# Proposed clinical management of pregnancies after combined screening for preeclampsia at 30-34 weeks' gestation

David Wright,[1] Ioanna Dragan,[2] Argyro Syngelaki,[2] Ranjit Akolekar,[2,3] Kypros H. Nicolaides.[2]

1. Institute of Health Research, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.
2. Harris Birthright Research Centre for Fetal Medicine, King's College, London, UK.
3. Department of Fetal Medicine, Medway Maritime Hospital, Gillingham, UK

**Running head:** Third trimester screening for preeclampsia

**Key words:** Placental growth factor, Soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1, Mean arterial pressure, Uterine artery pulsatility index, Preeclampsia, Pyramid of antenatal care

**Correspondence**
Professor KH Nicolaides,
Fetal Medicine Research Institute,
King's College Hospital,
16-20 Windsor Walk,
Denmark Hill, London SE58BB
Telephone: +442032998256
Fax: +442077339534
email: kypros@fetalmedicine.com

**Acknowledgement:** This study was supported by a grant from the Fetal Medicine Foundation (Charity No: 1037116). The reagents and equipment for the measurement of serum placental growth factor and soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 were provided by Roche Diagnostics Limited.

## ABSTRACT

Objective: To estimate the patient-specific risk of preeclampsia (PE) at 30-34 weeks' gestation by a combination of maternal characteristics and medical history with multiple of the median (MoM) values of mean arterial pressure, uterine artery pulsatility index, serum placental growth factor and serum soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 and stratify women into high-, intermediate- and low-risk management groups.

Methods: This was a prospective observational study in women attending for a third-trimester ultrasound scan at 30-34 weeks as part of routine pregnancy care. Patient-specific risks of delivery with PE at <4 weeks from assessment and at <40 weeks' gestation were calculated using the competing risks model to combine the *prior* risk from maternal characteristics and medical history with MoM values of MAP, UTPI, PLGF and sFLT-1. On the basis of these risks the population was stratified into high-, intermediate- and low-risk groups. Different risk cut-offs were used to vary the proportion of the population stratified into each risk category and the performance of screening for delivery with PE at <4 weeks and delivery with PE from four weeks after assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation (PE 4w-40GW) was estimated.

Results: The study population of 8,128 singleton pregnancies included 234 (2.9%) that subsequently developed PE. Using a risk cut-off for PE at <4 weeks of 1 in 50 and a risk cut-off of 1 in 150 for PE at <40 weeks' gestation the proportion of the population stratified into high-, intermediate- and low-risk was about 3%, 26% and 71%, respectively. The high-risk group contained 90% of pregnancies with PE at <4 weeks and 40% of those with PE at 4w-40GW. The intermediate-risk group contained a further 49% of women with PE at 4w-40GW. In the low-risk group, none of the women developed PE at <4 weeks and only 0.3% developed PE at 4w-40GW.

Conclusion: The study presents risk stratification of PE by the combined test at 30-34 weeks aiming to identify a high-risk group in need of intensive monitoring from the time of the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation and an intermediate-risk group, in need of monitoring starting from four weeks after the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation. All pregnancies would need to be reassessed at 40 weeks' gestation.

## Introduction

The objectives of screening for preeclampsia (PE) are firstly, to reduce the prevalence of the disease through pharmacological intervention in the high-risk group identified in the first-trimester of pregnancy [1,2] and secondly, to minimize adverse perinatal events for those that develop PE by determining the appropriate time and place for delivery [3]. The second objective can be potentially achieved through risk stratification in the second and / or third-trimester of pregnancy.

A study in 8,128 pregnancies at 30-34 weeks' gestation reported that screening by a combination of maternal factors with multiples of the median (MoM) values of mean arterial pressure (MAP), uterine artery pulsatility index (UTPI), serum placental growth factor (PLGF) and serum soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFLT-1) predicted 98% of pregnancies that developed PE and delivered at <37 weeks' gestation and 49% of those with PE at $\geq$37 weeks, at 5% false positive rate [4].

The objective of this study is to estimate the patient-specific risk of PE at 30-34 weeks' gestation by a combination of maternal characteristics and medical history with MAP, UTPI, PLGF and sFLT-1 and stratify women into high-, intermediate- and low-risk management groups.

## Methods

The data for this study were derived from prospective screening for adverse obstetric outcomes in women attending for a third-trimester routine hospital visit at King's College Hospital, London or Medway Maritime Hospital, Gillingham, UK between March 2012 and January 2014. In this visit at $30^{+0}$-$34^{+6}$ weeks' gestation we first, recorded maternal demographic characteristics and medical history, second, carried out an ultrasound examination for fetal anatomy and growth, third, measured the left and right UTPI by transabdominal color Doppler ultrasound and calculated the mean value of the two arteries [5], fourth, measured the MAP by validated automated devices and a standardized protocol [6] and fifth, measured serum concentration of PLGF and sFLT-1 by an automated biochemical analyzer within 10 minutes of blood sampling (Cobas e411 system, Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany). Gestational age was determined by the measurement of fetal crown-rump length at 11-13 weeks or the fetal head circumference at 19-24 weeks [7,8].

The women gave written informed consent to participate in the study, which was approved by the NHS Research Ethics Committee. The inclusion criteria for this study were singleton pregnancies delivering a non-malformed live birth or stillbirth at $\geq$30 weeks' gestation. We excluded pregnancies with aneuploidies and major fetal abnormalities. The study population is the same as in our previous report.[4]

Data on pregnancy outcome were collected from the hospital maternity records or the general medical practitioners of the women. The obstetric records of all women with pre-existing or pregnancy associated hypertension were examined to determine if the condition was PE, as defined by the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy [9].

In order to focus clinical resources effectively, we investigated a policy whereby

pregnancies assessed for PE at 30-34 weeks are stratified into three groups (Figure 1). A group at high-risk for delivery with PE within 4 weeks of assessment (PE <4 weeks), would require intensive monitoring from the time of the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation; this group should be ideally small and contain a large proportion of pregnancies with PE at <4 weeks. Conversely, the low-risk group, that would be reassessed only at 40 weeks' gestation, should be large and contain very few pregnancies that develop PE at <40 weeks' gestation. The intermediate-risk group, would ideally contain very few pregnancies with PE at <4 weeks and a large proportion of pregnancies that deliver with PE from four weeks after assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation (PE 4w-40GW); this group would require reassessment after four weeks or intensive monitoring starting from four weeks after the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation.

Statistical analysis

Patient-specific risks of delivery with PE at <4 weeks from assessment and at <40 weeks' gestation were calculated using the competing risks model to combine the *prior* risk for PE from maternal characteristics and medical history with MoM values of MAP, UTPI, PLGF and sFLT-1.[4,10-15] Pregnancies were allocated to the high-risk group if their risk for PE at <4 weeks was above a specific high-risk threshold and they were allocated to the low-risk group if their risk for PE at <40 weeks' gestation was below a specified low-risk threshold. Otherwise, they were allocated to the intermediate risk group. Performance was assessed in terms of the distribution of pregnancy outcomes by risk group.

The statistical software package R was used for data analyses.[16]

## Results

The study population of 8,128 singleton pregnancies included 234 (2.9%) that subsequently developed PE. Maternal and pregnancy characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. The allocation of pregnancies to risk group by pregnancy outcome are given in Table 2.

Delivery with PE at <4 weeks

In the study population there were 31 pregnancies that developed PE and were delivered within four weeks from assessment. At risk cut-off of 1 in 3 for PE at <4 weeks from assessment, 77.4% of pregnancies with PE at <4 weeks were allocated to the high-risk group which comprised of 0.9% of all pregnancies. The respective values for risk cut-off of 1 in 10 were 83.9 and 1.6%, for cut-off of 1 in 50 they were 90.3 and 3.1%, for cut-off of 1 in 100 they were 90.3 and 3.9% and for cut-off of 1 in 150 they were 93.5 and 4.5%.

Consequently, the proportion of the population that would require intensive monitoring from the time of the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation would increase from 0.9% if the risk cut-off was 1 in 3 to 4.5% if the cut-off was 1 in 150 and the proportion of pregnancies with PE at <4 weeks in the high-risk group would increase from 77.5% to 93.5%

Delivery with PE at 4w-40GW

In the study population there were 141 pregnancies that delivered with PE at 4w-40GW. The allocation of these cases into the high-, intermediate- and low-risk groups is shown in Table 2.

For example, the high-risk group defined by a risk cut-off of 1 in 50 for PE at <4 weeks constituted 3.1% of the population and contained 40.47% (57/141) of pregnancies with PE at 4w-40GW. Using this risk cut-off of 1 in 50 for PE at <4 weeks and a risk cut-off of 1 in 150 for PE at <40 weeks' gestation, 26.4% of pregnancies were allocated to the intermediate-risk group which contained 48.9% (69/141) of pregnancies with PE at 4w-40GW. Consequently, for these particular risk cut-offs, 29.5% of pregnancies were allocated to the high- or intermediate-risk group and the combination of these groups contained a total of 89.4% (126/141) of pregnancies with PE at 4w-40GW.

Delivery with PE at >40GW

In the study population there were 62 pregnancies that delivered with PE at >40GW. The allocation of these cases into the high-, intermediate- and low-risk groups is shown in Table 2. For example, the high-risk group defined by a risk cut-off of 1 in 50 for PE at <4 weeks constituted 3.1% of the population and contained 11.3% (7/62) of pregnancies with PE at >40GW. Using this risk cut-off of 1 in 50 for PE at <4 weeks and a risk cut-off of 1 in 150 for PE at <40 weeks' gestation, 26.4% of pregnancies were allocated to the intermediate-risk group which contained 56.5% (35/62) of pregnancies with PE at >40GW. Consequently, for these particular risk cut-offs, 29.5% of pregnancies were allocated to the high- or intermediate-risk group and the combination of these groups contained a total of 67.7% (42/62) of pregnancies with PE at >40GW; the remaining 70.5% of pregnancies were allocated to the low-risk group which contained 32.3% of pregnancies with PE at >40GW.

The performance of screening for delivery with PE at >40GW is poorer than that of screening for delivery with PE at <4 weeks or at 4w-40GW (Figure 2). This is the consequence of lower deviation from normal for each biomarker with increasing interval between assessment and delivery with PE.[4]

Composition of the high-, intermediate- and low-risk groups

The proportion of the population stratified into high- intermediate- and low-risk groups for risk cut-offs of 1 in 3, 1 in 10, 1 in 50, 1 in 100 and 1 in 150 for PE at <4 weeks from assessment and cut-off of 1 in 150 for PE at <40 weeks' gestation and the proportion of each strata developing PE with delivery at <4 weeks, at 4w-40GW and at >40GW is shown in Table 3.

In the low-risk group, which accounted for 70.5% of the population, there were no cases of PE at <4 weeks and only 0.3% developed PE at 4w-40GW; therefore, the negative predictive value for PE at <4 weeks was 100% (5727/5727) and for PE at 4w-40GW was 99.7% (5712/5727).

The proportion of the population classified as high-risk varied according to the risk cut-off for PE at <4 weeks from 0.9% for cut-off of 1 in 3 to 4.5% for cut-off of 1 in 150 and the positive predictive value for PE at <4 weeks ranged from 31.6% (24/76) to 7.9% (29/369).

The proportion of the population classified as intermediate-risk varied according to both the risk cut-off for PE at <4 weeks and the cut-off for PE at <40 weeks' gestation. For example, at fixed risk cut-off of 1 in 150 for PE at <40 weeks' gestation, the proportion of the population classified as intermediate-risk varied according to the risk cut-off for PE at <4 weeks from 28.6% for risk cut-off of 1 in 3 to 25.0% for risk cut-off of 1 in 150; the positive predictive value for PE at 4w-40GW ranged from 4.3% (101/2325) to 2.9% (58/2032) and the negative predictive value for PE at <4 weeks ranged from 99.7% (2318/2325) to 99.9% (2030/2032).

## Discussion

### Main findings

The study has demonstrated an approach for stratification of the population into three management groups based on the estimated risk for PE at <4 weeks from assessment and at <40 weeks' gestation by a combination of maternal factors, MAP, UTPI, PLGF and sFLT-1 at 30-34 weeks' gestation. A high-risk group would require intensive monitoring from the time of the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation, an intermediate-risk group would require intensive monitoring starting from four weeks after the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation and a low-risk group would be reassessed only at 40 weeks' gestation. The performance of screening at 30-34 weeks is poor for prediction of PE at >40 weeks' gestation and it would therefore be necessary to reassess all remaining pregnancies at 40 weeks to decide the best time and method of delivery.

The proportion of the population stratified into high- intermediate- and low-risk groups and the proportion of each strata developing PE with delivery at <4 weeks, at 4w-40GW and at >40GW would inevitably depend on the risk cut-offs used for defining the groups. At risk cut-offs of 1 in 50 for PE at <4 weeks and 1 in 150 for PE at <40 weeks' gestation, about 3% and 26% of the population would be allocated to the high- and intermediate-risk groups, respectively; the high-risk group would contain 90% of pregnancies with PE at <4 weeks and the combined high- and intermediate-risk groups would contain 89% of PE at 4w-40GW and 68% of PE at >40GW. At these risk cut-offs the low-risk group accounted for 71% of the population and in this group there were no cases of PE at <4 weeks and only 0.3% developed PE at 4w-40GW, which corresponds to a negative predictive value of 99.7%.

### Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study are first, examination of a large population of pregnant women attending for routine care in a gestational age range which is widely used for assessment of fetal growth and wellbeing, second, recording of data on maternal characteristics and medical history to define the *prior* risk, third, use of a specific methodology and appropriately trained doctors to measure MAP and UTPI, fourth, use of automated machines to provide accurate measurement within 40 minutes of sampling of maternal serum concentration of PLGF and sFLT-1, fifth, expression of the values of the biomarkers as MoMs after adjustment for factors that affect the measurements, and sixth, use of Bayes theorem to combine the *prior* risk from maternal factors with biomarkers to estimate patient-specific risks and stratify women into high-, intermediate- and low-risk management groups.

A limitation of the study is that fitting of the risk model[4] and development and assessment of risk stratification were on the same data, which induces a degree of optimistic bias into the results. However, our risk model[4] is a parsimonious one with just two parameters for the mean log MoM value for each of the markers and a pooled estimate of an assumed common covariance matrix and this limits the degree of bias induced. Nevertheless, prospective evaluation using an independent test data set is needed to validate the results.

Comparison with previous studies

Previous studies examining biomarkers in the late second- or early third-trimesters of pregnancy have essentially focused on the investigation of women presenting to specialist clinics with signs of hypertensive disorders with the aim of identifying the subgroup that will develop severe disease.[17-24] In a previous study at 30-34 weeks' gestation we presented the results on the performance of screening in a routine population by maternal factors and MAP, UTPI, PLGF and sFLT-1. [4] This study investigated a policy whereby pregnancies assessed for PE at 30-34 weeks are stratified into risk groups for subsequent pregnancy management.

Clinical implications of the study

In the traditional approach to prenatal care, screening and diagnosis of PE is based on the demonstration of elevated blood pressure and proteinuria during a routine clinical visit in the late second- or third-trimester of pregnancy. In a proposed new pyramid of pregnancy care, the timing and content of clinical visits should be defined by the patient-specific risk of developing PE.[25]

This study provides the framework for stratification of risk for PE based on screening at 30-34 weeks. The high-risk group can be monitored by measurement of blood pressure and urinalysis at least on a weekly basis and the women can be advised to report any of the symptoms associated with severe PE, such as visual disturbance and epigastric pain. In the intermediate-risk group, intensive monitoring would begin four weeks after the initial assessment but these women would also be advised to report any symptoms associated with severe PE. The low-risk group can be reassured that development of PE at <40 weeks' gestation is very unlikely. In all pregnancies, the routine ultrasound examination carried out at 30-34 weeks would have already identified any possible impairment of fetal growth and in such case the decision on timing of delivery would be based on fetal heart rate patterns and / or Doppler findings in the umbilical artery, middle cerebral artery and ductus venosus.

The cut-offs in risks to define the proportion of the population stratified into each of the three management groups and the protocols for such management will inevitably vary according to local preferences and health economic considerations. Future studies will examine whether the implementation of such protocols could improve perinatal outcome.

## References


1. Roberge S, Nicolaides K, Demers S, Villa P, Bujold E. Prevention of perinatal death and adverse perinatal outcome using low-dose aspirin: a meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2013; **41**: 491-499.

2. Costantine MM, Cleary K, Hebert MF, Ahmed MS, Brown LM, Ren Z, Easterling TR, Haas DM, Haneline LS, Caritis SN, Venkataramanan R, West H, D'Alton M, Hankins G. Safety and pharmacokinetics of pravastatin used for the prevention of preeclampsia in high-risk pregnant women: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2016; **214**: 720.e1-720.e17.

3. Koopmans CM, Bijlenga D, Groen H, Vijgen SM, Aarnoudse JG, Bekedam DJ, van den Berg PP, de Boer K, Burggraaff JM,Bloemenkamp KW, Drogtrop AP, Franx A, de Groot CJ, Huisjes AJ, Kwee A, van Loon AJ, Lub A, Papatsonis DN, van der Post JA, Roumen FJ, Scheepers HC, Willekes C, Mol BW, van Pampus MG; HYPITAT study group: Induction of labour versus expectant monitoring for gestational hypertension or mild pre-eclampsia after 36 weeks' gestation (HYPITAT): a multicentre, open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009; **374**: 979-988.

4. Tsiakkas A, Saiid Y, Wright A, Wright D, Nicolaides KH. Competing risks model in screening for preeclampsia by maternal factors and biomarkers at 30–34 weeks' gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2016; **215**: 87.e1-87.e17.

5. Albaiges G, Missfelder-Lobos H, Lees C, Parra M, Nicolaides KH. One-stage screening for pregnancy complications by color Doppler assessment of the uterine arteries at 23 weeks' gestation. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000; **96**: 559-564.

6. Poon LC, Zymeri NA, Zamprakou A, Syngelaki A, Nicolaides KH. Protocol for measurement of mean arterial pressure at 11-13 weeks' gestation. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2012; **31**: 42-48.

7. Robinson HP, Fleming JE. A critical evaluation of sonar crown rump length measurements. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1975; **82**: 702-710.

8. Snijders RJ, Nicolaides KH. Fetal biometry at 14-40 weeks' gestation. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1994; **4**: 34-48.

9. Brown MA, Lindheimer MD, de Swiet M, Van Assche A, Moutquin JM. The classification and diagnosis of the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: Statement from the international society for the study of hypertension in pregnancy (ISSHP). *Hypertens Pregnancy* 2001; **20**: IX-XIV.

10. Wright D, Syngelaki A, Akolekar R, Poon LC, Nicolaides KH. Competing risks model in screening for preeclampsia by maternal characteristics and medical history. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2015; **213**: 62.e1-10.

11. Wright D, Akolekar R, Syngelaki A, Poon LC, Nicolaides KH. A competing risks model in early screening for preeclampsia. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2012; **32**: 171-178.

12. Wright A, Wright D, Ispas A, Poon LC, Nicolaides KH. Mean arterial pressure in the three trimesters of pregnancy: effects of maternal characteristics and medical history. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2015; **45**: 698-706.

13. Tayyar A, Guerra L, Wright A, Wright D, Nicolaides KH. Uterine artery pulsatility index in the three trimesters of pregnancy: effects of maternal characteristics and medical history. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2015; **45**: 689-697.

14. Tsiakkas A, Duvdevani N, Wright A, Wright D, Nicolaides KH. Serum placental growth factor in the three trimesters of pregnancy: effects of maternal characteristics and medical history. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2015; **45**: 591-598.

15. Tsiakkas A, Duvdevani N, Wright A, Wright D, Nicolaides KH. Serum soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 in the three trimesters of pregnancy: effects of maternal characteristics and medical history. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2015; **45**: 584-590.

16. R Development Core Team. R. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2011;ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org/

17. Li H, Gudnason H, Olofsson P, Dubiel M, Gudmundsson S. Increased uterine artery vascular impedance is related to adverse outcome of pregnancy but is present in only one third of late third-trimester pre-eclamptic women. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; **25**: 459-463.

18. Ghi T, Youssef A, Piva M, Contro E, Segata M, Guasina F, Gabrielli S, Rizzo N, Pelusi G, Pilu G. The prognostic role of uterine artery Doppler studies in patients with late-onset preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2009; **201**: 36.e1–5.

19. Chaiworapongsa T, Romero R, Savasan ZA, Kusanovic JP, Ogge G, Soto E, Dong Z, Tarca A, Gaurav B, Hassan SS: Maternal plasma concentrations of angiogenic/anti-angiogenic factors are of prognostic value in patients presenting to the obstetrical triage area with the suspicion of preeclampsia. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2011; **24**: 1187-1207.

20. Verlohren S, Herraiz I, Lapaire O, Schlembach D, Moertl M, Zeisler H, Calda P, Holzgreve W, Galindo A, Engels T, Denk B, Stepan H: The sFlt-1/PlGF ratio in different types of hypertensive pregnancy disorders and its prognostic potential in preeclamptic patients. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2012; **206**: 58.e1-8.

21. Rana S, Powe CE, Salahuddin S, Verlohren S, Perschel FH, Levine RJ, Lim KH, Wenger JB, Thadhani R, Karumanchi SA. Angiogenic factors and the risk of adverse outcomes in women with suspected preeclampsia. *Circulation* 2012; **125**: 911-919.

22. Sibiude J, Guibourdenche J, Dionne MD, Le Ray C, Anselem O, Serreau R, Goffinet F, Tsatsaris V. Placental growth factor for the prediction of adverse outcomes in patients with suspected preeclampsia or intrauterine growth restriction.

*PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e50208.

23. Ohkuchi A, Hirashima C, Takahashi K, Suzuki H, Matsubara S, Suzuki M. Onset threshold of the plasma levels of soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1/placental growth factor ratio for predicting the imminent onset of preeclampsia within 4 weeks after blood sampling at 19-31 weeks of gestation. *Hypertens Res* 2013; **36**: 1073-1080.

24. Zeisler H, Llurba E, Chantraine F, Vatish M, Staff AC, Sennström M, Olovsson M, Brennecke SP, Stepan H, Allegranza D, Dilba P, Schoedl M, Hund M, Verlohren S. Predictive value of the sFlt-1:PlGF ratio in women with suspected preeclampsia. *N Engl J Med* 2016; **374**: 13-22.

25. Nicolaides KH. Turning the pyramid of prenatal care. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2011; **29**: 183-196.

## Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Stratification of pregnancies into high-, intermediate- and low-risk management groups based on the estimated risk for preeclampsia at 30-34 weeks' gestation. The high-risk group would require intensive monitoring from the time of the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation, the intermediate-risk group would require intensive monitoring starting from four weeks after the initial assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation and the low-risk group would be reassessed only at 40 weeks' gestation.

**Figure 2.** Receiver operating characteristic curves for prediction of delivery with preeclampsia within four weeks of assessment (left) and delivery with preeclampsia from four weeks after assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation (right red line) and delivery with preeclampsia after 40 weeks (right blue) by combined screening at 30-34 weeks' gestation.

**Table 1.** Maternal and pregnancy characteristics in pregnancies that developed preeclampsia (PE) within four weeks from assessment, at four weeks from assessment and up to 40 weeks' gestation and at >40 weeks' gestation, compared with pregnancies that remained normotensive.

| Maternal characteristics | Preeclampsia | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | None (n=7,894) | <4 weeks (n=31) | 4 w-40GW (n=141) | >40GW (n=62) |
| Age, median (IQR) | 31.0 (26.7-34.7) | 31.0 (26.3-34.2) | 31.7 (27.5-35.2) | 31.0 (24.8-34.8) |
| Weight, median (IQR) | 67.2 (59.4-78.0) | 70.4 (60.0-86.0) | 76.0 (64.5-89.9) | 69.0 (60.2-84.9) |
| Height, median (IQR) | 1.65 (1.60-1.69) | 1.60 (1.58-1.65) | 1.65 (1.60-1.69) | 1.64 (1.60-1.69) |
| Racial origin | | | | |
| Caucasian, n (%) | 5,923 (75.0) | 20 (64.5) | 80 (56.7) | 42 (67.7) |
| Afro-Caribbean, n (%) | 1,353 (17.1) | 9 (29.0) | 50 (35.5) | 17 (27.4) |
| South Asian, n (%) | 297 (3.8) | 2 (6.5) | 6 (4.3) | 2 (3.2) |
| East Asian, n (%) | 145 (1.8) | 0 | 3 (2.1) | 1 (1.6) |
| Mixed, n (%) | 176 (2.2) | 0 | 2 (1.4) | 0 |
| Method of conception | | | | |
| Spontaneous, n (%) | 7,631 (96.7) | 29 (93.5) | 136 (96.5) | 60 (96.8) |
| Assisted conception, n (%) | 263 (3.3) | 2 (6.5) | 5 (3.5) | 2 (3.2) |
| Cigarette smoking, n (%) | 798 (10.1) | 1 (3.2) | 8 (5.7) | 4 (6.5) |
| Chronic hypertension, n (%) | 90 (1.1) | 6 (19.4) | 23 (16.3) | 3 (4.8) |
| SLE / APS, n (%) | 15 (0.2) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 77 (1.0) | 0 | 3 (2.1) | 0 |
| Parity | | | | |
| Nulliparous, n (%) | 3,871 (49.0) | 20 (64.5) | 68 (48.2) | 48 (77.4) |
| Parous no previous PE, n (%) | 3,752 (47.5) | 8 (25.8) | 42 (29.8) | 13 (21.0) |
| Parous previous PE, n (%) | 271 (3.4) | 3 (9.7) | 31 (22.0) | 1 (1.6) |
| Family history of PE, n (%) | 235 (3.0) | 1 (3.2) | 6 (4.3) | 2 (3.2) |
| Inter-pregnancy interval, median (IQR)* | 3.1 (2.1-5.1) | 7.1 (3.5-8.2) | 3.6 (2.4-5.3) | 6.6 (2.7-9.0) |

* Inter-pregnancy interval reported for parous women

| Risk cut off for PE | Preeclampsia with delivery at: | | | All pregnancies (n=8128) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | <4 w (n=31) | 4 w to 40 GW (n=141) | >40 GW (n=62) | |
| 1 in 3 for PE <4 w | 24 (**77.4;** 58.9, 90.4) | 25 (**17.7;** 11.8, 25.1) | 0 (**0.0**; 0.0, 5.8) | 76 (**0.9**; 0.7, 1.2) |
| 1 in 50 for PE <40 GW | 6 (**19.4;** 7.5, 37.5) | 81 (**57.4;** 48.8, 65.7) | 26 (**41.9**; 29.5, 55.2) | 1141 (**14.0**; 13.3, 14.8) |
| 1 in 100 for PE <40 GW | 7 (**22.6;** 9.6, 41.1) | 95 (**67.4;** 59.0, 75.0) | 37 (**59.7**; 46.4, 71.9) | 1828 (**22.5**; 21.6, 23.4) |
| 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | 7 (**22.6;** 9.6, 41.1) | 101 (**71.6;** 63.4, 78.9) | 42 (**67.7**; 54.7, 79.1) | 2325 (**28.6**; 27.6, 29.6) |
| 1 in 200 for PE <40 GW | 7 (**22.6;** 9.6, 41.1) | 104 (**73.8**; 65.7, 80.8) | 44 (**71.0**; 58.1, 81.8) | 2774 (**34.1**; 33.1, 35.2) |
| 1 in 10 for PE <4 w | 26 (**83.9;** 66.3, 94.5) | 37 (**26.2**; 19.2, 34.3) | 1 (**1.6**; 0.0, 8.7) | 134 (**1.6**; 1.4, 1.9) |
| 1 in 50 for PE <40 GW | 4 (**12.9;** 3.6, 29.8) | 69 (**48.9**; 40.4, 57.5) | 25 (**40.3**; 28.1, 53.6) | 1083 (**13.3**; 12.6, 14.1) |
| 1 in 100 for PE <40 GW | 5 (**16.1;** 5.5, 33.7) | 83 (**58.9**; 50.3, 67.1) | 36 (**58.1**; 44.8, 70.5) | 1770 (**21.8**; 20.9, 22.7) |
| 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | 5 (**16.1;** 5.5, 33.7) | 89 (**63.1**; 54.6, 71.1) | 41 (**66.1**; 53.0, 77.7) | 2267 (**27.9**; 26.9, 28.9) |
| 1 in 200 for PE <40 GW | 5 (**16.1;** 5.5, 33.7) | 92 (**65.2**; 56.8, 73.1) | 43 (**69.4**; 56.3, 80.4) | 2716 (**33.4**: 32.4, 34.5) |
| 1 in 50 for PE <4 w | 28 (**90.3;** 74.2, 98.0) | 57 (**40.4**; 32.3, 49.0) | 7 (**11.3**; 4.7, 21.9) | 254 (**3.1**; 2.8, 3.5) |
| 1 in 50 for PE <40 GW | 2 **(6.5;** 0.8, 21.4) | 49 (**34.8**; 26.9, 43.2) | 19 (**30.6**; 19.6, 43.7) | 963 (**11.8**; 11.2, 12.6) |
| 1 in 100 for PE <40 GW | 3 (**9.7;** 2.0, 25.8) | 63 (**44.7**; 36.3, 53.3) | 30 (**48.4**; 35.5, 61.4) | 1650 (**20.3**; 19.4, 21.2) |
| 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | 3 (**9.7;** 2.0, 25.8) | 69 (**48.9**; 40.4, 57.5) | 35 (**56.5**; 43.3, 69.0) | 2147 (**26.4**; 25.5, 27.4) |
| 1 in 200 for PE <40 GW | 3 (**9.7;** 2.0, 25.8) | 72 (**51.1**; 42.5, 59.6) | 37 (**59.7**; 46.4, 71.9) | 2596 (**31.9**; 30.9, 33.0) |
| 1 in 100 for PE <4 w | 28 (**90.3;** 74.2, 98.0) | 64 (**45.4**; 37.0, 54.0) | 8 (**12.9**; 5.7, 23.9) | 314 (**3.9**; 3.5, 4.3) |
| 1 in 50 for PE <40 GW | 2 (**6.5;** 0.8, 21.4) | 42 (**29.8**; 22.4, 38.1) | 18 (**29.0**; 18.2, 41.9) | 903 (**11.1**; 10.4, 11.8) |
| 1 in 100 for PE <40 GW | 3 (**9.7;** 2.0, 25.8) | 56 (**39.7**; 31.6, 48.3) | 29 (**46.8**; 34.0, 59.9) | 1590 (**19.6**; 18.7, 20.4) |
| 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | 3 (**9.7;** 2.0, 25.8) | 62 (**44.0**; 35.6, 52.6) | 34 (**54.8**; 41.7, 67.5) | 2087 (**25.7**; 24.7, 26.6) |
| 1 in 200 for PE <40 GW | 3 (**9.7;** 2.0, 25.8) | 65 (**46.1**; 37.7, 54.7) | 36 (**58.1**; 44.8, 70.5) | 2536 (**31.2**; 30.2, 32.2) |
| 1 in 150 for PE <4 w | 29 (**93.5;** 78.6, 99.2) | 68 (**48.2**; 39.7, 56.8) | 11 (**17.7**; 9.2, 29.5) | 369 (**4.5**; 4.1, 5.0) |
| 1 in 50 for PE <40 GW | 1 (**3.2;** 0.1, 16.7) | 38 (**27.0**; 19.8, 35.1) | 15 (**24.2**; 14.2, 36.7) | 848 (**10.4**; 9.8, 11.1) |
| 1 in 100 for PE <40 GW | 2 (**6.5;** 0.8, 21.4) | 52 (**36.9**; 28.9, 45.4) | 26 (**41.9**; 29.5, 55.2) | 1535 (**18.9**; 18, 19.8) |
| 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | 2 (**6.5;** 0.8, 21.4) | 58 (**41.1**; 32.9, 49.7) | 31 (**50.0**; 37.0, 63.0) | 2032 (**25.0**; 24.1, 26) |
| 1 in 200 for PE <40 GW | 2 (**6.5**; 0.8, 21.4) | 61 (**43.3**; 35.0, 51.9) | 33 (**53.2**; 40.1, 66.0) | 2481 (**30.5**; 29.5, 31.5) |

**Table 2:** Stratification of risk for preeclampsia. The numbers in the grey bands represent the high-risk group and those in the white bands the intermediate-risk group. Numbers in parentheses are percentages with 95% confidence intervals.

**Table 3.** Proportion of the population stratified into high- intermediate- and low-risk groups by combined screening with risk cut-offs of 1 in 3, 1 in 10, 1 in 50, 1 in 100 and 1 in 150 for preeclampsia (PE) at <4 weeks from assessment and cut-off of 1 in 150 for PE at <37 weeks' gestation and the proportion of each strata developing PE with delivery at <4 weeks, at 4w-40GW and at >40GW.

| Risk cut off for PE | Strata | | Proportion of each strata developing PE with delivery at: | | | No preeclampsia (n = 7,894) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Type | Size (n=8128) | <4 w (n=31) | 4 w to 40 GW (n=141) | >40 GW (n=62) | |
| 1 in 3 for PE <4w and 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | High | 76 (**0.9**; 0.7, 1.2) | 24 (**31.6**; 21.4, 43.3) | 25 (**32.9**; 22.5, 44.6) | 0 (**0.0**; 0.0, 4.7) | 27 (**35.5**; 24.9, 47.3) |
| | Intermediate | 2325 (**28.6**; 27.6, 29.6) | 7 (**0.3**; 0.1, 0.6) | 101 (**4.3**; 3.6, 5.3) | 42 (**1.8**; 1.3, 2.4) | 2175 (**93.5**; 92.5, 94.5) |
| | Low | 5727 (**70.5;** 69.5, 71.5) | 0 (**0.0**; 0.0, 0.1) | 15 (**0.3**; 0.1, 0.4) | 20 (**0.3**; 0.2, 0.5) | 5692 (**99.4**; 99.2, 99.6) |
| 1 in 10 for PE <4w and 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | High | 134 (**1.6**;1.4, 1.9) | 26 (**19.4**; 13.1, 27.1) | 37 (**27.6**; 20.2, 36.0) | 1 (**0.7**; 0.0, 4.1) | 70 (**52.2**; 43.4, 60.9) |
| | Intermediate | 2267 (**27.9;** 26.9, 28.9) | 5 (**0.2**; 0.1, 0.5) | 89 (**3.9**; 3.2, 4.8) | 41 (**1.8**; 1.3, 2.4) | 2132 (**94.0**; 93.0, 95.0) |
| | Low | 5727 (**70.5**; 69.5, 71.5) | 0 (**0.0**; 0.0, 0.1) | 15 (**0.3**; 0.1, 0.4) | 20 (**0.3**; 0.2, 0.5) | 5692 (**99.4**; 99.2, 99.6) |
| 1 in 50 for PE <4w and 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | High | 254 (**3.1**; 2.8, 3.5) | 28 (**11.0**; 7.5, 15.5) | 57 (**22.4**; 17.5, 28.1) | 7 (**2.8**; 1.1, 5.6) | 162 (**63.8**; 57.5, 69.7) |
| | Intermediate | 2147 (**26.4**; 25.5, 27.4) | 3 (**0.1**; 0.0, 0.4) | 69 (**3.2**; 2.5, 4.0) | 35 (**1.6**; 1.1, 2.3) | 2040 (**95.0**; 94.0, 95.9) |
| | Low | 5727 (**70.5**; 69.5, 71.5) | 0 (**0.0**; 0.0, 0.1) | 15 (**0.3**; 0.1, 0.4) | 20 (**0.3**; 0.2, 0.5) | 5692 (**99.4**; 99.2, 99.6) |
| 1 in 100 for PE <4w and 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | High | 314 (**3.9**; 3.5, 4.3) | 28 (**8.9**; 6.0, 12.6) | 64 (**20.4**; 16.1, 25.3) | 8 (**2.5**; 1.1, 5.0) | 214 (**68.2**; 62.7, 73.3) |
| | Intermediate | 2087 (**25.7**; 24.7, 26.6) | 3 (**0.1**; 0.0, 0.4) | 62 (**3.0**; 2.3, 3.8) | 34 (**1.6**; 1.1, 2.3) | 1988 (**95.3**; 94.3, 96.1) |
| | Low | 5727 (**70.5**; 69.5, 71.5) | 0 (**0.0**; 0.0, 0.1) | 15 (**0.3**; 0.1, 0.4) | 20 (**0.3**; 0.2, 0.5) | 5692 (**99.4**; 99.2, 99.6) |
| 1 in 150 for PE <4 w and 1 in 150 for PE <40 GW | High | 369 (**4.5**; 4.1, 5.0) | 29 (**7.9**; 5.3, 11.1) | 68 (**18.4**; 14.6, 22.8) | 11 (**3.0**; 1.5, 5.3) | 261 (**70.7**; 65.8, 75.3) |
| | Intermediate | 2032 (**25.0**; 24.1, 26.0) | 2 (**0.1**; 0.0, 0.4) | 58 (**2.9**; 2.2, 3.7) | 31 (**1.5**; 1.0, 2.2) | 1941 (**95.5**; 94.5, 96.4) |
| | Low | 5727 (**70.5**; 69.5, 71.5) | 0 (**0.0**; 0.0, 0.1) | 15 (**0.3**; 0.1, 0.4) | 20 (**0.3**; 0.2, 0.5) | 5692 (**99.4**; 99.2, 99.6) |

.