

Canterbury Centre for **Policing Research**

Helping criminal justice system users: Utilising specially trained dogs

Dr. Elizabeth Spruin & Dr. Katarina Mozova Susanna Mitchell, Nicole Holt, Dr. Anke Franz, Dr. Ana Fernandez

CONTEXT

The journey through the Criminal Justice System

The reporting of crime \rightarrow Police investigation (interviewing of defendants, victims, witnesses) \rightarrow Trial (giving evidence)

The problem

- Secondary victimization as part of the process (Gekoski, Adler & Gray, 2013)
- Psychological reactions during interviewing/giving evidence similar to when event was occurring (Courthouse Dogs Foundation, 2015)
- Most affected are those most vulnerable: children, the elderly, those with mental health issues, victims of specific crimes (e.g. sexual assault, domestic violence)

What works

<u>Procedural justice</u> – fair treatment and access to services more important in regard to satisfaction than outcome of case (Wedlock & Tapley, 2014); current services are inconsistent

Interviews/giving evidence and support

Support is available (e.g. pre-trial visit to court, home visit, court supporter for young people, able to carry comfort items, etc.) BUT contact with a living creature is impossible – this type of contact seems to best help in extremely difficult situations

Improving CJS response

- Ceeny (2015) Chief Executive of HM Courts and Tribunal Services: real desire to make CJS truly better for all users
- Need for improved services and support for vulnerable individuals (Government Justice Reform Policy, 2015) and Victims (Code of Practice for Victims, 2015)
- Emphasis on work with partners to provide better support for court users (HM Courts & Tribunals Service Business Plan (2015)

Therapy dog vs Facility Dog

- *Therapy dog* personal pet that has passed temperament assessment by vet, no formal training required for handler or dog.
- Facility dog graduate from an Assistance Dog International (ADI) program (2 years), part of a breeding program, handler trained by an ADI organization, working dog \rightarrow suitable to be in courtroom or present *during* police interviews

NO facility dogs in this context available in the UK Poppy is PAT certified and further trained by handler

USING SPECIALLY TRAINED DOGS IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

No empirical evidence available to date

Limited evidence suggests:

- Support beneficial *throughout* the process, from initial interviews to post-court support
- Support beneficial with a variety of populations,
- including vulnerable adult victims (Ullman, 2007);
- children of different ages (Parish-Plass, 2008)
- The use of dogs provided comfort for victims (Holder, 2013), impacted on their perceived stress (Herzog, 2010) and increased relaxation and happiness (Dellinger, 2009; Holton, 2015)
- Animate touch relates to increased sense of wellbeing, decreased anxiety, lower heart rate, increased speech and memory functions, heightened mental clarity, Justice, 2007; Sandoval, 2010; Stephens, 2011)
- The Courthouse Dogs Foundation was founded in 2003 and is an advocate for using specially trained *facility* dogs in the Criminal Justice Setting, continuously collecting success stories

Routinely used in the USA, Canada, and more

INTRODUCING POPPY

Liz.spruin@canterbury.ac.uk; Katarina.mozova@canterbury.ac.uk References available upon request

Introduction of a *therapy dog* during the pre-trial process at Medway Magistrate Court for court users

AIM: Does the presence of a therapy dog have an impact on the wellbeing of CJS users from the court users' and staff perspectives?

METHOD: Predominantly qualitative semi-structured interviews surrounding the subjective experiences of CJS users through their interaction with the therapy dog and perceptions of staff.

PRELIMINARY RESPONSES FROM PRE-TRIAL PROCESS STUDY:

- presence
- can be beneficial

"it just brings a little calmness and just stroking her, it's lovely" #67

I really wanted to see her when I heard she's in. I came on the right day, I'm glad she's here, it's helping, I feel better #57

- process

Canterbury Christ Church Jniversity

THE CURRENT PROJECT

Service offered to defendants and their support (50%) actively engaged); victims/witnesses and their support (90% actively engaged)

104 qualitative interviews conducted with court users 63 women, 41 men (*M* = 39; *SD* = 14.6)

97 individuals felt *at least* some difference due to Poppy's

All individuals perceived introducing this service to courts

"I'm smiling now, it's so nice just stroking her, this made me really happy" #83

"My stomach was in knots for the last hour and since stroking her, the knots have gone!" #27

"she's happy, calm, content, so it translates to you" #43

NEXT STEPS

Case study approach following especially vulnerable individuals throughout the CJS process Introduction of a therapy dog to pre-police interviews

Acquiring a **facility dog** and creating an evidence-base for the use of facility dogs and therapy dogs throughout the CJS