

Innovation in adult social care



Overview

The UK Government's 2021 People at the Heart of Care white paper identified innovation as key to delivering "outstanding quality" in adult social care in England. This POSTnote gives an overview of innovation in adult social care. It provides a summary of the types of innovation in the sector and evidence on key barriers to and facilitators of innovation. It also presents lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic. It focuses on England but also includes examples from all four UK nations.

- Innovations in adult social care can deliver improved quality of life, less need for health or social care support and greater carer satisfaction.
- Many innovations exist in adult social care, but there is often little robust evidence about their effectiveness and successful ideas may not be widely adopted.
- Enhanced staff retention, better training and less risk averse leadership may increase levels of innovation.
- Building data and digital capacity, such as improving internet connections and digital skills, would facilitate innovation.
- Collaboration between organisations, financial stability and regulator support can boost innovation.
- Extensive innovation has been achieved during the pandemic but infrastructure, pay, funding and data are key to sustaining this.

Background

Adult social care involves supporting adults living with physical disabilities, learning disabilities, and physical and mental health conditions so that they can lead a fulfilling life.^{1,2} It can include care given at home (domiciliary or home care), at day centres, through reablement services, or in care and nursing homes (residential care), as well as provision of advice, information, aids, home adaptations and support for carers.¹ Detail on the provision of adult social care is available in Commons Library briefings on [Adult social care funding and Informal \(unpaid\) carers](#). It includes formal care services that are paid for by the individual or funded by their Local Authority (LA) as well as unpaid care given by family or friends.^{3,4} Adult social care is devolved and policy and services vary across the four nations.⁵

In England, from April 2020 to March 2021, nearly 60% of the 17,700 public, voluntary, community and private organisations that delivered adult social care were providing support outside of care and nursing homes.⁶ Over the same period, LAs in England received 1.9 million requests for adult social care and provided or arranged long-term care at home or in other settings for around 840,000 adults.^{7,8}

Demand for care is increasing across the UK. For example, in England, the number of people receiving care via LAs at any one time is projected to rise by 43% from 631,000 in 2018 to 904,000 in 2038.^{9,10} This is due in part to population ageing, the increasing number of over 65s living with disabilities and multiple health conditions, and the increasing number of adults under 65 living with disabilities.⁹⁻¹¹ Regulators have also found variation in care quality and highlighted challenges to providing effective care, including staffing difficulties and financial constraints.¹²⁻¹⁵ Many challenges have been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic.^{13,16-18}

In England, the Department for Health and Social Care (DHSC) has identified innovation as key to improving adult social care.¹⁹ DHSC defines innovation as practices adopted by providers of adult social care, people who draw on care and support, LAs and government, to implement new models to solve a problem.²⁰ This includes technological innovation, as well as innovation in policy, service delivery and commissioning.²⁰ Unpaid (family and friends) carers are also key in developing and adopting innovation.²⁰⁻²⁴

Policy to support innovation in adult social care

The UK Government and devolved administrations are pursuing policies to boost innovation in adult social care (Box 1). In England, the 2021 People at the Heart of Care white paper includes proposals for at least £150 million of additional funding over three years to increase digitalisation in the care sector and “unlock the potential” of innovation in digital technology.²⁰ It also proposes a £30 million programme for local areas to develop and embed innovation.²⁰ The UK Government has made smaller financial commitments to improve innovation for specific groups too.^{25,26} For example, they have committed to enhancing innovation in assistive technologies for people with disabilities by promoting research investment and launching a £1 million Centre for Assistive and Accessible Technology.²⁵

Box 1: Innovation policy in devolved nations

- **Northern Ireland:** The Rebuilding Health and Social Care Services Strategic Framework sets out plans to embed innovations that emerged in the COVID-19 pandemic, with a focus on communications technology.²⁷ Training and skills building for innovation is key to the Health and Wellbeing 2026: Delivering Together plan.²⁸
- **Scotland:** The 2022 Independent Review of Adult Social Care recommended a new approach to innovation, to increase learning opportunities and create a different culture.²⁹ This would be supported by a National Centre for Social Care Support Innovation in a re-designed delivery system, called the National Care Service.²⁹
- **Wales:** The Long-Term Plan for Health and Social Care aims to bring together sources of innovation and co-ordinate scaling through an innovation network, national standards for evaluation, and investment in priority areas.³⁰ The Welsh Government is developing an Innovation Strategy, which will feature social care innovation.³¹⁻³³

Types of innovation in adult social care

Innovations can be developed and adopted by people who draw on care and support, carers, central government, providers, LAs, and others.^{20,22,24,34} There are innovations across adult social care, from those that support people to stay connected and well, to those that improve residential care.³⁵⁻³⁷ A recent rapid prioritisation of innovations in adult social care identified over 150 innovations for evaluation.³⁸ It classified the innovations into eight groups, adapted below:³⁵

- Housing community innovations, such as approaches that involve care being provided in another person's home.³⁹⁻⁴¹
- Home adaptation innovations, such as kitchen products that are accessible, appealing, and non-medicalised.⁴²
- Workforce capacity building innovations, such as peer networks and new professional roles.^{43,44}
- Training innovations for people who draw on care and their carers, such as specialist group-based education.⁴⁵
- Innovations in digital technology to support care, such as personal alarms and remote monitoring systems.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹
- Innovations linking people with sources of support, such as signposting, matching and advice services.⁵⁰
- Innovations in staying connected and resilient, such as peer support and befriending schemes.⁵¹
- Innovations related to commissioning and funding, such as flexible "gig economy" approaches to employing personal assistants.⁵²⁻⁵⁴

Evidence of impact

There is evidence that some innovations have had a positive impact on some outcomes. For example, improvements of some measures of quality of life and carer satisfaction and less need for health or social care support.^{55,56,57} For example, the Shared Lives schemes, in which a person who needs care moves in with or regularly visits a self-employed carer, can provide quality care.^{40,41,58–60} In 2020/21, the Care Quality Commission (CQC), the regulator of providers in England, rated 96% of personal care provided by these schemes 'good' or 'outstanding'.⁶¹ However, Shared Lives schemes account for just under 1% of long-term social care.⁶¹ Some innovations can also reduce costs.⁵⁶ For example, Somerset County Council reportedly saved around £2.9 million in 2020 through the Micro-enterprise programme, which supports the development of very small, community-based care and support services.^{20,62–66}

Nonetheless, there remain large evidence gaps, with little robust evaluation of many innovations.^{57,67–73} Some evaluation studies do not use outcome indicators that are able to detect the aspects of wellbeing that care aims to improve or allow comparisons between different potential users of care.⁶⁹ Some studies are not designed in a way that allows outcomes to be attributed to the new intervention.⁶⁹ Various robust evaluations have found limited effectiveness.^{74–76} For example, a recent [Randomised Controlled Trial](#) found that giving some forms of assistive technology (such as reminder devices) to people living with dementia was not associated with better quality of life or living independently for longer and was not cost-effective.⁷⁴ This has prompted consideration of priority areas for future research on assistive technology.⁷⁷ There are several new investments in research partnerships that aim to increase the quality of evidence and support its use in innovation (Box 2).

Box 2: New research partnerships in adult social care

- Adult social care is a priority for funders, with the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and the Health Foundation recently making new investments.^{78,79}
- New research projects with adult social care organisations will provide evidence on innovation.^{80–82} The SASCI study is exploring how the sector can be supported to start and spread innovation.⁸⁰ The IMPACT Centre is supporting implementation of evidence in practice.^{81,82}
- There are an increasing number of training opportunities, such as fellowships for LA staff and career development awards for social care staff.⁸³ New models, such as capacity-building partnerships, are also being trialled.^{84–89}

Barriers to and facilitators of innovation

There are many different models of innovation.^{90–94} Innovation can be thought of as including aspects of invention, adoption, adaptation, scaling and sustainability, although not always in this order.^{91,92,94–99} While a number of innovations have been successfully introduced, many ideas are not scaled or sustained.^{100,101} This can reflect differences in context, such as variation in geographic, cultural, and

socio-economic factors, which can make an innovation less relevant.¹⁰² Nonetheless, stakeholders believe that more innovations could be scaled and sustained.^{97,103} Comparative and [longitudinal evidence](#) on how to support innovation in social care is limited, but some barriers to and facilitators of innovation have been identified.^{91,96,104,105} Key areas, which are interconnected, include workforce, data, digitalisation, funding, fragmentation and regulation.

Workforce

Staffing and practical support for innovation

The adult social care sector faces staff shortages and high turnover rates, which can impede innovation.^{6,96,106,107} High workloads can also mean that staff lack time and capacity to undertake training, share learning or build their networks.^{97,108} There is wide agreement that access to practical support to cultivate change and assess the outcomes is needed to facilitate innovation, including for commissioners and managers.^{100,109,110} Several organisations are working to improve staffing in adult social care.^{111–113} For example, approaches such as values-based recruitment, which involves selecting people whose values align with those of the sector, may help fill vacancies.^{111–113} A range of organisations, such as the Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE), provide resources, demonstrations and training for innovation.^{114–119} Closer links with academia may also boost learning (Box 2).⁹⁶ DHSC has committed at least £500 million to help ensure that the workforce is well-trained, supported and recognised.²⁰ This will be used to design career pathways and a knowledge and skills framework with linked investment in training, including a digital learning programme.²⁰ Some key stakeholders have welcomed the proposals, but state that without tackling poor workforce pay and conditions, the Government will not be able to transform the way the workforce is supported and developed.^{120,121}

Leadership

Several independent reviews suggest that leadership of adult social care in LAs and providers can be risk averse, which can impede innovation.^{91,122,123} This is due in part to broader system constraints, such as limited resources and high scrutiny of the sector.^{91,123–125} However, some stakeholders note that the focus of leaders on delivering the best outcomes for people who draw on care and support can be used to empower the workforce to take control and ownership to facilitate innovation.^{96,123,126} For example, the Midlothian care co-ordination team, who provide a single access point for dementia services, report that “distributed, empowering leadership” has underpinned improvements in care.^{50,127} Regulators can support leaders to innovate well.^{97,128–130} The Government announced a review of health and social care leadership in 2021, to look at how to foster and replicate good examples of leadership.^{131–133} This may include lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic, where leaders were enabled to give care staff more autonomy, for example, in monitoring blood pressure independently.^{108,134}

Knowledge of adult social care outside the sector

Some innovations are initially developed outside the adult social care sector.¹³⁵ For example, a technology firm may develop a new digital device, such as a wearable heart rate monitor, for the fitness and wellbeing market, then later adapt the product for the adult social care market.¹³⁶ When this happens, the workforce who are developing the innovation can lack knowledge of the care sector and what might help the innovation to succeed.^{137,138} Organisations that involve people

drawing on and delivering care in a co-production process may be better able to identify needs and tailor products appropriately.^{77,91,139} For example, through co-production, Knowsley Independent Living Centre, which provides home adaptations and other services, developed a “one-stop-shop” approach, which has reduced wait times and increased service uptake.^{140,141} Scaling can also be supported by designing innovations that can be adapted locally and personalised to meet diverse support needs.^{91,142–144}

Data to inform innovation

Access to a wide range of high quality adult social care data is needed to identify problem areas for targeted innovation and to evaluate what works.^{100,106,145} For example, this can include data on numbers and characteristics of people drawing on care and support, care outcomes, and market conditions.^{96,146,147} However, data on adult social care are considerably more limited than data on other services, such as the NHS, and can be hard to access.^{148–152} This is partly because there are many producers of adult social care data, which publish across multiple platforms.¹⁵³ What data are collected and how they are reported varies and not all data are recorded digitally.^{154–160} For example, data reported by LAs about publicly funded adult social care in England is often aggregated, which can limit statistical analysis.^{148,152,161,162} Poor quality data and incompatible datasets and data management systems create barriers to data sharing and data-linkage (where multiple datasets referring to the same individual are combined), which restrict opportunities for data-driven innovation.^{148,152,161–163} Sharing data also raises security and privacy concerns.¹⁶⁴

New data sources, for example from digital technologies such as remote monitoring systems, can support innovation in different settings, including community-centred services.^{49,100,106,145} New analysis is also being conducted, including on people who fund their own care, which may further support the development and tailoring of innovations.¹⁵⁷ There has also been recent investment in several projects to explore how existing data can be better linked.^{165–171} For example, the NIHR-funded DACHA study is developing a “minimum dataset” for care homes, which will provide a consistent approach to pooling diverse data from social care, NHS and other records.^{167–169} The COVID-19 pandemic has also increased recognition of the need for a wide range of high quality, accessible, comparable data across the four UK nations.^{157,158,172,173} The ONS is providing tools to draw together official statistics on adult social care across the UK, including from community settings, to present a more transparent picture for users and improve access to data.^{174,175} DHSC has set out plans for collection of individual level data from LAs and the full introduction of digital social care records by March 2024.¹⁵⁴

Digitalisation and digital technologies

Digital innovations in adult social care are diverse and include Global Positioning System (GPS) locators, fall detectors, personal alarms, communication technologies and apps to support health and wellbeing.^{47–49} They also include widely available commercial technologies that people adapt to their needs. For example, by using smart devices to help with routine tasks such as medication reminders.^{176,177} Other innovations that use Artificial Intelligence (AI) and robotics are beginning to enter the market too (see POSTnote on [Robotics in Social Care](#)).^{178–181} Many innovations rely on digitalisation - the increased use of digital tools – to enable change.⁴⁹ Within the sector, guidance on digitalisation is available from support schemes such as the

Digital Social Care website.¹⁸² However, widespread adoption of digital technologies is limited by lack of basic digital infrastructure, such as internet connections and devices, as well as digital skills and confidence.^{183–186} Approaches that use co-production to develop technological innovations that can be customised and re-configured by users are also more likely to be successful.^{94,95,98,183,187} Engaging with people who draw on care and services can also help to reduce negative impacts from digitalisation. For example, by ensuring that people who use analogue personal alarms will not be left without a service when phone lines transition to digital, which will happen by 2025.^{187–192} DHSC has proposed at least £150 million for digitalisation and innovation in digital technologies and governments in the devolved nations have also committed to substantial digital change.^{20,193–195} However, some stakeholders have called for funding to benefit the most digitally excluded and disadvantaged areas.¹²⁰ These are often places with high adult social care demand, such as rural or coastal areas with older populations, and socio-economically deprived areas, where rates of disability are higher.^{185,188,196–201}

Funding

Adult social care funding has been under pressure for several years.²⁰² The National Audit Office reported that LAs in England experienced a 29% reduction in real-terms spending power from 2010/11 to 2019/2020, with spending “increasingly concentrated on statutory services” meaning there is less headroom to make savings.²⁰² In September 2021, the Government set out plans to reform payment for adult social care in England, including the introduction of a cap on personal care costs and changes to the means test for accessing LA funding.²⁰³ This will increase the proportion of people who are eligible for state support but will not increase the total amount of funding in the system.^{204–206} The Government also plans to move towards a “fair cost of care”, to reduce the extent to which people who fund their own care pay higher fees than LAs, and increase the rates paid by LAs (see Commons Library briefing on [Proposed reforms to adult social care](#)).²⁰⁶ However, there is substantial uncertainty on what constitutes a “fair” cost of care.^{204,205,207–209}

Rising demand and reforms could stimulate and incentivise innovation. However, many stakeholders have stated that the financial pressures the sector faces limit its capacity for innovation.^{96,109,202,210–214} For example, pressures can limit the ability of providers to pilot and evaluate an innovation alongside standard practice, to adopt new ways of working or to engage people who draw on care and support in co-production.^{91,215–217} There is limited specific financial support for innovation in adult social care, particularly for scaling ideas.^{91,101,218–220} DHSC has outlined plans for additional funds to boost innovation in England, including £30 million for local areas to develop and embed innovation.²⁰ Various stakeholders, including the Local Government Association (LGA) and The King’s Fund charity, have welcomed the focus on innovation, but have also stated that the funding is insufficient to sustain innovations and deliver transformative change.^{120,221–225}

Fragmentation in the adult social care sector

There are many organisations in the adult social care sector and links between them are often weak, making it hard to align interests and scale innovations.^{4,101,226–228} Numerous small organisations commission and provide adult social care. For example, three-quarters of for-profit care home providers run only one home.^{202,229} Connections between these organisations can be limited and market competition can make collaboration difficult.^{4,101,226–228,230–232} At a national

level, multiple organisations and Government departments attempt to co-ordinate the sector and integration with the NHS is highly variable (see [POSTbrief on Evaluating the integration of health and social care](#)).^{202,233} Creating opportunities for people to work together on practical changes can support innovation.¹⁰⁹

Many methods exist to bring organisations and people together. These include place-based models, which connect organisations and people in a local area, as well as networks and forums with links based on interests.^{114,234,235} National initiatives on priority topics, such as AI, can also build connections.^{236,237} In England, the Health and Care Act 2022 provides for the establishment of Integrated Care Partnerships (ICPs), which will bring together health, social care and other partners with the aim of improving integration.^{238,239} Some stakeholders have welcomed the flexibility to build ICPs to suit their areas, but have also called for structures to change the culture of services and to support equity between the NHS and social care providers in ICPs.²⁴⁰⁻²⁴²

Regulation in the adult social care sector

Policymakers globally are interested in how regulation can enable innovation in all sectors, while protecting the public and the environment.²⁴³⁻²⁴⁶ There are different views on how regulation can best support innovation in adult social care.^{240,247-249} Where providers in England are regulated by the CQC, it assesses innovation as part of its evaluation of how well-led a service is.²⁵⁰ The CQC has stated that its oversight of providers has not always supported innovation, due to a lack of focus on adoption and a lack of clarity on what is expected of different parts of the system.⁹⁷ In 2021 the CQC committed to championing innovation, by developing a more proportionate regulatory approach and encouraging research.¹²⁸ Scottish and Welsh regulators have similar commitments.^{129,130} In England, the Health and Care Act 2022 includes a new duty on the CQC to assess LAs' delivery of their adult social care functions, which could allow it support innovation in new areas.^{238,239}

Lessons from the Covid-19 pandemic

The pandemic had many negative impacts on adult social care, but it also spurred positive change (see Commons Library briefing on [Coronavirus: Adult social care key issues](#)).^{13,37,251-253} Stakeholders, including the LGA and SCIE, have identified lessons from the pandemic including:

- Extensive innovation is possible when there is a sense of urgency and collective identity, as demonstrated by the rapid uptake of new digital communications technology.²⁵⁴⁻²⁵⁶
- Funding challenges and lack of infrastructure, such as broadband can critically impede new ways of working.^{17,257,258}
- Leadership can empower the care workforce to innovate, but pay and support are key to staff retention.^{6,17,134,259}
- Timely data are vital to assessing the impact of innovation on care outcomes, such as hospital discharges.^{17,257}

References

1. The King's Fund (2021). [Key facts and figures about adult social care.](#)
2. Social Care Future (2021). [An Equal Life for all.](#)
3. Institute for Government (2021). [Devolved public services.](#)
4. National Audit Office (2018). [Adult Social Care at a glance.](#) 31.
5. Oung, C. *et al.* (2020). [Adult social care in the four countries of the UK.](#) *The Nuffield Trust.*
6. Skills for Care (2021). [The State of the Adult Social Care Sector and Workforce 2021.](#) 142.
7. NHS Digital (2021). [Adult Social Care Activity and Finance Report, England - 2020-21.](#) *Social Care Team, NHS Digital.*
8. Age UK (2021). [Personal budgets and direct payments in social care.](#) 22.
9. Hu, B. *et al.* (2020). [Projections of Adult Social Care Demand and Expenditure 2018 to 2038.](#) 19.
10. Department of Health and Social Care (2021). [Evidence review for adult social care reform.](#) 125.
11. The Health Foundation (2021). [Health and social care funding projections.](#)
12. Care Inspectorate Wales (2020). [National review of care homes for people living with dementia.](#)
13. Care Quality Commission (2021). [The state of health care and adult social care in England 2020/21.](#)
14. Care Inspectorate (2020). [The Care Inspectorate's role, purpose and learning during the Covid-19 pandemic.](#)
15. Care Inspectorate Wales (2020). [National review of prevention and promotion of independence for older adults.](#)
16. Social Care Sector COVID-19 Support Taskforce (2020). [Adult social care in England \(COVID-19\): A review of the 2020 to 2021 winter plan and subsequent actions – what more should be done?](#) 64.
17. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2020). [Beyond COVID: New thinking on the future of adult social care.](#) 36.
18. Department of Health, Northern Ireland (2020). [Rapid Learning Review of Domiciliary Care in Northern Ireland.](#)
19. Department of Health and Social Care (2021). [Policy paper. Integration and innovation: working together to improve health and social care for all.](#)
20. Department of Health and Social Care (2021). [Policy paper. People at the Heart of Care: adult social care reform white paper.](#)
21. Carers UK (2022). [We innovate.](#)
22. Embracing Carers *et al.* (2019). [Innovative Carer Practices.](#)
23. Department of Health and Social Care (2018). [Carers action plan 2018-2020.](#)
24. Carers UK (2021). [State of Caring 2021 report.](#)
25. HM Government (2021). [National Disability Strategy.](#)
26. HM Government (2021). [The national strategy for autistic children, young people and adults: 2021 to 2026.](#) 43.
27. Department of Health, Northern Ireland (2020). [Rebuilding Health and Social Care Services Strategic Framework.](#)
28. Department of Health, Northern Ireland (2016). [Health and wellbeing 2026: delivering together.](#) 28.
29. Feeley, D. (2021). [Independent Review of Adult Care in Scotland.](#)
30. Welsh Government (2018). [A Healthier Wales: The Long-Term Plan for Health and Social Care.](#) 40.

31. Sargeant, J. *et al.* (2021). [Written Question - WQ83118. Welsh Parliament.](#)
32. Delbridge, R. *et al.* (2021). [Scoping the future of Innovation Policy in Wales.](#) 64.
33. Business Wales (2022). [Innovation Strategy.](#)
34. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2019). [Scaling up community-based models of care in Northern Ireland.](#) 16.
35. Walton, H. *et al.* (2019). [Innovations in Adult Social Care and Social Work.](#) 65.
36. Think Local, Act Personal (2019). [Innovations in community-centred support directory.](#)
37. Care Quality Commission (2021). [Innovation and inspiration: examples of how providers are responding to coronavirus.](#)
38. Cowan, K. *et al.* (2021). [Rapid prioritisation of topics for rapid evaluation: the case of innovations in adult social care and social work.](#) *Health Research Policy and Systems*, Vol 19,
39. Homeshare UK (2022). [The UK network for Homeshare organisations.](#)
40. National Health Service (2018). [Shared lives schemes.](#)
41. Social Care Institute for Excellence *et al.* (2021). [A place we can call home: A vision and a roadmap for providing more options for housing with care and support for older people.](#)
42. National Innovation Centre Ageing (2021). [The multigenerational 4GenKitchen.](#)
43. Oomph Wellness [Enhancing mental, physical and emotional wellbeing.](#)
44. Joined Up Care Derbyshire (2022). [Joint health and social care apprenticeships.](#)
45. Younger People With Dementia (YPWD) (2022). [Education and Training.](#)
46. Howz (2022). [Smart telecare for more sustainable independent living.](#) *Howz.*
47. Technology Enabled Care Services Association (2020). [From stabilisation to innovation: the response and redesign of TEC services during COVID-19.](#)
48. Local Government Association (2022). [Social Care Digital Innovation Programme.](#)
49. Wright, J. (2020). [Technology in social care: review of the UK policy landscape.](#)
50. Healthcare Improvement Scotland (2019). [Care co-ordination in the community for people with dementia in Midlothian.](#)
51. Graham, J. T. *et al.* (2016). [The Power of Peer Support.](#) 36. Nesta.
52. Carr Gomm (2022). [Community Contacts.](#)
53. Inspiring Scotland (2017). [Innovation Fund Progress Report.](#)
54. Care Inspectorate (2019). [Thematic review of self-directed support in Scotland: transforming lives.](#)
55. Community Catalysts CIC *et al.* (2018). [Six innovations in social care.](#)
56. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2017). [Total transformation of care and support: creating the five year forward view for social care.](#) 18.
57. Weatherly, H. L. A. *et al.* (2017). [Scoping review on social care economic evaluation methods.](#) 1–53.
58. National Development Team for Inclusion (NDTi) (2019). [Shared Lives Intermediate Care.](#) 53.
59. Cordis Bright (2020). [Shared Lives in Health.](#)
60. Social Finance (2019). [The Shared Lives Incubator.](#)
61. Shared Lives Plus (2022). [The state of Shared Lives in England 2020-21.](#) 18.
62. Community Catalysts CIC (2017). [Releasing Somerset's Capacity to Care.](#)

63. Community Catalysts CIC (2021). [Reflections on the Social Care Reform White Paper.](#)
64. New Economics Foundation *et al.* (2020). [Community micro-enterprise as a driver of local development in social care.](#)
65. Glasby, J. *et al.* (2018). [The Goldilocks question: what size is 'just right' for social care providers?](#) *International Journal of Care and Caring*, Vol 2, 65–87.
66. Needham, C. *et al.* (2015). [Micro-Enterprises: Small enough to care?](#) 26.
67. Ehn, M. *et al.* (2021). [Mobile Safety Alarms Based on GPS Technology in the Care of Older Adults: Systematic Review of Evidence Based on a General Evidence Framework for Digital Health Technologies.](#) *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, Vol 23.
68. Richardson, M. X. *et al.* (2021). [Nocturnal digital surveillance in aged populations and its effects on health, welfare and social care provision: a systematic review.](#) *BMC Health Services Research*, Vol 21, 622.
69. Forder, J. (2021). [Evaluation in adult social care.](#)
70. Bulamu, N. B. *et al.* (2018). [Economic evaluations in community aged care: a systematic review.](#) *BMC Health Services Research*, Vol 18, 967.
71. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2015). [Recommendations for research - Home care: delivering personal care and practical support to older people living in their own homes.](#)
72. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2015). [Recommendations for research - Older people with social care needs and multiple long-term conditions.](#)
73. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2020). [Recommendations for research - Supporting adult carers.](#)
74. Howard, R. *et al.* (2021). [The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of assistive technology and telecare for independent living in dementia: a randomised controlled trial.](#) *Age and Ageing*, Vol 50, 882–890.
75. Steventon, A. *et al.* (2013). [Effect of telecare on use of health and social care services: findings from the Whole Systems Demonstrator cluster randomised trial.](#) *Age and Ageing*, Vol 42, 501–508.
76. Davies, A. *et al.* (2020). [The impact of assistive technology on burden and psychological well-being in informal caregivers of people with dementia \(ATTILA Study\).](#) *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions*, Vol 6, e12064.
77. Woolham, J. *et al.* (2021). [Telecare at a crossroads? Finding researchable questions.](#) *Journal of Enabling Technologies*, Vol 15, 175–188.
78. National Institute for Health and Care Research (2022). [Social Care - National Institute for Health Research.](#)
79. Economic and Social Research Council (2022). [Social Care - Economic and Social Research Council.](#)
80. Supporting Adult Social Care Innovation (SASCI) (2022). [Supporting Adult Social Care Innovation SASCI Research Project.](#)
81. Improving Adult Care Together (IMPACT) (2022). [IMPACT Centre.](#)
82. Improving Adult Care Together (IMPACT) (2021). [IMPACT: IMProving Adult Care Together \(UK Centre for Evidence Implementation in Adult Social Care\) Briefing.](#)
83. National Institute for Health and Care Research (2022). [Professional development and training.](#)
84. NIHR Funding and Awards (2021). [Kent Adult Social Care Partnership.](#)
85. NIHR Funding and Awards (2021). [Adult social care partnership: Using co-production methods to build and evaluate a regional capacity building network.](#)

86. NIHR Funding and Awards (2021). [ConnectED: Connecting Evidence with Decision Making.](#)
87. NIHR Funding and Awards (2021). [Peninsula Adult Social Care Research Collaborative \(PARC\): Embedding research into practice to improve social care outcomes.](#)
88. NIHR Funding and Awards (2021). [Developing Research Practice Partnerships to deliver novel, sustainable collaborations between adult social care research and practice in the UK.](#)
89. NIHR Funding and Awards (2021). [Enhancing Research Capacity in Adult Social Care in the East of England: The SCRiPT study.](#)
90. Brown, L. (2015). [A Lasting Legacy? Sustaining Innovation in a Social Work Context.](#) *The British Journal of Social Work*, Vol 45, 138–152.
91. Social Care Institute for Excellence *et al.* (2020). [Scaling innovation in social care.](#)
92. Greenhalgh, T. *et al.* (2004). [Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: systematic review and recommendations.](#) *Milbank Q*, Vol 82, 581–629.
93. NHS England (2018). [Leading Large Scale Change: A practical guide.](#) 123.
94. Greenhalgh, T. *et al.* (2017). [Beyond Adoption: A New Framework for Theorizing and Evaluating Nonadoption, Abandonment, and Challenges to the Scale-Up, Spread, and Sustainability of Health and Care Technologies.](#) *J Med Internet Res*, Vol 19,
95. Webster, A. *et al.* (2021). [Regenerative readiness: innovation meets sociology.](#) *Regenerative Medicine*, Vol 16, 189–195. Future Medicine Ltd London, UK.
96. Zigante, V. *et al.* (2022). [How can the adult social care sector develop, scale and spread innovations?](#) 37.
97. Care Quality Commission *et al.* (2021). [Enabling innovation and adoption in health and social care.](#) 21.
98. Webster, A. *et al.* (2019). [Aligning technology and institutional readiness: the adoption of innovation.](#) *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, Vol 31, 1229–1241.
99. Institute for Research and Innovation in Social Services (2013). [Exploring the Relationships Between Evidence and Innovation in the Context of Scotland’s Social Services.](#)
100. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2018). [Growing innovative models of health, care and support for adults.](#) 18.
101. Social Care Institute for Excellence *et al.* (2019). [Social Care Innovation Network: getting under the skin of it.](#) 12.
102. Best, S. *et al.* (2019). [Prudence or speed: Health and social care innovation in rural Wales.](#) *Journal of Rural Studies*, Vol 70, 198–206.
103. Ipsos Mori *et al.* (2021). [NHSX Adult Social Care Technology and Digital Skills Review.](#) 230.
104. Supporting Adult Social Care Innovation (SASCI) (2022). [About Supporting Adult Social Care Innovation \(SASCI\).](#)
105. Malley, J. N. (2020). [Introduction to SASCI.](#) 7.
106. Nesta (2021). [Nurturing the Seeds of Change.](#)
107. The Nuffield Trust (2021). [Developing the digital skills of the social care workforce.](#) 32.
108. House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee (2021). [Workforce burnout and resilience in the NHS and social care.](#)
109. Tawodzera, O. *et al.* (2022). [IMPACT survey report.](#) 37.
110. Hartley, J. (2014). [New development: Eight and a half propositions to stimulate frugal innovation.](#) *Public Money & Management*, Vol 34, 227–232.

111. Moriarty, J. *et al.* (2018). [Recruitment and retention in adult social care services.](#) 45.
112. Local Government Association (2022). [Top tips for retention – A briefing for adult social care providers.](#)
113. Skills for Care (2017). [Recruitment and retention: secrets of success. Learning from employers what works well.](#)
114. Social Care Innovation Network (2022). [Social Care Innovation Network.](#)
115. Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE) [Social Care Institute for Excellence \(SCIE\).](#)
116. Think Local Act Personal (2022). [Think Local Act Personal.](#)
117. Institute for Research and Innovation in Social Services (2016). [Improving lives through knowledge, evidence and innovation.](#)
118. WCS Care (2022). [Innovation partners.](#)
119. Digital Social Care (2022). [The Hubble Project: technology-enabled care.](#)
120. Local Government Association (2021). [LGA response to 'People at the heart of care: adult social care reform white paper'.](#)
121. The King's Fund (2021). [The King's Fund responds to the government's adult social care White Paper.](#)
122. Brown, L. (2010). [Balancing Risk and Innovation to Improve Social Work Practice.](#) *The British Journal of Social Work*, Vol 40, 1211–1228.
123. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2019). [Leadership in strengths-based social care.](#) 12.
124. Skills for Care (2011). [Learning to live with risk.](#) 32.
125. Institute for Research and Innovation in Social Services (2017). [IRISS on Risk.](#)
126. Think Local, Act Personal (2019). [Reimagining social care: a study in three places.](#)
127. Scottish Government (2016). [Evaluation of the effectiveness of the "8 Pillars" model of home-based support.](#)
128. Care Quality Commission (2021). [A new strategy for the changing world of health and social care.](#) 22.
129. Care Inspectorate (2019). [Care Inspectorate Improvement Strategy 2019-2022.](#)
130. Care Inspectorate Wales (2020). [Care Inspectorate Wales Strategic Plan 2020-2025.](#) 8.
131. Department of Health and Social Care (2021). [Review of health and social care leadership in England: terms of reference.](#)
132. The King's Fund (2021). [The King's Fund responds to the government's review of leadership in health and social care.](#)
133. Department of Health and Social Care (2021). [Government launches landmark review of health and social care leadership.](#)
134. Think Local Act Personal (2021). [The 3 R's of Social Care Reform.](#)
135. Future Care Capital (2022). [Care Tech Sector Analysis.](#)
136. Deloitte Centre for Health Solutions (2015). [Connected health: How digital technology is transforming health and social care.](#)
137. Bottery, S. *et al.* (2018). [A fork in the road: next steps for social care funding reform.](#)
138. The King's Fund (2020). [Public satisfaction with the NHS and social care in 2019.](#)
139. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2015). [Co-production in social care: What it is and how to do it.](#) 77.
140. Centre for Ageing Better *et al.* (2018). [Knowsley Independent Living Centre profile.](#)
141. Centre for Ageing Better *et al.* (2018). [Good practice and innovation in home adaptations.](#) 56.

142. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2012). [Personalisation: a rough guide.](#) 107.
143. Think Local Act Personal (2018). [Making it Real.](#)
144. RAND Europe (2020). [Transforming social care provision: first steps towards sustained innovation.](#) RAND Corporation.
145. Association of Directors of Adult Social Services *et al.* (2021). [The Future of Data Driven Social Care.](#)
146. King, D. *et al.* (2015). [Data on Adult Social Care.](#)
147. Ward, G. *et al.* (2017). [Developing the assistive technology consumer market for people aged 50–70.](#) *Ageing & Society*, Vol 37, 1050–1067.
148. Office for Statistics Regulation (2020). [Adult Social Care Statistics in England.](#)
149. The Nuffield Trust (2020). [Adult social care statistics: the potential for change.](#)
150. Office for Statistics Regulation (2020). [Adult social care statistics in Scotland.](#)
151. Hanratty, B. *et al.* (2020). [Covid-19 and lack of linked datasets for care homes.](#) *BMJ*, Vol 369, m2463.
152. Office for Statistics Regulation (2020). [Adult Social Care Statistics: a way forward for Great Britain.](#)
153. Office for National Statistics (2022). [Improving access to data on adult social care.](#)
154. Department of Health and Social Care (2022). [Data saves lives: reshaping health and social care with data \(draft\).](#)
155. NHS Digital (2020). [User Requirements and the Core Capabilities of a Digital Social Care Record - Digital Marketplace.](#)
156. Institute for Public Care (2019). [Adult Social Care Data and Cyber Security Programme.](#)
157. Office for National Statistics (2021). [Social care: Estimating the size of the self-funding population.](#)
158. Office for National Statistics (2020). [Shining a light on what we know about adult social care.](#)
159. Ward, L. *et al.* (2020). [Older People, Care, and Self-Funding Experiences.](#)
160. Baxter, K. *et al.* (2015). [People who fund their own social care.](#)
161. The Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology (2016). [Integrating health and social care POSTnote.](#)
162. Harris, J. *et al.* (2020). [Evaluating the integration of health and social care POSTbrief.](#)
163. Scottish Government Social Research (2019). [Health & Community Care: data linkage.](#)
164. Sheikh, A. *et al.* (2021). [Health information technology and digital innovation for national learning health and care systems.](#) *The Lancet Digital Health*, Vol 3.
165. Burton, J. K. *et al.* (2019). [Identifying care-home residents in routine healthcare datasets: a diagnostic test accuracy study of five methods.](#) *Age Ageing*, Vol 48, 114–121.
166. Housley, G. *et al.* (2018). [Accurate identification of hospital admissions from care homes; development and validation of an automated algorithm.](#) *Age Ageing*, Vol 47, 387–391.
167. Developing research resources And minimum data set for Care Homes' Adoption (DACHA) study (2022). [DACHA: Developing research resources And minimum data set for Care Homes' Adoption.](#)
168. Burton, J. K. *et al.* (2022). [Developing a minimum data set for older adult care homes in the UK: exploring the concept and defining early core principles.](#) *The Lancet Healthy Longevity*, Vol 3, e186–e193.
169. Musa, M. K. *et al.* (2022). [The uptake and use of a minimum data set \(MDS\) for older people living and dying in care homes: a realist review.](#) *BMC Geriatrics*, Vol 22, 33.

170. Clarkson, P. *et al.* (2022). [Developing a Social Care Strengths and Vulnerability Index \(SCS-VI\) for older people: a feasibility proof of concept study in local authorities.](#)
171. Centre for International Research on Care, Labour and Equalities (2021). [ESRC Centre for Care - Research Centre on Adult Social Care.](#)
172. Krutikov, M. *et al.* (2022). [Prevalence and duration of detectable SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid antibodies in staff and residents of long-term care facilities over the first year of the pandemic \(VIVALDI study\): prospective cohort study in England.](#) *The Lancet Healthy Longevity*, Vol 3, e13–e21.
173. Vivaldi (2021). [Opportunities for a post-pandemic programme of research and surveillance to reduce infection in care homes: findings from a roundtable discussion.](#) 14.
174. Office for Statistics Regulation (2020). [Getting the data to support decisions on adult social care.](#)
175. Government Statistical Service (2022). [Adult social care statistics interactive database.](#)
176. Hamblin, K. (2022). [Sustainable Social Care: The Potential of Mainstream “Smart” Technologies.](#) *Sustainability*, Vol 14, 2754.
177. Wright, J. (2021). [The Alexaification of Adult Social Care: Virtual Assistants and the Changing Role of Local Government in England.](#) *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, Vol 18, 812.
178. Bloomfield, P. *et al.* (2021). [Residential Care Tech Landscape Review.](#)
179. Bloomfield, P. *et al.* (2021). [Mental Health Tech Landscape Review.](#)
180. Bloomfield, P. *et al.* (2021). [Learning Disability Tech Landscape Review.](#)
181. Bloomfield, P. (2021). [Home Care Tech Landscape Review.](#)
182. Digital Social Care (2022). [Digital Social Care - Run by social care providers for social care providers.](#)
183. The King’s Fund (2021). [Shaping the future of digital technology in health and social care.](#) 84.
184. NHSX (2021). [Adoption and Scalability of Technology Innovation in the Adult Social Care Sector: Rapid Research Review.](#)
185. Hamblin, K. (2020). [Care System Sustainability: what role for technology? An evidence review.](#)
186. Association of Directors of Adult Social Services *et al.* [Exploring How Technology Can Be Truly Integrated into Adult Social Care.](#)
187. James Wright *et al.* (2020). [The Potential of Technology in Adult Social Care.](#)
188. Hamblin, K. (2020). [Technology and social care in a digital world: challenges and opportunities in the UK.](#) *Journal of Enabling Technologies*, Vol 14, 115–125.
189. House of Lords Science and Technology Select Committee (2021). [Ageing: Science, Technology and Healthy Living.](#)
190. Technology Enabled Care Services Association (2021). [Transitioning your Social Alarms Systems from Analogue to Digital: The End of Analogue Purchasing.](#)
191. Wright, J. *et al.* (2022). [The social care White Paper focuses on technology – but does it go far enough?](#) *Sustainable Care*.
192. Technology Enabled Care Services Association (2022). [Telecare IP switch over.](#)
193. Welsh Government (2015). [Informed health and care: a digital health and social care strategy for Wales.](#)
194. Department of Health, Northern Ireland (2016). [eHealth and Care Strategy.](#)

195. Cabinet Secretary for Health and Social Care, Scotland [Enabling, Connecting and Empowering: Care in the Digital Age.](#)
196. Centre for Ageing Better (2021). [Ageing in a rural place.](#)
197. Office for National Statistics (2021). [Overview of the UK population.](#)
198. Office for National Statistics (2020). [Living longer: trends in subnational ageing across the UK.](#)
199. Office for National Statistics (2018). [Demand for adult social care across counties and unitary authorities in England.](#)
200. Katikireddi, S. V. *et al.* (2017). [The contribution of risk factors to socioeconomic inequalities in multimorbidity across the lifecourse: a longitudinal analysis of the Twenty-07 cohort.](#) *BMC Medicine*, Vol 15, 152.
201. Office for National Statistics (2018). [Prevalence of disability by deprivation decile, England, 2014 to 2016.](#)
202. National Audit Office (2021). [The adult social care market in England.](#) 72.
203. Cabinet Office *et al.* (2022). [Adult social care charging reform: analysis.](#)
204. LaingBuisson (2022). [Impact Assessment of the Implementation of Section 18\(3\) of The Care Act 2014 and Fair Cost of Care.](#)
205. Department of Health and Social Care (2022). [Adult Social Care Charging Reform Impact Assessment.](#)
206. HM Government (2021). [Building Back Better: Our Plan for Health and Social Care.](#)
207. Local Government Association (2021). [LGA response to 'Build Back Better: Our plan for health and social care'.](#)
208. National Care Forum (2021). [It really is time for a fair price for care.](#)
209. Local Government Association (2022). [Councils could face budget blackhole amid growing concerns about underfunded adult social care reforms.](#)
210. House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee (2020). [Social Care: Funding and Workforce.](#) 45.
211. All Party Parliamentary Group on Adult Social Care (2022). [Securing the Future of Social Care Roundtable Report.](#) 12.
212. All Party Parliamentary Group on Adult Social Care (2021). [A Vision of Social Care.](#)
213. Glasby, J. (2013). [Turning the welfare state upside down? A new approach to adult social care.](#)
214. Glasby, J. *et al.* (2013). [Turning the welfare state upside down? Developing a new adult social care offer.](#)
215. Audit Scotland (2014). [Reshaping care for older people.](#) 48.
216. Flemig, S. S. *et al.* (2019). [The Dynamics of Co-Production in the Context of Social Care Personalisation: Testing Theory and Practice in a Scottish Context.](#) *J. Soc. Pol.*, Vol 48, 671–697.
217. Audit Scotland (2016). [Reshaping care for older people - Impact report.](#) 16.
218. NHS Transformation Directorate (2022). [Adult social care digital transformation.](#)
219. Association of Directors of Adult Social Services (2021). [Digital Innovation Challenge.](#)
220. Zimpel-Leal, K. *et al.* (2018). [Ageing well at home: emergent models of home care provision and the professionalisation of the home care workforce.](#)
221. Oliver, D. (2022). [The social care white paper provides few solutions to an urgent crisis.](#) *BMJ*, Vol 376, o107.
222. The King's Fund (2021). [The social care White Paper: not wrong, just not moving far enough in the right direction.](#)

223. Homecare Association (2021). [People at the Heart of Care - our views on the White Paper.](#)
224. Yeandle, S. (2021). [‘Merely tinkering’: expert analysis of the UK government’s new plan to reform social care in England.](#) *The Conversation.*
225. Nesta (2018). [Funding Innovation: A Practice Guide.](#)
226. Carey, M. (2015). [The Fragmentation of Social Work and Social Care: Some Ramifications and a Critique.](#) *The British Journal of Social Work*, Vol 45, 2406–2422.
227. Think Local Act Personal *et al.* (2021). [Towards resilience: Making community matter in social care.](#)
228. Life Sciences Hub Wales (2021). [Achieving Innovation in Health and Social Care - a narrative review.](#)
229. Future Care Capital (2019). [Data That Cares.](#)
230. Needham, C. *et al.* (2020). [Shifting Shapes: how can local care markets support personalised outcomes?](#) 48.
231. HM Inspectorate of Prisons, Care Quality Commission (CQC) and Care Inspectorate Wales (CIW) (2018). [Social care in prisons in England and Wales: A thematic report.](#)
232. House of Commons Health and Care Select Committee (2018). [Prison health.](#)
233. Care Quality Commission (2018). [Beyond barriers: How older people move between health and social care in England.](#)
234. Institute for Research and Innovation in Social Services (2015). [Place-based working.](#)
235. Social Care Futures (2022). [Social Care Data Analytics: Community of Practice.](#)
236. NHS Transformation Directorate (2022). [AI in adult social care.](#)
237. NHS Transformation Directorate (2022). [The NHS AI Lab.](#)
238. [Health and Care Act 2022.](#)
239. Newson, N. (2021). [Health and Care Bill \(HL Bill 71 of 2021–22\) House of Lords Library Briefing.](#)
240. Local Government Association (2021). [LGA briefing: Health and Social Care Bill White Paper.](#)
241. The King’s Fund (2021). [Integrated care systems and social care: the opportunities and challenges.](#) *The King’s Fund.*
242. NHS Confederation (2021). [The health and care bill.](#)
243. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2021). [Better regulation and innovation.](#)
244. G20 Insights (2019). [Forming a Cohesive Fintech Agenda for the G20.](#)
245. The Policy Circle (2022). [Government Regulation.](#)
246. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2021). [Recommendation of the Council for Agile Regulatory Governance to Harness Innovation.](#)
247. Reid, K. (2018). [From enforcer to enabler: how regulatory sandboxes and adaptive approaches support the move from compliance to collaboration in health and social care.](#) 5.
248. Nesta (2017). [A working model for anticipatory regulation.](#) 12.
249. The King’s Fund (2018). [Impact of the Care Quality Commission on provider performance.](#)
250. Care Quality Commission (2017). [Key lines of enquiry, prompts and ratings characteristics for adult social care services.](#)
251. Social Care Institute for Excellence (2021). [The future of commissioning for social care.](#)
252. Think Local, Act Personal (2020). [Covid-19 adult social care provision: stories of promise.](#)

253. The Health Foundation (2020). [Briefing: Adult social care and COVID-19.](#) 24.
254. Local Government Association *et al.* (2020). [Digital innovation in adult social care: how we've been supporting communities during COVID-19.](#) 25.
255. Wiedner, R. *et al.* (2020). [Improvisation during a crisis: hidden innovation in healthcare systems.](#) *Leader*, Vol 4, 185–188.
256. Baroness Cavendish (2022). [How can we lock in the lessons of the crisis to build a more robust, sustainable, joined-up system of health and social care?](#) 89.
257. Frontier Economics *et al.* (2020). [Health and social care innovation, research and collaboration in response to COVID-19.](#)

Contributors

POST is grateful to Katherine Davis for researching this briefing, to the Nuffield Foundation for funding her parliamentary fellowship, and to all contributors and reviewers.

Members of the POST Board*

Akudo Amadiogwu, University of Essex

Prof. James Barlow, Imperial College London

Prof. Andrew Bateman, University of Essex

Prof. Yvonne Birks, University of York

Prof. Annette Boaz, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

David Brown, Nesta

Dr Diane Burns, University of Sheffield*

Dr Paul Clarkson, University of Manchester

Chris Day, Care Quality Commission*

Department of Health and Social Care*

Dee Fraser, Institute for Research and Innovation in Social Services (IRISS)

Prof. Paul Freddolino, Michigan State University*

Prof. Ann Gallagher, University of Exeter

Luke Geoghegan, British Association of Social Workers

Prof. Jon Glasby, University of Birmingham*

Prof. Martin Green OBE, Care England*

Prof. Trish Greenhalgh, Oxford University*

Dr Cate Goodlad, University of Sheffield*

Prof. Claire Goodman, University of Hertfordshire

Dr Kate Hamblin, University of Sheffield*

Dez Holmes, Research in Practice

Emily Holzhausen OBE, Carers UK

Ewan King, Social Care Institute for Excellence

Prof. Martin Knapp, London School of Economics

Shakir Laher, NHS Digital*

Iain MacBeath, Association of Directors of Adult Social Services*

Dr Juliette Malley, London School of Economics*

Prof. Jill Manthorpe, King's College London*

Mr Tim Parkin, Think Local, Act Personal*

Prof. Dame Louise Robinson, Newcastle University

Prof. Harry Scarborough, City, University of London

Isobel Scott-Barrett, Nesta

Lisa Smith, Research in Practice

Madeleine Starr MBE, Carers UK

Dr Chartini Stavropoulou, City, University of London

Dr Obert Tawodzera, University of Birmingham

Jim Thomas, Skills for Care*

Dr Ann-Marie Towers, University of Kent

Dr Holly Walton, University College London

Melanie Weatherly MBE, Care Association Alliance

Dr Matthew Wells, University of Essex

Dr John Woolham, King's College London*

Katharine Wright, Nuffield Council on Bioethics*

* denotes people and organisations who acted as external reviewers of the briefing.

The Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology (POST) is an office of both Houses of Parliament. It produces impartial briefings designed to make scientific information and research accessible to the UK Parliament. Stakeholders contribute to and review POSTnotes. POST is grateful to these contributors.

Our work is published to support Members of Parliament. Individuals should not rely upon it as legal or professional advice, or as a substitute for it. We do not accept any liability whatsoever for any errors, omissions or misstatements contained herein. You should consult a suitably qualified professional if you require specific advice or information. Every effort is made to ensure that the information contained in our briefings is correct at the time of publication. Readers should be aware that briefings are not necessarily updated to reflect subsequent changes. This information is provided subject to the conditions of the Open Parliament Licence.

If you have any comments on our briefings, please email post@parliament.uk. Please note that we are not always able to engage in discussions with members of the public who express opinions about the content of our research, although we will carefully consider and correct any factual errors.

If you have general questions about the work of the House of Commons email hcenquiries@parliament.uk or the House of Lords email hlinfo@parliament.uk.

Image Credit: Photo by [Georg Arthur Pflueger](#) on [Unsplash](#)

POST's published material is available to everyone at post.parliament.uk.
Get our latest research delivered straight to your inbox.
Subscribe at post.parliament.uk/subscribe.

 post@parliament.uk

 parliament.uk/post

 [@POST_UK](https://twitter.com/POST_UK)