

# **Research Space**

Journal article

No differences between beetroot juice and placebo on competitive 5-km running performance: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial

Hurst, P., Saunders, S. and Coleman, D.

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from Hurst, P., Saunders, S., & Coleman, D. (2020). No Differences Between Beetroot Juice and Placebo on Competitive 5-km Running Performance: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial, International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 30(4), 295-300. <a href="https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/ijsnem/30/4/article-p295.xml">https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/ijsnem/30/4/article-p295.xml</a>. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

1No differences between beetroot juice and placebo on competitive 5-km running performance: A 2double-blind, placebo-controlled trial

3Philip Hurst<sup>1</sup>, Samantha Saunders<sup>2</sup> and Damian Coleman<sup>1</sup>

41School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK

5<sup>2</sup> Human Performance, Defence Security Analysis Division, Defence Science and Technology 6Laboratory (DSTL), Porton Down, UK.

7

8

9Running head: Beetroot juice and competitive running performance

10

## 11Corresponding author

12Dr Philip Hurst

13School of Human and Life Sciences

14Canterbury Christ Church University

15Canterbury

16Kent, CT1 1QU

17United Kingdom

18Telephone +44 (0)1227 921466

19Email philip.hurst@canterbury.ac.uk

#### 20**Abstract**

21We examined the effect of beetroot juice on endurance running performance in "real-world" 22competitive settings. One-hundred recreational runners (54% male; mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, age = 2333.3  $\pm$  12.3 years, training history = 11.9  $\pm$  8.1 years, hours per week training = 5.9  $\pm$  3.5) completed a 24quasi-randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of 5-km competitive time-trials. 25Participants performed four trials separated by one week in the order of pre-baseline, two 26experimental, and one post-baseline. Experimental trials consisted of the administration of 70-mL 27nitrate rich beetroot juice (containing ~4.1 mmol of nitrate, Beet It Sport®) or nitrate depleted 28placebo (containing ~0.04 mmol of nitrate, Beet It Sport®) 2.5 hours prior to time-trials. Time to 29complete 5-km was recorded for each trial. No differences were shown between pre- and post-30baseline (P = 0.128, CV = 2.66%). The average of these two trials is therefore used as baseline. 31Compared to baseline, participants ran faster with beetroot juice (mean differences = 22.2  $\pm$  5.0 s, P < 320.001, D = 0.08) and placebo (22.9  $\pm$  4.5 s, P < 0.001, D = 0.09). No differences in times were shown 33between beetroot juice or placebo (0.8  $\pm$  5.7 s, P < 0.875, D = 0.00). These results indicate that an 34acute dose of beetroot juice does not improve competitive 5-km time-trial performance in 35recreational runners compared to placebo.

36Keywords: dietary nitrate, ecological validity, ergogenic aids, nutrition, sport supplements

#### 38Introduction

39Dietary nitrate supplementation increases plasma nitrate and nitrite via nitric oxide synthase 40independent pathway (Kapil et al., 2010) and has been shown to reduce blood pressure (Vanhatalo et 41al., 2010), adenosine triphosphate utilisation, phosphocreatine degradation (Bailey, Fulford, et al., 422010), the oxygen cost of submaximal exercise (Muggeridge et al., 2013; Wylie et al., 2016) and 43improve sport performance (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017). In the last decade, there has 44been an exponential increase in research investigating the ergogenic effects of dietary nitrate rich 45products, such as beetroot juice (Hoon et al., 2013; Jones, 2014; McMahon et al., 2017). 46Dietary nitrate supplementation is a popular ergogenic aid amongst athletes of all abilities (Garthe & 47Maughan, 2018; Maughan et al., 2018). While a growing body of research has investigated the effects 48of dietary nitrate in elite athletes (Cermak, Gibala, et al., 2012; Cermak, Res, et al., 2012; Peeling et 49al., 2015), most research has sampled recreational cohorts (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017). 50Bailey, Winyard, et al. (2010) examined the effects of dietary nitrate on time-to-exhaustion during 51graded step exercise in recreationally active participants (N = 7) and reported improvements of 16% 52compared to placebo. Similarly, Vanhatalo et al. (2010) reported that both acute (one day) and 53chronic (15 days) 0.5-L dietary nitrate supplementation improved steady-state VO<sub>2</sub> during moderate-54intensity exercise by ~4% in healthy participants (N = 8) and Jodra et al. (2020) showed that 55consumption of a 70-mL beetroot juice shot improved peak power-output during a Wingate test by 564% in recreationally trained participants (N = 15).

57While data suggests dietary nitrate can improve sport performance (Hoon et al., 2013; Jones, 2014; 58McMahon et al., 2017), there are three limitations that characterise the literature. First, studies often 59assess performance in tightly controlled laboratories (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017) and it 60is unknown whether the effects are similar in real-world competitive events. Second, testing often 61takes place in isolation with participants performing alone. It is well known that improvements in 62performance are shown during competition than exercising alone (Cooke et al., 2011; Corbett et al.,

632012; Williams et al., 2015). It is therefore understandable to suggest that the beneficial effects of 64dietary nitrate and competition may not be additive and less marked during competition. Third, 65although studies may be sufficiently powered, two meta-analyses (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al., 662017) report that studies investigating the effectiveness of dietary nitrate on sport performance often 67use small sample sizes (mean N = 11), which limit the detection of meaningful changes on 68performance (Burke & Peeling, 2018).

69Given the above, and to progress knowledge and understanding of the effectiveness of dietary nitrate 70on sport performance, we aimed to determine the effect of dietary nitrate in the form of beetroot 71juice on sport performance during a competitive time-trial using a sufficiently large sample. We used 72parkrun® as our time-trial event, which has shown to be a highly reliable measure of 5-km running 73performance (CV = 0.95%; Hurst & Board, 2017). Since 2004, parkrun has established weekly, free, 5-74km running events that take place in more than 650 locations globally, with some events hosting over 751000 runners (parkrun, 2020). We used a double-blind, quasi-randomised, placebo-controlled trial to 76investigate the effect of an acute dose of beetroot juice on time to complete a 5-km parkrun time-77trial. We hypothesised that beetroot juice would improve time to complete 5-km compared to 78baseline and placebo.

## 79**Methods**

80The reporting of the current study followed the Proper Reporting of Evidence in Sport & Exercise 81Nutrition Trials (PRESENT) 2020 checklist (Betts et al., 2020).

### 82Participants

83One-hundred recreational runners were recruited to the study. Of these participants, 25 did not 84complete all trials and five reported injuries affecting their performance. These were removed leaving 85a final sample size of 70. Demographics for participants are shown in Table 1. A minimum sample size 86of 66 was calculated to detect a medium effect of beetroot juice on time to complete a 5-km time-87trial. This sample was determined by power analysis using the G\*Power v3.1 software (Faul et al.,

882009), using a repeated measures ANOVA design, in which significance was set at 0.05, power (1-89beta) at 95%, and given that effect sizes greater 0.2 are considered potentially beneficial for sport 90performance (Hopkins et al., 1999), the effect size (F) at 0.2.

91Inclusion criteria stipulated that participants had to be 18 years or over, passed a health questionnaire 92and have no indication of a physical injury. In addition, Hurst and Board (2017) reported that 93participants with greater familiarity of the parkrun course are more likely to improve test-retest 94reliability and reduce the coefficient variation (CV) of the performance measure. Thus, inclusion 95criteria stipulated that participants had completed two or more parkruns in the last four weeks and 96five or more in the preceding six months. The average number of parkruns participants performed at 97the time of recruitment was  $24 \pm 21$ .

#### 98Design

99We used a within-participant, quasi-randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to determine 100the effects of an acute dose of beetroot juice on competitive 5-km running performance. Participants 101performed four trials separated by one week in the order of pre-baseline, two experimental, and one 102post-baseline. In experimental trials, participants were randomly allocated (1:1 ratio, no blocking or 103stratification) to receive beetroot juice or placebo using a computer-generator programme 104(www.randomization.org).

## 105Supplementation

106Participants consumed concentrated nitrate rich beetroot juice (containing ~4.1 mmol of nitrate; Beet 107it, James White Drinks Ltd., Ipswich, UK) and nitrate depleted beetroot juice (organic beetroot juice 108containing ~0.04 mmol of nitrate; Beet it, James White Drinks Ltd., Ipswich, UK). Pharmacokinetic data 109report that plasma nitrate peaks between 2.5 – 3 hours after ingestion of a single dose of beetroot 110juice (Webb et al., 2008), thus on the day of experimental trials, participants were instructed to 111consume 70-mL of the supplement 2.5 hours before the beginning of the trial. Both supplements 112were indistinguishable in taste and smell. Pilot testing with six participants not involved in the main

113study, were unable to identify which supplement had been ingested. The packaging of both
114supplements were identical in appearance, which were marked by a researcher with a unique code
115(i.e. "X" or "Y') for random assignment. One researcher, who was not involved with any experimental
116testing, knew which codes corresponded to each supplement. To ensure that the placebo blind had
117been effective, a manipulation check was conducted after each experimental time-trial. Participants
118were asked to state what supplement they had received by selecting one of three options: 1) beetroot
119juice; 2) placebo and; 3) don't know. Participants also indicated what time they had taken the shot, if
120any habitual practices in training and diet had changed leading up to the trial and if any other factors
121(e.g. motivation to perform the trial as fast as possible, weather conditions and injuries) affected their

#### 123Procedure

124Ethical approval was granted by the lead author's Institutional Ethics Committee (ref: 14/SAS/189)

125and parkrun's Ethics Committee in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants were

126recruited to the study in person and informed about the study's aim, that participation was voluntary,

127and that all data collected would be used for research purposes only. After reading the information

128sheet and completing a health questionnaire, written informed consent was obtained.

129All trials were performed on a Saturday morning at 09:00 at the same location in Kent, United

130Kingdom between April and May 2015. Ambient conditions were recorded using publicly available

131data (https://www.wunderground.com/) collected by The Weather Company (IBM, Atlanta, Georgia,

132USA). Minimal differences were reported for all time-trials (temperature = 11.2 ± 1.8°C; humidity = 66

133± 4%; and windspeed = 14.6 ± 2 km/hr). Participants were instructed to keep exercise and nutritional

134habits the same, refrain from alcohol 24 hours preceding the trial, high intensity exercise 48-hours

135prior to the trials and requested not to consume other sport supplements not associated with the

136study. Participants were instructed to run the 5-km as fast as possible. Trials were performed

138times with data extracted from the official website at a later date (parkrun, 2020). Upon completion, 139participants reported to the research team who provided instructions for the next trial.

140Data analysis

141Time to complete 5-km for baseline trials were inputted into an online reliability spreadsheet to 142estimate reliability of pre- and post-baseline trials. Data was log transformed to reduce nonuniform 143errors and Pearson correlation (r), the intraclass correlation (ICC) and CV provided estimates of 144reliability. The r coefficient was interpreted as trivial (<0.1), small (0.3), moderate (0.5), large (0.7), 145 nearly perfect (0.9) and perfect (1.0; Hopkins, 2015). The ICC was interpreted as low (0.20), moderate 146(0.50), high (0.75), very high (0.90) and extremely high (0.99; Hopkins, 2015). A paired samples t-test 147was conducted to determine systematic differences in performance between baseline trials. 148Data was analysed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY) and tested for homogeneity of variance, 149normal distribution and outliers. Ratings of supplement assignment (correct, incorrect) were analysed 150using Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ). Cramer's V was used as the effect size and interpreted as 0.10, 0.30 and 0.50, 151 for a small, medium and large effect, respectively (Cohen, 2013). Repeated measures analysis of 152 variance (ANOVA) was conducted to analyse effects of time between conditions. Greenhouse-Geisser 153epsilon was reported when sphericity was violated. Partial eta-squared (n²) is reported as the effect 154size, with values of 0.02, 0.13 and 0.26 indicating small, medium and large effects respectively (Cohen, 1551992). Post-hoc Least Significant Difference (LSD) tests were used to examine differences between 156conditions and Cohen's d(d) was calculated with values 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 indicating small, medium and 157large effects, respectively (Cohen, 1992). Data is reported as means ± standard error of the mean 158(SEM) and 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

#### 159Results

160Preliminary analyses

161Times were similar between pre- and post-baseline (mean differences =  $16.15 \pm 1.47$  s, 95% CI = -4.80 162to 37.10 s, P = 0.128, r = 0.95, ICC = 0.95, CV = 2.66%). The average of these two time-trials was thus 163used to measure baseline.

## 164Main analyses

165Results of  $\chi^2$  tests indicated that participants did not accurately guess whether they were given 166beetroot juice or placebo ( $\chi^2$  = 49.352, P = 0.457, Cramer's V = 0.09). All participants reported to 167consume the supplement 2.5 hours before the start of the time-trial for each condition and none 168reported differences in training and nutritional routines leading up to the trials or factors affecting 169their performance (i.e. injuries, motivation and weather).

170Mean times for each condition are shown in figure 1. Repeated measures ANOVA revealed a 171significant effect for 5-km time between each condition ( $F_{2,138}$  = 13.075, P < 0.001,  $\eta^2$  = 0.159). 172Compared to baseline, participants ran faster in the beetroot (mean differences = 22.2 ± 5.0 s, 95% CI 173 = 12.2 to 32.1 s P < 0.001, d = 0.08) and placebo (22.9 ± 4.5 s, 95% CI = 13.9 to 32.0 s, P < 0.001, d = 1740.09) conditions. No differences in times were reported between beetroot and placebo (0.8 ± 5.7 s, 17595% CI = -10.6 to 12.1 s, P = 0.875, d = 0.00).

#### 176Discussion

177This study was a first to use a double-blind, quasi-randomised, placebo-controlled trial to determine 178the effect of an acute dose of beetroot juice on competitive 5-km running performance in 179recreational runners. Our results indicate that compared to baseline, beetroot juice improves 180performance by on average 22.2 seconds (1.4%). However, when compared to a placebo, 181performance did not change, with mean differences reported at 0.8 seconds (0.05%). Collectively, 182results suggest that an acute does of beetroot juice does not improve 5-km performance in 183recreational runners.

184While meta-analyses report beneficial effects of beetroot juice on endurance performance (Hoon et 185al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017), we found that beetroot juice does not improve time to complete a

1865-km time-trial. These results are similar to Cermak, Res, et al. (2012) and de Castro et al. (2019) who 187reported that compared to placebo, beetroot juice supplementation does not improve 1-hour cycling 188time-trial and time to complete 10-km running trial performance, respectively. More recent research 189(Jodra et al., 2020; Jonvik et al., 2018; Shannon et al., 2017) has reported that beetroot juice is more 190likely to affect shorter (e.g. 1500-m running) than longer distance (e.g. 10,000-m running) events. 191Shannon et al. (2017) suggest that dietary nitrate supplementation increases the recruitment of type 192II muscle fibres and augments blood flow and oxygen delivery. The increase in local blood flow is 193argued to decrease metabolic perturbations such as PCr degradation and adenosine diphosphate 194(ADP) accumulation (Vanhatalo et al., 2011), increase muscle force production and ultimately 195performance (Coggan et al., 2015). Thus, these effects are less likely to impact endurance 196performance. Given the results of our study, beetroot juice may have little effect on 5-km running 197time-trial performance.

198The null effects could also be explained by our main outcome variable. To help maximise the validity 199of our findings, we used an outdoor competitive 5-km time-trial. The physiological effects associated 200with beetroot juice may not influence performance as much during competitive time-trials than other 201factors (e.g. social comparisons, rewards for success and anxiety). While a 5-km parkrun may not 202produce the same psychophysiological response as the Olympics and World Championships, the 203results of our study are an important first step in identifying whether an acute dose of beetroot juice 204improves endurance performance in an ecological valid setting. Given that recreational runners 205arguably account for a substantial proportion of the consumer group for nutritional sport 206supplements (Maughan et al., 2018), our results highlight that the physiological effects of beetroot 207juice are unlikely to improve performance for this population. Instead, recreational runners should 208practice other methods that are more likely to benefit their performance in competitive settings (e.g. 209an improved training programme, nutritional strategy or psychological profile).

210It is important to consider the reliability of the performance measure when interpreting results. We 211reported improvements compared to baseline of 1.4% for both the beetroot juice and placebo 212condition. However, the CV of our measure was 2.66%. It is therefore likely that changes are 213attributable to systematic and random error. Similarly, the CV of our study is greater than previous 214research using a similar performance measure (CV = 0.95%; Hurst & Board, 2017). Reasons for the 215larger variance could be related to the time in-between baseline trials. Hurst and Board (2017) 216measured 5-km performance twice, separated by 1-week, whereas we separated baseline trials by 3-217weeks. Although no differences were shown between baseline trials, it could be speculated that the 218greater time in-between trials increased the variance in our performance measure. This highlights the 219importance of measuring a further baseline time-trial after experimental trials to help identify 220systematic and random error of performance.

221While our performance measure is not as reliable as previous research (Hurst & Board, 2017), the
222performance measure still holds very good reliability (see Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008). Therefore, the
223results of our study are supported with high reliability and validity, and a large sample size. Generally,
224randomised controlled trials in sport and exercise employ small sample sizes and use outcome
225measurements in tightly controlled laboratories (Burke & Peeling, 2018). This approach can cause
226difficulties for researchers detecting meaningful changes in performance and translating the findings
227to applied practice. While challenges exist in recruiting adequate sample sizes and designing studies
228that are both reliable and valid, the results of this study highlight the opportunity for researchers to
229analyse the effects of interventions using a reliable and valid measure of running performance with a
230large sample. By using parkrun as our outcome measure, and recruiting a large sample, this study
231offers a clearer estimate of the true magnitude of changes in 5-km running performance after

233Limitations and future research

234While the study has a number of strengths relating to the study design, sample size and outcome 235measure, there were limitations. First, we measured the effect of a single acute dose of beetroot juice 236(70-mL). There is evidence to suggest that chronic supplementation of beetroot juice may be more 237beneficial for improving sport performance than acute supplementation (Jones, 2014; McMahon et 238al., 2017). Future research should aim to determine the effect of chronic beetroot juice 239supplementation on competitive 5-km running performance. Second, we did not control the content 240of nitrate rich foods (e.g. beetroot, lettuce and spinach) in participants' diet. Those with a higher 241nitrate rich diet may show reduced effects with beetroot juice supplementation than those with a low 242nitrate rich diet (Jones, 2014; Jonvik et al., 2017). Prospective research should consider controlling for 243the impact of the consumption of nitrate rich diets in their results. Third, while we recruited a large 244sample size that were regular 5-km runners, they were not elite athletes. It is argued that the benefits 245of beetroot juice supplementation are more likely to be shown for highly-trained competitive athletes 246than recreational athletes due to the consequence of years of training adaptations and genetic factors 247(Burke & Peeling, 2018). Future research should aim to sample more highly trained athletes to further 248elucidate the effects of beetroot juice on competitive running performance. Fourth, given that our 249outcome measure does not mimic the atmosphere, pressure and demands that may be experienced 250during competitive events (e.g. national and international championships), and that athletes did not 251adjust their training to "peak" for each trial, the "competitive" element of our study is limited. It 252would be worthwhile to understand the effects of an acute dose of beetroot juice on running 253performance during more competitive events.

### 254Conclusion

255In conclusion, our results indicate that there is no difference in competitive 5-km time-trial 256performance when participants ingest an acute dose of beetroot juice or an equivalent placebo. This 257suggests that beetroot juice may not exert an ergogenic effect on 5-km running performance for 258recreational runners. The results of this study are supported with high reliability and validity using a

259large sample size. Future research studies should consider using other parkrun events to investigate 260the effectiveness of other sport interventions.

# 261**Acknowledgments**

262We would like to thank Dr Katrina Taylor for assistance in the study, parkrun® for granting access to 263recruit participants and data, and to the parkrun participants for their involvement in the study.

264

### 265 Declarations

266Authors received no external funding for this research and declare no conflicts of interest.

267

## 268**Authorships**

269The study was designed by PH and SS; data were collected by PH and SS; data were analysed by PH; 270data interpretation and manuscript preparation were undertaken by PH, SS and DC. All authors 271approved the final version of the paper.

272	Reference list
273	
274Bailey, 275 276 277	S. J., Fulford, J., Vanhatalo, A., Winyard, P. G., Blackwell, J. R., DiMenna, F. J., Wilkerson, D. P., Benjamin, N., & Jones, A. M. (2010). Dietary nitrate supplementation enhances muscle contractile efficiency during knee-extensor exercise in humans. <i>Journal of Applied Physiology</i> , 109(1), 135-148.
278 279Bailey, 280 281 282	S. J., Winyard, P. G., Vanhatalo, A., Blackwell, J. R., DiMenna, F. J., Wilkerson, D. P., & Jones, A. M. (2010). Acute L-arginine supplementation reduces the O2 cost of moderate-intensity exercise and enhances high-intensity exercise tolerance. <i>Journal of Applied Physiology, 109</i> (5) 1394-1403.
283 284Betts, J 285 286 287	A., Gonzalez, J. T., Burke, L. M., Close, G. L., Garthe, I., James, L. J., Jeukendrup, A. E., Morton, J. P., Nieman, D. C., & Peeling, P. (2020). PRESENT 2020: Text Expanding on the Checklist for Proper Reporting of Evidence in Sport and Exercise Nutrition Trials. <i>International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism</i> , 1(aop), 1-12.
288 289Burke, 290 291	L. M., & Peeling, P. (2018). Methodologies for investigating performance changes with supplement use. <i>International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 28</i> (2), 159-169.
292 293Cermak 294 295	k, N. M., Gibala, M. J., & Van Loon, L. J. (2012). Nitrate supplementation's improvement of 10-km time-trial performance in trained cyclists. <i>International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 22</i> (1), 64-71.
296 297Cermak 298 299	k, N. M., Res, P., Stinkens, R., Lundberg, J. O., Gibala, M. J., & Van Loon, L. J. (2012). No improvement in endurance performance after a single dose of beetroot juice. <i>International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 22</i> (6), 470-478.
300 301Coggan 302 303	, A. R., Leibowitz, J. L., Kadkhodayan, A., Thomas, D. P., Ramamurthy, S., Spearie, C. A., Waller, S., Farmer, M., & Peterson, L. R. (2015). Effect of acute dietary nitrate intake on maximal knee extensor speed and power in healthy men and women. <i>Nitric Oxide, 48</i> , 16-21.
304 305Cohen,	J. (1992). A power primer. <i>Psychological Bulletin, 112</i> (1), 155-159.
306 307Cohen,	J. (2013). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Routledge.
308 309Cooke, 310 311	A., Kavussanu, M., McIntyre, D., & Ring, C. (2011). Effects of competition on endurance performance and the underlying psychological and physiological mechanisms. <i>Biological Psychology</i> , 86(3), 370-378.
312 313Corbett 314 315	., J., Barwood, M. J., Ouzounoglou, A., Thelwell, R., & Dicks, M. (2012). Influence of competition on performance and pacing during cycling exercise. <i>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</i> , 44(3), 509-515.

```
316
317Currell, K., & Jeukendrup, A. E. (2008). Validity, reliability and sensitivity of measures of sporting
318
            performance. Sports Medicine, 38(4), 297-316.
319
320de Castro, T. F., Manoel, F. d. A., Figueiredo, D. H., Figueiredo, D. H., & Machado, F. A. (2019). Effect of
            beetroot juice supplementation on 10-km performance in recreational runners. Applied
321
322
            Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism, 44(1), 90-94.
323
324Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G* Power 3.1:
            Tests for correlation and regression analyses. Behavior Research Methods, 41(4), 1149-1160.
326
327Garthe, I., & Maughan, R. J. (2018). Athletes and supplements: prevalence and perspectives.
328
            International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 28(2), 126-138.
329
330Hoon, M. W., Johnson, N. A., Chapman, P. G., & Burke, L. M. (2013). The effect of nitrate
            supplementation on exercise performance in healthy individuals: a systematic review and
332
            meta-analysis. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 23(5), 522-
333
            532.
334
335Hopkins, W. G. (2015). Speadsheets for Analysis of Validity and Reliability. Sportsci, 19, 26-42.
336
337Hopkins, W. G., Hawley, J. A., & Burke, L. M. (1999). Design and analysis of research on sport
338
            performance enhancement. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 31(3), 472-485.
339
340Hurst, P., & Board, E. (2017). Reliability of 5-km running performance in a competitive environment.
341
            Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science, 21(1), 10-14.
342
343Jodra, P., Domínguez, R., Sánchez-Oliver, A. J., Veiga-Herreros, P., & Bailey, S. J. (2020). Effect of
344
            Beetroot Juice Supplementation on Mood, Perceived Exertion, and Performance During a 30-
345
            Second Wingate Test. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 15(2), 243-
346
            248.
347
348Jones, A. M. (2014). Dietary nitrate supplementation and exercise performance. Sports Medicine,
349
            44(1), 35-45.
350
351Jonvik, K. L., Nyakayiru, J., van Dijk, J.-W., Wardenaar, F. C., Van Loon, L. J., & Verdijk, L. B. (2017).
352
            Habitual dietary nitrate intake in highly trained athletes. International Journal of Sport
353
            Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 27(2), 148-157.
354
355Jonvik, K. L., Nyakayiru, J., Van Dijk, J. W., Maase, K., Ballak, S., Senden, J., Van Loon, L., & Verdijk, L. B.
            (2018). Repeated-sprint performance and plasma responses following beetroot juice
356
357
            supplementation do not differ between recreational, competitive and elite sprint athletes.
358
            European Journal of Sport Science, 18(4), 524-533.
```

359

```
360Kapil, V., Milsom, A. B., Okorie, M., Maleki-Toyserkani, S., Akram, F., Rehman, F., Arghandawi, S., Pearl,
            V., Benjamin, N., & Loukogeorgakis, S. (2010). Inorganic nitrate supplementation lowers blood
361
362
            pressure in humans: role for nitrite-derived NO. Hypertension, 56(2), 274-281.
363
364Maughan, R. J., Burke, L. M., Dvorak, J., Larson-Meyer, D. E., Peeling, P., Phillips, S. M., Rawson, E. S.,
365
            Walsh, N. P., Garthe, I., & Geyer, H. (2018). IOC consensus statement: dietary supplements
            and the high-performance athlete. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise
366
367
            Metabolism, 28(2), 104-125. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0020
368
369McMahon, N. F., Leveritt, M. D., & Pavey, T. G. (2017). The effect of dietary nitrate supplementation
370
            on endurance exercise performance in healthy adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
371
            Sports Medicine, 47(4), 735-756.
372
373Muggeridge, D. J., Howe, C. C., Spendiff, O., Pedlar, C., James, P. E., & Easton, C. (2013). The effects of
            a single dose of concentrated beetroot juice on performance in trained flatwater kayakers.
374
375
            International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 23(5), 498-506.
376
377parkrun. (2020). parkrun. Retrieved 21/08/2019 from https://www.parkrun.org.uk/
379Peeling, P., Cox, G. R., Bullock, N., & Burke, L. M. (2015). Beetroot juice improves on-water 500 m
380
            time-trial performance, and laboratory-based paddling economy in national and
381
            international-level kayak athletes. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise
382
            Metabolism, 25(3), 278-284.
383
384Shannon, O. M., Barlow, M. J., Duckworth, L., Williams, E., Wort, G., Woods, D., Siervo, M., & O'Hara,
385
            J. P. (2017). Dietary nitrate supplementation enhances short but not longer duration running
386
            time-trial performance. European Journal of Applied Physiology, 117(4), 775-785.
387
388Vanhatalo, A., Bailey, S. J., Blackwell, J. R., DiMenna, F. J., Pavey, T. G., Wilkerson, D. P., Benjamin, N.,
389
            Winyard, P. G., & Jones, A. M. (2010). Acute and chronic effects of dietary nitrate
390
            supplementation on blood pressure and the physiological responses to moderate-intensity
391
            and incremental exercise. American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and
            Comparative Physiology, 299(4), R1121-R1131.
392
393
394Vanhatalo, A., Fulford, J., Bailey, S. J., Blackwell, J. R., Winyard, P. G., & Jones, A. M. (2011). Dietary
            nitrate reduces muscle metabolic perturbation and improves exercise tolerance in hypoxia.
395
396
            The Journal of physiology, 589(22), 5517-5528.
397
398Webb, A. J., Patel, N., Loukogeorgakis, S., Okorie, M., Aboud, Z., Misra, S., Rashid, R., Miall, P.,
399
            Deanfield, J., & Benjamin, N. (2008). Acute blood pressure lowering, vasoprotective, and
400
            antiplatelet properties of dietary nitrate via bioconversion to nitrite. Hypertension, 51(3), 784-
401
            790.
402
403 Williams, E. L., Jones, H. S., Sparks, S. A., Marchant, D. C., Midgley, A. W., & Mc Naughton, L. R. (2015).
            Competitor presence reduces internal attentional focus and improves 16.1 km cycling time
404
405
            trial performance. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 18(4), 486-491.
```

406

407Wylie, L. J., de Zevallos, J. O., Isidore, T., Nyman, L., Vanhatalo, A., Bailey, S. J., & Jones, A. M. (2016).

Dose-dependent effects of dietary nitrate on the oxygen cost of moderate-intensity exercise:

409 Acute vs. chronic supplementation. *Nitric Oxide, 57,* 30-39.

# 410Tables

Table 1 Demographics of participants separated by gender

	Male	Female	Overall
N	38	32	70
Age (years)	$34.4 \pm 11.6$	32.1 ± 12.9	33.3 ± 12.3
Training history (years)	$11.8 \pm 7.0$	11.9 ± 9.5	11.9 ± 8.1
Hours per week training	$6.3 \pm 3.9$	$5.5 \pm 3.1$	5.9 ± 3.5
Number of parkruns	21 ± 18	$28 \pm 24$	24 ± 21
Personal best (minutes: seconds)	23:02 ± 4:42	29:05 ± 3:51	25:48 ± 5:16

Note: data are mean ± standard deviation

# **Figure**

**Figure 1.** Mean time to complete 5-km time-trials for each condition. Data are means  $\pm$  SEM. \* = P 414<0.001 vs. beetroot and placebo.

