP dose, adsorption duration (0.25 to 8 h), particle size (<500  $\mu$ m), temperature (4, 20, 37 and 50°C), but less by pH (2 to 8). The investigation of theoretical adsorption models was conducted by the adsorption kinetics, thermodynamics, and isotherms

models, which suggested that the  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption on CCP was a fast and exothermic reaction, occurring with a homogeneous adsorption process. The adsorption was reversible, and could be easily desorbed by ethanol. For the first time,  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption by individual cob components (cellulose, (arabino)xylan, lignin) was investigated in the present study, with lignin showing the strongest adsorption. The result suggested that the adsorption of AFB<sub>1</sub> on CCP not only relied on the interaction between  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  molecules and cob components, but the porous cellular organization of corn cob played the most important role. On assessing the practical application, CCP showed a good decontamination ability of  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  in milks and grain cleaning.

In conclusion, CCP made from corn by-products has shown strong potential as an adsorbent for aflatoxin removal which could be applied to decontaminate AFB<sub>1</sub> from liquid matrixes. Compare with other adsorbents for  $AFB_1$ , CCP is eco-friendly, safe, efficient, easy-operated, and no unpleasant odor or flavor is brought into the food. Nevertheless, some limitations including low specificity and the difficulty to separate after using cannot be ignored. The present study is primary research for corn cob as an AFB<sub>1</sub> adsorbent, so further studies need to be performed to understand the adsorption capacity of CCP to other mycotoxins, so that this adsorbent could be used in multi-mycotoxins removal in more practical food settings (e.g. fruit juice and spice extracts). Furthermore, in the practical application, the competitive adsorption of multicompounds, including nutrients, on CCP should be considered.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. CO is employed by Oatly UK. Oatly UK or any member of the Oatly Group did not influence the research design, and/or collection or interpretation of the research results. The views and opinions reflected in this manuscript do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Oatly UK or the Oatly Group.

### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Yue Liu: Investigation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing, Funding acquisition, Project administration - original draft; Lei Xia: Methodology, Formal analysis, Review; Joseph Hubert Galani Yamdeu: Methodology, Review, Editing; Yunyun Gong: Study design, Supervision, Review, Editing; Caroline Orfila: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Review, Editing.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors acknowledge the technical support from Sara Viney, Dr Joanne Sier, Miles Ratcliffe, and Can Can Huang.

### **Funding**

This project received financial support from Natural Science Foundation of Xiamen, China (3502Z202371020) to YL, the BBSRC and Innovate UK (BB/S020950/1, CitruSafe project) to CO and the BBSRC (BB/P027784/1, AFRICAP project) to JHGY, YYG and CO.

### **Appendix A. Supplementary data**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at

### **Reference**

- Abbas, Ali, S., Rizwan, M., Zaheer, I. E., Malik, A., Riaz, M. A., . . . Al-Wabel, M. I. (2018). A critical review of mechanisms involved in the adsorption of organic and inorganic contaminants through biochar. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 11*(16). doi:10.1007/s12517-018-3790-1
- Abedi, E., Mousavifard, M., & Bagher Hashemi, S. M. (2022). Ultrasound-Assisted Detoxification of Ochratoxin A: Comparative Study of Cell Wall Structure, Hydrophobicity, and Toxin Binding Capacity of Single and Co-culture Lactic Acid Bacteria. *Food and Bioprocess Technology, 15*(3), 539-560. doi:10.1007/s11947-022-02767-7
- Abedi, E., Pourmohammadi, K., Mousavifard, M., & Sayadi, M. (2022). Comparison between surface hydrophobicity of heated and thermosonicated cells to detoxify aflatoxin B1 by co-culture *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* in sourdough: Modeling studies. *LWT - Food Science and Technology, 154*, 1-14. doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112616
- Assaf, J. C., El Khoury, A., Atoui, A., Louka, N., & Chokr, A. (2018). A novel technique for aflatoxin M1 detoxification using chitin or treated shrimp shells: in vitro effect of physical and kinetic parameters on the binding stability. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 102*(15), 6687- 6697. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9124-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9124-0)
- Assirey, E. A., & Altamimi, L. R. (2021). Chemical analysis of corn cob-based biochar and its role as water decontaminants. *Journal of Taibah University for Science, 15*(1), 111-121. doi:10.1080/16583655.2021.1876350
- Avantaggiato, G., Greco, D., Damascelli, A., Solfrizzo, M., & Visconti, A. (2013). Assessment of multimycotoxin adsorption efficacy of grape pomace. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 62*(2), 497-507. doi:10.1021/jf404179h
- Bonto, A. P., Tiozon, R. N., Jr., Sreenivasulu, N., & Camacho, D. H. (2021). Impact of ultrasonic treatment on rice starch and grain functional properties: A review. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 71*, 1-13. doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2020.105383
- Cai, Y. X., Liu, L. M., Tian, H. F., Yang, Z. N., & Luo, X. G. (2019). Adsorption and Desorption Performance and Mechanism of Tetracycline Hydrochloride by Activated Carbon-Based Adsorbents Derived from Sugar Cane Bagasse Activated with ZnC<sub>12</sub>. *Molecules, 24*(24). doi:10.3390/molecules24244534
- Campagnollo, F. B., Mousavi Khaneghah, A., Borges, L. L., Bonato, M. A., Fakhri, Y., Barbalho, C. B., . . . Oliveira, C. A. F. (2020). *In vitro* and *in vivo* capacity of yeast-based products to bind to aflatoxins B<sup>1</sup> and M<sup>1</sup> in media and foodstuffs: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Food Research International, 137*, 1-16. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109505
- Choi, J. Y., Nam, J., Yun, B. Y., Kim, Y. U., & Kim, S. (2022). Utilization of corn cob, an essential agricultural residue difficult to disposal: Composite board manufactured improved thermal performance using microencapsulated PCM. *Industrial Crops and Products, 183*, 1-12. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.114931
- Chukwuemeka-Okorie, H. O., Ekemezie, P. N., Akpomie, K. G., & Olikagu, C. S. (2018). Calcined Corncob-Kaolinite Combo as New Sorbent for Sequestration of Toxic Metal Ions From Polluted Aqua Media and Desorption. *Frontiers in Chemistry, 6*, 1-13. doi:10.3389/fchem.2018.00273
- FAOSTATS. (2021). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home>
- Galvano, F., Pietri, A., Fallico, B., Bertuzzi, T., Scire, S., Galvano, M., & Maggiore, R. (1996). Activated Carbons in Vitro Affinity for Aflatoxin  $B_1$  and Relation of Adsorption Ability to Physicochemical Parameters. *Journal of Food Protection, 59*(5), 545-550.
- Greco, D., D'Ascanio, V., Santovito, E., Logrieco, A. F., & Avantaggiato, G. (2019). Comparative efficacy of agricultural by-products in sequestering mycotoxins. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 99*(4), 1623-1634. doi:10.1002/jsfa.9343
- Guo, C., Yue, T., Hatab, S., & Yuan, Y. (2012). Ability of inactivated yeast powder to adsorb patulin from apple juice. *Journal of Food Protection, 75*(3), 585-590. doi[:https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-11-323) [028X.JFP-11-323](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-11-323)
- Harshitha, C. G., Sharma, N., Singh, R., Sharma, R., Gandhi, K., & Mann, B. (2022). Interaction study of aflatoxin M1 with milk proteins using ATR-FTIR. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 1-9. doi:10.1007/s13197-022-05587-x
- Hu, Liang, W. X., Zhang, Y. Y., Wu, S. Y., Yang, Q. N., Wang, Y. B., . . . Liu, Q. J. (2018). Multipurpose Use of a Corncob Biomass for the Production of Polysaccharides and the Fabrication of a Biosorbent. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 6*(3), 3830-3839. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b04179
- Jääskeläinen, A. S., Holopainen-Mantila, U., Tamminen, T., & Vuorinen, T. (2013). Endosperm and aleurone cell structure in barley and wheat as studied by optical and Raman microscopy. *Journal of Cereal Science, 57*(3), 543-550. doi:10.1016/j.jcs.2013.02.007
- Jawad, A. H., Mohammed, S. A., Mastuli, M. S., & Abdullah, M. F. (2018). Carbonization of corn (*Zea mays*) cob food residue by one-step activation with sulfuric acid for methylene blue adsorption. *Desalination and Water Treatment, 118*, 342-351. doi:10.5004/dwt.2018.22680
- Jaynes, W. F., Zartman, R. E., & Hudnall, W. H. (2007). Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> adsorption by clays from water and corn meal. *Applied Clay Science, 36*(1-3), 197-205. doi:10.1016/j.clay.2006.06.012
- Ji, Lin, H., Chen, Y. F., Dong, Y. B., & Imran, M. (2015). Corn cob modified by lauric acid and ethanediol for emulsified oil adsorption. *Journal of Central South University, 22*(6), 2096-2105. doi:10.1007/s11771-015-2734-0
- Ji, & Xie, W. L. (2021). Removal of aflatoxin  $B_1$  from contaminated peanut oils using magnetic attapulgite. *Food Chemistry, 339*, 1-8. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128072
- Karakaya, A. (2011). *Purification of Polyphenolic Compounds from Crude Olive Leaf Extract.* (Master of Science Master's thesis), İzmir Institute of Technology, Turkey. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/11147/3085>
- Li, Y. N., Wang, R., Chen, Z. X., Zhao, X. P., Luo, X. H., Wang, L., . . . Teng, F. (2020). Preparation of magnetic mesoporous silica from rice husk for aflatoxin B1 removal: Optimum process and adsorption mechanism. *PLoS One, 15*(9), 1-18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0238837
- Liu, Y., Benohoud, M., Galani Yamdeu, J. H., Gong, Y. Y., & Orfila, C. (2021). Green extraction of polyphenols from citrus peel by-products and their antifungal activity against *Aspergillus flavus*. *Food Chemistry: X, 12*, 1-10. doi:10.1016/j.fochx.2021.100144
- Liu, Y., Galani Yamdeu, J. H., Gong, Y. Y., & Orfila, C. (2020). A review of postharvest approaches to reduce fungal and mycotoxin contamination of foods. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 1-40. doi[:https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12562](https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12562)
- Ma, Cai, X. F., Mao, J., Yu, L., & Li, P. W. (2021). Adsorptive removal of aflatoxin  $B_1$  from vegetable oils via novel adsorbents derived from a metal-organic framework. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 412*, 1-14. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125170
- Ojedokun, A. T., & Bello, O. S. (2017). Liquid phase adsorption of Congo red dye on functionalized corn cobs. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology, 38*(9), 1285-1294. doi:10.1080/01932691.2016.1234384
- Palade, L. M., Dore, M. I., Marin, D. E., Rotar, M. C., & Taranu, I. (2020). Assessment of Food By-Products' Potential for Simultaneous Binding of Aflatoxin B1 and Zearalenone. *Toxins (Basel), 13*(1), 1-20. doi:10.3390/toxins13010002
- Pourmohammadi, K., Sayadi, M., Abedi, E., & Mousavifard, M. (2022). Determining the adsorption capacity and stability of Aflatoxin B1, *Ochratoxin* A, and *Zearalenon* on single and co-culture *L.*

*acidophilus* and *L. rhamnosus* surfaces. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 110*, 1-15. doi:10.1016/j.jfca.2022.104517

- Rafique, H., Dong, R., Wang, X., Alim, A., Aadil, R. M., Li, L., . . . Hu, X. (2022). Dietary-Nutraceutical Properties of Oat Protein and Peptides. *Frontiers in Nutrition, 9*, 1-14. doi:10.3389/fnut.2022.950400
- Rasheed, Ain, Q. U., Yaseen, M., Santra, S., Yao, X., & Liu, B. (2020). Assessing the Aflatoxins Mitigation Efficacy of Blueberry Pomace Biosorbent in Buffer, Gastrointestinal Fluids and Model Wine. *Toxins (Basel), 12*(7), 1-21. doi:10.3390/toxins12070466
- Rose, Inglett, G. E., & Liu, S. X. (2010). Utilisation of corn (*Zea mays*) bran and corn fiber in the production of food components. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 90*(6), 915-924. doi:10.1002/jsfa.3915
- Roye, C., Bulckaen, K., De Bondt, Y., Liberloo, I., Van De Walle, D., Dewettinck, K., & Courtin, C. M. (2019). Side - by - side comparison of composition and structural properties of wheat, rye, oat, and maize bran and their impact on in vitro fermentability. *Cereal Chemistry, 97*(1), 20-33. doi:10.1002/cche.10213
- Serra, M., Weng, V., Coelhoso, I. M., Alves, V. D., & Brazinha, C. (2020). Purification of Arabinoxylans from Corn Fiber and Preparation of Bioactive Films for Food Packaging. *Membranes (Basel), 10*(5), 1-22. doi:10.3390/membranes10050095
- Shar, Z. H., Fletcher, M. T., Sumbal, G. A., Sherazi, S. T., Giles, C., Bhanger, M. I., & Nizamani, S. M. (2016). Banana peel: an effective biosorbent for aflatoxins. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 33*(5), 849-860. doi:10.1080/19440049.2016.1175155
- Sun, Song, A. K., Wang, B., Wang, G. F., & Zheng, S. L. (2018). Adsorption behaviors of aflatoxin  $B_1$  and zearalenone by organo-rectorite modified with quaternary ammonium salts. *Journal of Molecular Liquids, 264*, 645-651. do[i:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.05.091](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.05.091)
- Zahoor, M., & Ali Khan, F. (2014). Adsorption of aflatoxin B1 on magnetic carbon nanocomposites prepared from bagasse. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 11*(5), 729-738. doi:10.1016/j.arabjc.2014.08.025
- Zhu, Shen, D. K., & Luo, K. H. (2020). A critical review on VOCs adsorption by different porous materials: Species, mechanisms and modification methods. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 389*(1-27), 122102. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122102
- Zou, Fu, J., Chen, Z., & Ren, L. (2021). The effect of microstructure on mechanical properties of corn cob. *Micron, 146*, 1-7. doi:10.1016/j.micron.2021.103070

Graphical Abstract

#### Journal Pre-proofs



# **Highlights:**

Corn cob powder showed the highest  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  adsorption ability among the corn by-products.

 Powder particle size, adsorbent dose, adsorption time, temperature and pH, had significant effects on adsorption.

 Cell wall components of corn cob did not individually display strong adsorption behavior.

Corn cob powder could reduce the  $AFB<sub>1</sub>$  content from contaminated liquid and solid food matrixes.

#### Journal Pre-proofs

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. CO is employed by Oatly UK. Oatly UK or any member of the Oatly Group did not influence the research design, and/or collection or interpretation of the research results. The views and opinions reflected in this manuscript do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Oatly UK or the Oatly Group.