

Research Space

Journal article

"It's like very white-winged": students' perceptions of the image and reality of Internationalisation in UK Higher Education Kemiche, Z. and Beighton, C.

URL: http://www.jceps.com/archives/10484

Authors: Zahra Kemiche, Christian Beighton

Journal for Critical Education Policy Studies

Volume 19, Number 1

ISSN 1740-2743

"It's like very white winged": students' perceptions of the image and reality of Internationalisation in UK Higher Education

By

Zahra Kemiche

Canterbury Christ Church University

And

Christian Beighton

Canterbury Christ Church University

Corresponding author:

Christian.beighton@canterbury.ac.uk

Author bios: see below.

Word count: 7829

abstract

Following a damning report into racism in United Kingdom Higher Education (EHRC, 2019), this paper discusses students' experiences of racism in HE. Focusing on the connections between lived experience and wider goals and trends in the Internationalisation of the university sector, we discuss accounts of racist practices on campus through the concept of xeno-racism highlighted in data from a small scale, in-depth qualitative study. Three main findings are highlighted. First, we suggest that racism is a predictable – even integral - feature of Internationalisation as it is currently pursued by UKHE. Second, we argue that our data provides evidence that the traditional forms of racism highlighted by the EHRC is part of a wider, deeper problem of xeno-racist treatment and troubling narrative practices. Finally, our analysis connects these practices to a degree of angelism in the Internationalised institution's self-image, portraying Internationalised HE in an idealistic but disingenuous way for financial gain. Together, these three phenomena undermine UKHE's altruistic claims by subordinating the issue of discrimination to questions of process, brand management and reputational damage limitation. Tackling the problem of racism on campus cannot be successful without also tackling these issues.

Keywords: higher education - racism - internationalisation - marketisation - angelism

1

Introduction

Academic institutions, the media and researchers have recently reported a culture of racist abuse, slights and insults in the context of higher education in the United Kingdom (HEFCE 2014; BBC 2018; Coughlan 2018; Burns 2019; Guardian 2019; Love and Mohammed, 2020). These views were highlighted by the United Kingdom's Equality and Human Rights Commission in 2019, which reported that more than two thirds of students and staff (69% and 66% respectively) described experiences of racism on UK campuses (EHRC 2019, p.21).

A key part of the problem would appear to that of institutional blindness to the issue of racist practices:

[Universities lack a] clear picture of much of the racial harassment that is taking place and are uninformed about the impact of their policies. This can cloud their assessment of the scale of the problem and how well they are responding to it.

(EHRC 2019, p. 84)

This lack of *clear picture* and the *clouded assessment* of the situation suggest that UKHE "does not fully understand racial harassment" (EHRC 2019, p. 8) and forms the basis of this paper. Racism itself, its implications for HE stakeholders and even institutions' own responses are not just misunderstood but actively downplayed and, often, manipulated. According to the report, HEIs "are [deliberately] not following guidance on how to handle complaints" since they see "little need to change their existing policies". Indeed, HEIs "rarely, if ever" discuss them (EHRC 2019, pp.11-12), ostensibly because HEIs "too often place their reputation above the safeguarding and welfare of their students and staff" (ibid).

In this paper, we look closely at these aspects of the student experience and largely concur with the report's analysis. However, our empirical data suggests a more nuanced analysis is needed. First, we show below that racist discrimination on campus takes a particular form which, we argue, needs to be understood in context, namely of the Internationalisation pursued by UKHE. Second, while we agree that this context certainly can engender discrimination, xenophobia and even racism, our data troubles our overfamiliarity with such narratives and their lexicon by suggesting that *xeno-racism* can be a more useful descriptor of these attitudes and behaviours. Our third point ties these together by

identifying a form of *angelism* in the image management of Internationalised HE provision. This angelism, we suggest, plays a significant role not just in the treatment of "International students", but the wider Internationalised experience as a whole.

We examine these distinctions in detail below, but turn first to a brief discussion of the EHRC report whose findings provide the background to our own research, analysis and conclusions.

The EHRC report

The UK's Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) published "Universities Challenged" – a questionable reference to a long- running TV quiz show - in October 2019. Based on data from universities in England, Scotland and Wales, it sought experiences of racial harassment among staff and students with an initial online survey, a random online survey of 1000 British students, round-table discussions and further desk-based research to provide significant correlation between quantitative and qualitative data.

Focussing on direct experiences of racial harassment, 845 students and 571 staff responded. More than two thirds (69% of students and 66% of staff) related personal experiences of racism on campus (EHRC 2019, p. 21). 50% of staff described incidences of exclusion on racial grounds, and 20% of students complained of physical attacks. Strikingly, the report describes high levels of actual verbal and physical threats and abuse rather than, for instance, examples of casual discrimination or unwittingly insensitive language.

If universities are to be "places of freedom, open-mindedness and self-discovery" (EHRC 2019, p. 4), a strong anti-racist response seems appropriate. Several universities have indeed immediately endorsed the report by detailing their own anti-racism policy record (see e.g. University of Bristol 2019; University of Sussex 2019). The lecturers' and students' unions have also taken action (UCU 2019a, 2019b; Universities UK and National Union of Students 2019). The key issue for the EHRC, however, is that the problem might be viewed in a restricted way as a race issue rather than a much wider, deeper, institutional problem (EHRC 2019, p. 103). To understand why this matters, we need to understand how wider intuitional practices affect the response to this kind of problem. Our data focuses on the role of Internationalisation in this connection, suggesting that wider practices of essentialisation, commodification and marketisation of the student experience are linked closely, if not causally, to discriminatory practices.

The Context: from internationalisation to marketisation

Context is of course essential to understanding discrimination, and the EHRC recognises the global challenges faced by UK Universities. In language that echoes global attitudes to the promotion of HE, higher education is "a hotbed of innovation" which develops breakthroughs in science and technology and thus boosts industry at a time of great economic uncertainty (EHRC 2019, p. 4).

This rhetoric is typical of connections often made between academic internationalisation and neoliberalism, with universities as factories of knowledge servicing a putative global knowledge economy (see, example, Raunig, 2013). This connection between HE and the economy is complex, however, and HEIs are more than just purveyors of learning. While subjected to the effects of globalisation, universities are also active developers of cognitive capital and promoters of the knowledge economy. This accompanies the development of more democratic provision in British HEIs since the massification of HE in 1990s which has brought more diverse, "non-traditional" student cohorts, and the increased variety of learning needs and motivations of those who access HE from home and abroad (e.g. Wingate 2015; Beighton, 2018; 2020).

International students, in this context, play a central role. More than just a crucial source of income to UKHE and to the UK economy, their contribution to British society is "invaluable" because they bring new knowledge, cross-cultural understanding and global ties of friendship (DfE and DIT 2019, p. 13). They enrich the education of domestic students and have the capacity to become "some of the UK's best advocates overseas" (ibid). The distinction between producer, consumer and advertiser of HE has broken down as HEIs, their staff and students are simultaneously both consumers and producers of globalising effects (Beighton, 2017b).

This informs the way universities compete in the recruitment of such valuable assets as International students. Currently, over 458,520 students from around the world attend university in the UK (ISS 2019). Competition for these students has grown and led to a focus on the quality of the "experience" as a marketing tool so that students continue to "invest" in higher education in the UK:

As International student numbers continue to grow, so too do the number of competitors [...] In the face of such challenges [...] we must do more to ensure that a high-quality student experience remains at the heart of our offer, and that International students continue to see a UK higher education as a valuable, long-term investment

(British Council, in DfE and DIT 2019, 11).

As part of this International strategy to promote "global potential" and "global growth", the aim is for the number of International students in the UK to reach a peak of 600,000 by 2030 (ibid). For universities to successfully ride the economic wave of change and maintain a certain competitive advantage, they need a powerful business plan with long-term market goals. These include providing

students with a positive learning experience and drawing attention to the latter's importance by promoting a positive image of the institution and its International credentials (see BIS 2016; Swist and Kuswara 2016; MAC 2018).

Advocates of such marketisation have long argued that it can reinforce universities' efficiency, accountability and responsiveness while also bringing more transparency and reliability to provision. By also developing a consumerist perspective, universities can maintain high quality services, using students' voice as a means to better democratic relationships between universities and students (see BIS 2016; DFE 2016; HEFCE 2016; DFE and DIT 2019; Advance HE 2019 for instance). Critics, however, point to a lack of evidence that this actually works. Some suggest that the argument for competition is based on misrepresentation, since universities compete not for value but for resources (Nixon et al. 2016; Brown 2019). Others also contend that student mobility reflects an instrumental ideology and an economic rationale (Castro et al. 2016; Beighton, 2018). On this view, internationalisation has ushered in a focus on survival and self-preservation, suggesting a disparity between the altruistic discourse of internationalisation and its more prosaic drivers. This deflects attention from both the content and the quality of provision at the institutional level, focusing the gaze on image, process and ostentatious compliance with minimum level demands.

The Co-text: From self-preservation and self-interest to self-image

Our data, below, reflects this. International students know that they are perceived, described and managed as if they were quanta of cognitive capital: a move typical of educational managerialism which determines learning and learners in logistical terms (Beighton, 2017a). Defined as "a flow in the financial capitalist setup" (Cole and Gannon, 2017, 79), our interviewees identify an intimate relationship between educational racism and internationalisation. They describe how the HEI's *self-preservation* lies in the ability to internationalise by commodifying the Other as a marketable good: "prioritising increasing students' numbers for economic motives' (Castro et al. 2016, 430). Crucially, this commodification equates higher learning to speculation: it is the flow of resources, rather than the resources themselves, which has value to the institution.

This is the rationale behind the way HEIs in the UK are expected to become "Gold" standard institutions (BIS 2016, 4). Unafraid of hyperbole, they trumpet their "excellence" (DFE 2016; HEFCE 2017) and offer "high quality, equitable and global learning experience" and prepares students for "a globally interconnected society" (Advance HE 2019a). This rationale is essentially *self-interested* because the staff and students who are the co-creating prosumers of this liquefied economy are expected to guarantee the *interests* of the organisation by ensuring flows of funds, knowledge and "experience" as return on investment (see EHRC 2019, 5). This self-interest drives the way the

"poster" staff and students who represent the HEI's "prestige and funding" must be constructed in and protected from public view (EHRC 2019, 91). They are essential to the organisation's *self-image*, and any negative publicity which might endanger the organisational self-image must be managed and where possible effaced in the interests of organisational sustainability. This management of an idealistic self-image is what we call *angelism*.

Angelism

The self-image described by our interviewees has little connection with the reality of International provision. Instead, it implies a form of *angelism* which regards human affairs "from an unrealistically sanguine point of view as though human beings were angels". ¹ It ties the idealistic self-image of gold-plated excellence to the reality of feelings of inferiority and discrimination in white-dominated spaces. We use this term to underline the ways institutions have been quick to distance themselves accusations such as racist practices and procedures. Such refutations seem angelistic insofar as they connote the deliberate idealisation of an unpalatable reality. While belatedly publicising processes and policies of anti-racism as the issue occupies the political agenda, evidence – including our interviews below – give clear examples of students being treated as inferior, undeserving and essentially problematic.

Our interviewees, below, are quite lucid about the negative impact of such angelism. Thus, when one of our interviewees describes the image of the internationalised institution as "white-winged", the evocative metaphor is doubly critical. It links the images of happy students and academic success used by institutions to market themselves to widespread experience and evidence of discrimination. Institutions clearly seek to present a benevolent image of care, respect and tolerance. Their marketing is "world class", "gold standard" and "excellent", but commercial self-interest, self-preservation and a questionably angelistic self-image serve to mask a troubling reality of racist discrimination, to which we now turn.

•

¹ See Merriam Webster (https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/angelism). For further discussion of the pernicious nature of such idealism in education contexts, see Author 1 (2016). Here and elsewhere such hyperbole serve to establish a very specific narrative: hierarchical relations of superiority and inferiority exist where excellence is posited. Derived via the Old French (excellent "outstanding, excellent") from the Latin excellentem ("towering, prominent, distinguished, superior, surpassing,"), it compounds ex ("out from") and cellere ("to rise high, tower) and is related to celsus ("high, lofty, great,"). It is the connotation that Goodness is derived from On High and bestowed on those below which initiates and perpetuates these relations.

Xeno-racism

As we will see, our data indicates that this discrimination takes forms which go beyond what might traditionally be understood as racism. Indeed, indiscriminate use of the latter term can lead to serious problems, the reception of the EHRC report being a case in point. According to the national body responsible for representing UK students, the National Union of Students, the EHRC conflates anti-white racism with discrimination against Black And Minority Ethnic (BAME) students and staff (Batty 2019). For Batty, this conflation is unwarranted, reductive and downplays the gravity of racism by eliding the incommensurability of two very different types of discrimination.

So, while clearly appropriate in many contexts, there is some debate about how useful the term racism is in countering contemporary manifestations of exclusion, discrimination and abuse in the context of globalisation. Often associated with colonialism, slavery, and segregation, it is, some argue, restricted to "visible phenotypical markers" (Hakuho 2009, 48, our emphasis). Racism as a term thus tends to reduce identity to constructed beliefs about skin colour and the body (Kyoo, 2014), leading to critics such as Di Masso et al. (2014, 343) to argue for "a more nuanced ideology of rejection" to understand these contemporary forms of discrimination.

The term "xeno-racism" has therefore been used to describe the ways in which discrimination reflects narratives constructed specifically around the idea of the impoverished stranger rather than the visibly different *per se.* Its controversial nature is reflected by the contrasting definitions that have been offered. For instance, while for Dickins (2014, 188) it "arises from xenophobia" and expresses "negative and discriminatory attitudes towards people who are white", others contest both its roots in xenophobia and its specific link to skin colour. Sivanandan (2001, 2) has perhaps been most influential in defining xeno-racism as a form of abuse which is not reduced to a response to skin colour. Indeed, because xeno-racism is also directed at "poor whites", it can be passed off as xenophobia, a "natural" fear of strangers. However, Sivanandan argues (ibid):

in the way it denigrates and reifies people before segregating and/or expelling them, it is a xenophobia that bears all the marks of the old racism. It is racism in substance, but "xeno" in form. It is a racism that is meted out to impoverished strangers even if they are white.

While Sivanandan's definition reproduces a questionable black/white binary, it remains useful in that it helps understand some of the highly problematic conflations behind racist practices, not least those in the EHRC report. Xeno-racism happens when the" Other" is not necessarily perceived as 'racially' different but rather impoverished by socio-economic, social or cultural disadvantage. Thusexploited

as a source of economic capitalan integral feature of xeno-racism can affect white migrants in the same way it affects people of colour.

eno-racism extends to the deprivation of basic rights: the bare life of *homo sacer* (Agamben 1998). It legitimises native supremacy through an othering which deploys markers such as language and culture, rather than external markers to develop a discriminatory narrative which limits the number of identities available to populations (Popovski 2010; see also Catalano 2011).

Xeno-racism is therefore a useful term in qualitative research. On one hand it focuses on narrative construction, the limitation of identity and the definition of possibility in life experiences of Othered populations. On the other hand, it recognises that this othering surpasses visibly minoritarian traits and overtly visible points of comparison, constructed or otherwise. It focuses instead on the stories told about others by those who benefit by imposing these narratives. A broader narrative has indeed emerged, involving a "demonisation of the people that the capitalist western world seeks to exclude" (Fekete, 2001, 23). This narrative naturalises the growth of societal distrust and more diffuse fears of alterity, concerns for migration, the resurgence of nationalism and Islamophobia stemming in part from economic fears of the failure of global capitalism (e.g. Fekete 2009; Del-Teso-Craviotto 2009; Cole, 2011; Masocha et al, 2011; McCoy, 2018). This demonisation has moved beyond traditional racist tropes in response to narratives around the preservation of economic prosperity and loss of national identity, targeting those who exhibit – or are presumed to hold - attributes such as being displaced, dispossessed or uprooted. This applies to migrant populations in Europe, but others see intersections with narratives of islamophobia and criminalisation (e.g. Popovski, 2010; Catalano, 2011; Varvin, 2017; Boeyink, 2019).

Universities, some argue, have become part of this presumptive trend when by reverting to "essentialist discourses" (Dippold et al. 2019, 324), treating International students and their needs in terms which are at best simplistic and, at worst, xeno-racist. For example, institutional processes from administration to research and teaching within the Internationalised university tend to rely on categorising and labelling students in specific ways. The lexicon of "overseas students", "International students", "EU- student", "Home students", "non-native speakers", "native speakers" and so on contributes to what Collins (2018, 180), calls "[t]he hegemonic form of the intercultural". This hegemony, she argues, has little concern for social justice. Framed in economic and essentialist terms, it serves only to interpellate students and reinforce a sense of authority and social distance by activity promoting hierarchies of national and psychological boundaries for economic gain. It is therefore not surprising that the morality of universities' admission procedures has been questioned (Weale 2019). As long as they are in a mode of competition, he believes, universities may be tempted to do anything, even if it is immoral, just to ensure their place in the global market.

Methodology

A desire to understand students' perceptions of such practices lies at the heart of this study. To develop an in-depth understanding of the issues by examining experiences, locally, in one institution, we undertook purposive sampling of students and staff from a post-1992 HEI in southern England (n=30). All participants had experience of Internationalisation either as students or teachers, and once institutional approval for this approach had been obtained, we arranged semi-structured interviews. We asked participants, first, to describe their experience in the UK; second, to define Internationalisation; third, to identify challenges, if any, of studying or working in an internationalised university; and finally, to suggest possible solutions to overcome those challenges. The transcribed narratives were read, manually coded and finally categorised into broader thematic units.

To convey a sense of the overall picture while allowing in-depth analysis of individual experiences, this paper focuses on the accounts of just four participants. These students were enrolled on a PhD program and, while they clearly do not represent the International cohort as a whole, we chose to focus on their views for two important reasons. First, as postgraduate students they would have both experience and critical awareness of university life and practices. This experience is not restricted to doctoral study: some of the cohort had attended preparatory courses; others had done undergraduate qualifications in the UK. This led us to our second reason for this focus. The question of how to label postgraduate students with such diverse experiences also led us to question the term "International" students. Although initially aiming to identify and analyse this group, initial research quickly showed that the epithet is little more than an administrative label. Marginalising experiences based on its use are common, whether the individual is "International" or not, and so decided to use it as sparingly as possible ourselves. It is at least possible, as our discussion of xeno-racism above shows, that all students feel, in some way, the effects of Internationalising narratives and practices which treat all students as quanta.

Data

In this paper we focus on four student interviewees, whose names and data have all been carefully anonymised. *Katia* is a full-time first year PhD student studying Media and Cultural Studies. She is fully funded by her home government that granted her a 3-year scholarship for a PhD degree in the UK. *Lisa* started off her journey in the UK as part of a 6-month pre-sessional programme or what is known as PhD preparatory programme leading to a PhD in Applied Linguistics. *Jane* is a post-PhD student with a four-year degree in Business studies and digital communications from a UK university. She currently researches Equality, Diversity, and Inclusive (EDI) policies, including a focus on migration groups and student attainment gaps. As we will see below, while technically a UK student,

her experiences underline some of the problematic assumptions about racial narratives and internationalisation that this paper discusses. *Bima*, finally, is a second year PhD student from the faculty of Applied Linguistics, also sponsored by her home government.

Since the issues in question were both sensitive and personal, trust-building was fundamental. Our multilingualism proved helpful in this respect, allowing us to respond effectively to the high level of cultural and linguistic diversity of the cohort by, for instance, switching codes and languages in the interviews themselves when this was appropriate. In an attempt to avoid the kinds of reductive essentialism mentioned above, we have also taken the decision here to avoid identifying the participants – and ourselves - according to predetermined racialised identities. Our critique of such reductivism challenges the negative consequences of such practices by associating them with precisely the kind of limiting identity narratives criticised below.

This approach produced a large amount of rich data, which we analysed initially by focussing on the extent to which it reflected the critical issues mentioned above. Specifically, we looked for instances of perceived discrimination and the ways in which the interviewees articulated critical themes related to the discourse and practices of Internationalisation. We were keen to avoid referring to specific institutional problems so that the research would not resemble a "student voice" capturing exercise. Rather than concentrate on complaints of an individual or purely local nature, we looked instead for evidence of wider lessons beyond the particular institution where the data was collected.

We will present this data in two parts. First, we focus on our interviewees' views on Internationalisation and their perceptions of its discourses and practices. Second, we highlight our interviewees' statements specifically about discriminatory practices in this context.

Internationalisation and angelism

It was clear that, for our interviewees, life in UKHE is extremely challenging and very different to the angelistic experience they had expected. Lisa, for example, echoed the respondents to the EHRC report who found the student experience scary and isolating. Everything, she said, is "terrifying" and being alone means "fighting to live".

Strikingly, however, Lisa quickly identified these feelings of isolation with the sense of precarity and intrinsic worthlessness created by the financial imperatives of Internationalisation:

if we talk about internationalisation the way they portray it, internationalisation is a big door to be opened to different people from different countries to be supported, to be provided with good services, but all in all, no country accepts foreigners if there is no benefit from them. That's my belief. For instance, at any time, if my government stops funding my research or my study, I would directly get home. There is no discussion. Nobody would care about the worth of my research or my efforts. That's internationalisation.

It's worth stressing that Lisa clearly highlights precarity, stressing a key attribute of the xeno-racially designated Other. Katia also felt that International students' interests were disregarded and existed "just to fit their interests not ours". Bima was even more critical, arguing that internationalisation was based in "fake" and "non-real" reasons. Instead of making students open to the outside world, she said, "the true reason is to absorb our money". For Jane, universities understand internationalisation only "from a very monetary point of view". But she also questioned universities' desire to work differently:

I don't think they really want to create this breadth of cultural exchange or inviting people to the same space as you. I think they just view it as a good way to make money and make universities look good

She added that for HEIs, International students are "easy money". The problem is that it "meets the needs of the Institution [but] I don't think, it meets the needs of students." Only by constructing an angelistic narrative of the student experience can the institution reconcile this disparity. This is why the question of expectations and of the *image* of provision, as perceived by our interviewees, raises troubling questions. As Katia points out, expectations are high, reflecting perhaps the drive, mentioned above, to promote the "excellence" of the UK's "gold standard" provision. In particular, Katia compared the HEI online image with the reality of studying there:

I first thought that Oh! It's a British university, and they are all successful universities and so on, but when I came here, I think that the information provided on the website are not the same as you are here, and you see with your own eyes. It's something different.

For Katia, the image presented is idealistic and the reality, perhaps unsurprisingly, "not always that perfect". Bima, too, felt that her expectations of a friendly, open institution had been raised and dashed and "nothing happened like this". This disappointment came from the feeling that the reality is

not just less than ideal, but a disorganised mess which, for Jane, belied the falsehood of the "perfect image" drawn by the institution:

I think, they should be more organised. I feel like it's a mess... It's just quite disappointing because at the website they try to draw this perfect image about the university, but when you are here, you see the reality with your own eyes

This disparity between the perfect image and the messy reality of provision is significant and unflattering. Like Jane, Bima also criticised the way sessions seemed poorly described and managed. While "[s]ome subjects were good enough" she felt that "others were really a disappointment for me". In general, her expectation that provision would be systematised, organised and high quality was not met. "You can feel the gap," she said.

Our interviewees' expectations were uniformly high, but it is striking that they were linked to the image given by the institution and the gap it created between expectations and reality. It seems clear that the rhetoric of "gold standard" provision and "excellence" raised above is echoed in students desires and expectations about provision. Like Katia, who felt that the online image and reality were out of step, Lisa thought that studying would be "like a paradise" with "all the things that I've dreamed of".

On face value, this seems unrealistic, but it takes a different turn when Lisa describes how the situation was made clear to her during application by a lecturer who said:

Don't worry of not getting offers because everybody will get accepted because we see you as dollars, and then I was shocked of having heard that. (...) He said: "just put in the emails you send "fully funded by the government", and you will be accepted".

Lisa also reported a similar level of candour about the institution's motivations from another lecturer who told her that "this [provision] is all nonsense" because "this university is just good at sticking papers on the walls and making announcements, and that's it". The point here is that students like Lisa can see that their instrumentalisation goes beyond simply recruitment and up-front funding, crucial though both are. As highlighted above, Internationalisation is inseparable from the creation and management of (a) positive image(s) and sloganising: "sticking papers on the walls and making announcements". This is why Jane feels that on university websites – she referred to more than one – "every post on Brexit or Immigrations or asylum seekers are all wearing white wings... It's like very

white winged". This is surely a telling comment: it pithily describes the angelism of institutions' self-image of a gold-standard excellence and its conations, outlined above, which is out of step with less attractive realities.

That institutions should resort to such angelism in times of stiff competition and financial stricture is perhaps integral to the high-stakes reality of Internationalisation. But it is worrying when, as we see below, students perceive such angelism as a reflection of a culture which veers from commodification and essentialisation of the student cohort towards forms of actual discrimination to the extent reported by the EHRC, above.

Discrimination and xeno-racism

It is therefore unsurprising that examples of more or less overt discrimination arise in our participants' accounts. Our interviewees reported a range of behaviours and attitudes which bear out the EHRC's conclusions. For Katia "[w]e always felt inferior inside the classroom" and "[t]he way they treat us makes us feel so inferior". Katia was keen not to condemn everyone in the institution, but felt that many did effectively marginalise students and "underestimate our potential". Similar feelings were evoked by Bima, who felt angry "because we are treated like aliens – people from another planet (...) we are lesser than them". She felt isolated, separated and marginalised from other (British) PhD students.

Bima also felt left out academically, a form of which isolation left her feeling that "I don't have the right knowledge". Since joining the institution, "nothing developed concerning knowledge", leaving her feeling disappointed, isolated and "like I have an empty head".

Further criticism of practice came from Jane, who reported frequently dealing with others' false assumptions about her nationality and ethnic origin:

I've had a lot of people thinking that I'm foreign just because I'm black. I had a lot of experiences when people were shocked that I speak very well and that I was so engaged in things.

For Jane, there are always "race perceptions", albeit subconsciously. People act "like I wasn't born here" and are "just very dismissive". This was true of "most of our teaching", she said, which was "very Eurocentric and white dominated". Case studies for example, are predominantly set in European countries and references to transnational activity are limited Only one example, from an assessment,

² See note 1, above.

concerned a comparison between France and the UK where "you're not really comparing much because there were so many similarities". Such teaching "doesn't engage me as a person", Jane said.

These perceptions reflect all-too-familiar racist tropes and, for Jane, a lack of awareness among university staff that BAME students have had to fight to get access to these "predominantly white dominated spaces". Being told to go back to her own country, Jane does not understand why she is expected to be the same as the white majority. Thus, for Jane, one of the main difficulties has been finding "black spaces" where she can feel more comfortable:

my difficulty is around race. I think a very common thing when you come to a predominantly white area, you always notice yourself more. So, you're always... like the talking or the one person in the room and when everyone else has a different mindset to you, you have no one to share your views with and bounce back and forth and that's quite difficult

She felt that over time she began to notice that "some people smile at you with fake smile". Black students, who are expected to be disengaged and distracted, are therefore not entitled to the same levels of support as their white counterparts. This leads to dismissive attitudes among staff, inequality of opportunity and the need to fight for support, she said. On one hand, she felt that this was linked to the fact she wears a veil in a town with few Muslim students. More specifically though, she felt that the veil was a signifier for financial gain: "whenever they see the veil, they smell the presence of International students". The alterity of the student, perceived as commodity, bears the marks of a very specific kind of discriminatory narrate, tying angelism to xeno-racism.

The hypo-text: from Internationalisation to xeno-racism

We have seen that many of the behaviours and attitudes reported by the EHRC are reflected in the experiences of the students in this study, who seem very lucid about these examples of discrimination and their source. Students clearly identify internationalisation with disappointment based in angelistic images of high-quality provision. They understand that their academic and personal value comes far behind that of the self-interested monetary and reputational value that they represent.

Our analysis highlights these "narratives of the other" (McCoy, 2018, 16). Although less tangible, these attributes demand our attention in these troubling times. While controversial, this has allowed, even encouraged, us to look deeper into the construction of discriminatory narratives. Cheran (2001, 2) argues that these reflect a dominant culture of economic viability and an emerging "control regime"

which intersects race with much broader with narratives of identity, gender and criminality – corroborated by Catalano, above for instance. For Varvin (2017), they stem from a sense of the psychoanalytic 'unheimlich', expressing a profound fear of anything constructed as Other. For Boeyink (2019), colonial attitudes and their attendant biopolitical³ fears ground the development of this fear of alterity and a need to find new terms such xeno-racism as to critique these new forms of discrimination.

In our view, the extent to which this triumvirate of self-preservation, self-interest and self-image may risk undermining UKHE's attempt to stamp out racism is a key issue. Our data highlights students' criticisms of the institution's projection of an attractive, often idealistic, self-image to the market. International students, who often feel "unwelcome, isolated and vulnerable", believe they are "only wanted by universities for the fees they bring" (EHRC 2019, 28). High quality services, ostentatious facilities and tempting opportunities for students are certainly touted to inflect students' choices. But the sophisticated media which mask self-preservation, self-interest and self-image are highlighted by our participants as linked to the processes which allow racism to go unchecked on campus.

In their defence, institutions generally "ha[ve] been taking steps to better understand the harassment that goes on", according to the report (EHRC 2019, 5). Indeed, their task is not easy when discrimination is less overt: so-called "micro-aggressions", for example, are often "subtle and insidious" and leave their victim "confused, distressed and frustrated" (EHRC 2019, 24. see also Advance HE 2019b). Moreover, micro-aggressors can themselves be "oblivious of the offense they have caused" (sic) (EHRC 2019, 24). Recommendations include the reinforcement of processes of advice and advocation. Mediators, acting as "listening ears" (sic) for instance, working as points of neutral contact between victim and institution would in theory improve the processing of incidents (EHRC 2019, 54-55).

This focus on process is a familiar feature of the educational managerialism which leads to the majority of universities not seeking feedback on their own processes for dealing with such cases (EHRC 2019, 10). Rather than foster participation, openness and effective action against racism, process-tinkering and data-farming are advocated (EHRC 2019, 88-90). The primacy of these processes, and the financial and reputational issues at stake in their maintenance, help show why some institutions might be reticent about really tackling racism. Indeed, as the EHRC points out, HEIs are

_

³ Biopolitics is a term coined by Foucault to describe the way post-colonial societies, rather than exploit the demographic and economic resources of colonised peoples, have turned inwards to exploit the immanent forces of life in their own populations. Life itself can no longer be defined as (purely) biological, but rather as the focus and the outcome of political strategies and technologies (see Foucault, 1976a;1976b).

able to avoid action by watering down complaints and undermining the results of internal enquiries and tribunals to avoid any liability which could damage their international reputation, brand and marketing strategy (EHRC 2019, 83). Moreover, afraid to breach data protection rules, some HEIs demonstrate a "lack of meaningful enforcement" by failing to inform the complainant about their management of these issues (EHRC 2019, 10-11). Indeed, a university might decide that the potential damage to image and financial costs of overtly tackling racism might "outweigh the potential positive effect of the measure under consideration" and distorts the organisation's ability to reach their own goals (EHRC 2019, 81 and 84).

Our analysis also highlights this sharp contrast between institutional culture and that of the students. The former's tendency to homogenise is perceived as in conflict with the latter's heterogeneity. This leads to a lack of responsiveness to need but also seems to reflect a hypo-text expressed by attitudes and behaviours of discrimination, marginalisation and racist abuse. Our interviewees' experiences demand that we ask how far the institution's deep hypo-textual investment in existing processes of angelistic branding and self-promotion collaborate with xeno-racism on campus.

Conclusion

Racism in UKHE involves a blindness to discrimination in favour of the demands of commodification and marketing in HE. For some, it reflects a specifically western model of internationalisation whose "social imaginary" has a "differential valuation of humanity" (Pražić and Indelicato 2019, 296 see also Thomas 2019). This imaginary thrives on ambiguity: its angelism presents the participants of HE as ideal citizens of an ideal academic universe, while its xeno-racism constructs them as pieces on the economic chessboard of internationalised HE.

But to what extent do xeno-racism and angelism add to an already powerful lexicon of xenophobia, racism and consumerism as critical tools in HE? If nothing else, they draw our attention to three aspects of the current situation and help voice specific issues raised by our interviewees. First, the link between Internationalisation and discriminatory practices has been highlighted. While the EHRC report brushes over this connection, which cannot be made if we limited our understanding of racism to its traditional markers, developing an awareness of xeno-racism allows us to critique a wider, deeper cultural problem. Second, xeno-racism helps us interrogate specific narratives about HE and its participants. Rather than assume that racism pre-exists its manifestations, it focuses attention on the narrative construction of specific identities and their inherent limitations. Third, and finally, this analysis underscores the fact that discrimination is both wide and deep: unrestricted by visible markers of difference, xeno-racism facilitates the reification and commodification of students for

financial and reputational advantage. This is angelism at its most ambivalent and transparent: that the imaginary of internationalisation should actualise such a hypo-text of self-interest comes as no surprise.

Neither angelism nor xeno-racism is inevitable, however. Situated as they are in specific practices, discourses and attitudes, there is no reason why other approaches to Internationalisation should not be possible. But this does mean taking our students' experiences seriously and goes beyond the development of more process, as the EHRC seems to suggest. On this view, HEIs are implicated in a form of internationalisation which undermines their ability to tackle racism as an ethical imperative, leading to angelistic and xeno-racist treatment of the edu-masses. As Bhopal and Henderson (2019, 4) remind us, the usual strategies of 'tick box' change are unlikely to work in this white-winged world.

References

Advance HE. (2019a). "Internationalising higher education". Accessed 19 December 2019. https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/guidance/teaching-and-learning/internationalization

Advance HE. (2019b). "The modern form of racism: micro-incivilities". Accessed 11 January 2019. https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/news-and-views/modern-racism-micro-incivilities

Agamben, G. (1998). "Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life". Stanford University Press.

Batty, D. (2019) "University racism study criticised for including anti-white harassment". Accessed

October 2019.

https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/oct/23/university-racism-study-criticised-including-anti-white-harassment

BBC. (2018) "Racism at university: Inquiry launched by UK's equality body". Accessed 23 October 2019. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/newsbeat-46432710

Beighton C. (2017a) Closed circuit? Flow, influence and the liquid management of learning and skills. Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education, 38 (4): 603-618

Beighton, C. (2017b) Groundhog day? Nietzsche, Deleuze and the eternal return of prosumption in lifelong learning. Journal of Consumer Culture, 17 (3): 695-712

Beighton, C. (2018) A Transversal University? Criticality, Creativity and Catatonia in the Globalised pursuit of Higher Education Excellence in Cole, D. R. and Bradley, J.P.N. Principles of Transversality in Globalisation and Education, Singapore: Springer. Pp. 47-66

Beighton, C. (2020) "A cumulative and alienating pattern of repeated slights and insults": Racism, Internationalization and Ethical Vacuity in UK Higher Education. Jl. for Critical Education Policy Studies 18 (3).

Bhopal, K., and Henderson, H. (2019). "Advancing Equality in Higher Education: An Exploratory Study of the Athena SWAN and Race Equality Charters". Advancing Equality in Higher Education: Project Report, University of Birmingham. Accessed 14 April 2020. https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/Documents/college-social-sciences/education/reports/advancing-equality-and-higher-(education.pdf

BIS (Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (2016). "The Teaching Excellence Framework: Assessing quality in Higher Education", Third Report of Session 2015–16, accessed 12.4.16. www.parliament.uk/bis.

Boeyink, C.T. (2019). A "Worthy" Refugee: Cash as a Diagnostic of "Xeno-Racism" and "Bio-Legitimacy", Refuge, 35(1), 61–71

Brown, R. (2019). "The abject failure of marketization in higher education". *The Guardian*: https://www.theguardian.com/education/2019/apr/05/the-abject-failure-of-marketization-in-higher-education

Burns, J. (2019). "Universities 'oblivious' to campus racial abuse". Accessed 23.10.19. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/education-50123697

Castro, P., Woodin, J., Lundgren, U & Byram, M. (2016). "Student mobility and internationalization in higher education: perspectives from practitioners". *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 16(3), 418-436

Catalano, T. (2011). "Xeno-racism and discursive construction of us vs. them: cosa nostra, wall street, and immigrants", unpublished PhD, University of Arizona accessed online 16th July 2020 at https://repository.arizona.edu/bitstream/handle/10150/145423/?sequence=1

Cheran, R. (2001). Xeno-Racism and International Migration. Refuge: Canada's Journal on Refugees 19(6), 1-3

Cole, D.R., and Gannon, S. (2017). "Teacher-Education-Desiring-Machines". Issues in Teacher Education, 26(3),78-95.

Cole, M. (2011). "Racism and education in the UK and US: towards a Socialist alternative", London: Palgrave Macmillan

Collins, H. (2018). "Interculturality from above and below: navigating uneven discourses in a neoliberal university system". Language and Intercultural Communication, 18 (2), 167-183

Coughlan, S. (2018). "University racism 'complacency' warning". Accessed 24.10.19. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/education-44125777

Del-Teso-Craviotto, M. (2009). "Racism and xenophobia in immigrants' discourse: The case of Argentines in Spain". Discourse & Society 20 (5), 571-592.

DFE (Department for Education) and DIT (Department for International Trade). (2019). "International Education Strategy: global potential, global growth". Accessed 16.11.19. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/799 349/International Education Strategy Accessible.pdf

DFE (Department for Education). (2016). "Educational Excellence Everywhere". Accessed 29.10.17. www.gov.uk/government/publications

Di Masso, A., Castrechini, A., and Valera, S. (2014). "Displacing xeno-racism: The discursive legitimation of native supremacy through everyday accounts of 'urban insecurity'". Discourse and Society, 25 (3), 341 –361.

Dickins, M. (2014). A - Z of Inclusion in Early Childhood, Open University Press.

Dippold, D., Bridges, S., Eccles, S., Mullen, E. (2019). "Developing the Global Graduate: How First Year University Students' Narrate Their Experiences of Culture". *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 19(4), 313-327

EHRC. (2019). "Tackling racial harassment: Universities challenged". Accessed 23.10.19. https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/publication-download/tackling-racial-harassment-universities-challenged

Fekete, L. (2001). "The emergence of xeno-racism", Race & Class Vol. 43(2), 23-40

Fekete, L. (2009). "A suitable enemy. Racism, migration and islamophobia in Europe". New York: Pluto Press.

Foucault, M. (1976a). Il faut défendre la Société : Cours au Collège de France 1975-76 : Paris : Gallimard.

Foucault, M. (1976b). Histoire de la Sexualité I : La Volonté de savoir, Paris : Gallimard

HEFCE (Higher Education Funding Council for England) .(2014). "HE and Beyond, Differences in Degree Outcomes and Student Ethnicity reports". Accessed 25.10.19. https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/19811/1/HEFCE2014 03.pdf

HEFCE (Higher Education Funding Council for England) (2017). "About the TEF". Accessed 15.11.17. http://www.hefce.ac.uk/lt/tef/whatistef/

Indelicato, M.E. and Pražić, I. (2019). "The legacy of Cold War antiracism: a genealogy of cultural distance in the Internationalization of Higher Education", Paedagogica Historica, 55(2), 295-313

ISS (International Student Statistics in UK) (2019). "International students in UK growth trend over the years". Retrieved from https://www.studying-in-uk.org/international-student-statistics-in-uk/

Kyoo L. (2014). "Xenoracism and Double Whiteness: How Ben Franklin, "True-blue English/First American," Still Confuses Us". Critical Philosophy of Race, 2(1), 46-67

Love, B.L. and Muhammad, G.E. (2020). "What do we have to lose: toward disruption, agitation, and abolition in Black education", International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 33(7), 695-697.

MAC (Migration Advisory Committee). (2018). "Impact of international students in the UK: International context". London: SW1P 4DF. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/migration-advisory-committee-mac-report-eea-migration

Masocha, S. and Simpson, M.K. (2011). "Xenoracism: Towards a critical understanding of the construction of asylum seekers and its implications for social work practice". Practice: Social Work in Action, 23(1), 5-18.

McCoy, J.S. (2018). Protecting Multiculturalism: Muslims, Security, and Integration in Canada", McGill-Queens University Press, Montreal and Kingston, London and Chicago

Nixon, E., Scullion, R., and Hearn, R. (2016). "Her majesty the student: marketised higher education and the narcissistic (dis) satisfactions of the student-consumer". Studies in Higher Education, 43(6), 927-943

Popovski, V. (2010) "Xeno-racism and Extremism: Fragmegration patterns in Muslim populations in North America and Europe", Conference proceedings, University of Alberta, Accessed online 16th July 2020 at https://wun.ac.uk/files/universities/alberta/wun xeno racism conference report 2010 june.pdf)

Raunig, G. (2013. Factories of Knowledge, Industries of Creativity. (A. Derieg. Trans.). Los Angeles: Semiotext(e).

Sivanandan, A. (2001). "Poverty is the New Black". Race & Class 43(2), 1-5.

Swist, T., and Kuswara, A. (2016). "Place-making in higher education: co-creating engagement and knowledge practices in the networked age". Higher Education Research & Development, 35(1), 100-114.

Taguieff, P. (1987). "The force of prejudice. On racism and its doubles". Minneapolis: Minnesota University Press.

Taras, R. 2012. Xenophobia and islamophobia in Europe. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

The Guardian. (2019). "Universities failing to address thousands of racist incidents". Accessed 23.10.19.

https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/oct/23/universities-failing-to-address-thousands-of-racist-incidents

Thomas, S. (2019). Difference and dissent in the neoliberal university: relational geographies of race, caste, and violence. Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education, DOI: 10.1080/01596306.2019.1655636

UCU (University and College Union) .(2019a). "UCU week of action against workplace racism". Accessed 25.10.19. https://www.ucu.org.uk/action-against-workplace-racism

UCU (University and College Union) (2019b) "Why we're taking action". Accessed 19.12.19. https://www.ucu.org.uk/why-we-are-taking-action

Universities UK and National Union of Students. (2019). "Black and ethnic minority student attainment at UK universities: closing the gap". *Universities UK and National Union of Students*. Accessed

31.10.19.

https://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Documents/2019/bame-student-attainment-uk-universities-closing-the-gap.pdf

University of Bristol. (2019). "Tackling racial harassment". Accessed 25.10.19. http://www.bris.ac.uk/news/2019/october/ehrc-response-.html

University of Sussex. (2019). "University of Sussex responds to report on racial harassment at universities". Accessed 24.10.19. http://www.sussex.ac.uk/broadcast/read/50056

Varvin, S. (2017). "Our Relations to Refugees: Between Compassion and Dehumanization", American Journal of Psychoanalysis 77(4), 359-377.

Weale, S. (2019). "DfE tells universities to stop 'unethical' admissions tactics". *The Guardian*: https://www.theguardian.com/education/2019/apr/05/dfe-tells-universities-to-stop-unethical-admissions-tactics

Wingate, U. (2015). "Academic Literacy and Student Diversity". Bristol: Multilingual Matters.

Yakushko, O. (2009). "Xenophobia: Understanding the roots and consequences of negative attitudes towards Immigrants, The Counseling Psychologist 37(1), 36-66

Author Bio 1:

Zahra Kemiche is a post graduate doctoral research student at Canterbury Christ Church University. Her research interests include HE Internationalization, discourse analysis and higher education policy and pedagogy

Author Bio 2:

Christian Beighton is Senior Lecturer in Post Compulsory Education and Training at Canterbury Christ Church University. His research interests include creativity, policy and practice in HE, professional and lifelong learning settings.