

Research Space Book chapter

Laboratory and field-based data collection (Quantitative)

Saunders, B., Marticorena, F., Hurst, P. and Gough, L.

This is a pre-print and has not been peer reviewed.

1 2	Laboratory and Field-Based Data Collection (Quantitative)
3	Bryan Saunders <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Felipe Marticorena <sup>1</sup> , Philip Hurst <sup>4</sup> , Lewis Gough <sup>5</sup>
4	
5	1 - Applied Physiology and Nutrition Research Group - School of Physical Education and
6	Sport and Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
7	2 – Center of Lifestyle Medicine, Faculdade de Medicina FMUSP, Universidade de São Paulo,
8	São Paulo, Brazil
9	3 – Nutrology Academy, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
10	4 – School of Psychology and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury,
11	United Kingdom.
12	5 - Human Performance and Health Research Group, Centre for Life and Sport Sciences
13	(CLaSS), Department of Sport and Exercise, Birmingham City University, Birmingham,
14	United Kingdom.
15	
16	This is a preprint of a chapter forthcoming in the following edited textbook: Eimear Dolan &
17	James Steele (Eds). Research Methods in Sport and Exercise Science. An Open-Access Primer.
18	Published by the Society for Transparency, Openness and Replication in Kinesiology.
19	
20	Please cite as: Saunders, B., Marticorena, F., Hurst, P. and Gough, L. (2024). Preprint version
21	- Laboratory and Field-Based Data Collection (Quantitative). In: Eimear Dolan and James
22	Steele (Eds). Research Methods in Sport and Exercise Science. An Open-Access Primer.
23	Published by the Society for Transparency, Openness and Replication in Kinesiology.
24	Preprint DOI: 10.51224/SRXIV.379
25	

26 Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

# 27 Feedback:

- 28 If you would like to provide feedback on this chapter, please email me directly
- 29 (drbryansaunders@outlook.com) or use the comments section on the https://web.hypothes.is/.
- 30 For the latter you can create a free account in about a minute. Then download the browser
- 31 extension or bookmarklet, download this pdf locally but open it in your browser, activate the
- 32 hypothes.is extension/bookmarklet, and then you can add feedback directly via annotations.
- 33 Afterwards, email or share the pdf file and I will be able to see this feedback via the hypothes.is
- 34 fingerprint on the file. See this video for more information <u>https://web.hypothes.is/annotating-</u>
- 35 <u>pdfs-tutorial/</u>
- 36

# 37 Correspondence:

- 38 Dr Bryan Saunders
- 39 Applied Physiology & Nutrition Research Group,
- 40 Faculty of Medicine FMUSP,
- 41 Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 455 Cerqueira César CEP: 01246903
- 42 University of São Paulo,
- 43 São Paulo, SP, Brazil.
- 44 E-mail: <u>drbryansaunders@outlook.com</u>
- 45 Phone: +55 11 97776-6047

#### **Table of Contents** 46 47 1. 48 2. 1 49 50 2. 3. 51 52 1. Laboratory and field-based research......7 53 1. 2. 54 3. 55 56 2. 57 3. 4. Confounding Variables ......14 58 59 1. 60 2. 3. Familiarisation or habituation to the exercise protocol......17 61 4. 62 5. 63 Exercise control 64 5

64	5. E	Exercise control	22
65	1.	Prior to main sessions	22
66	2.	Throughout short-term studies	22
67	3.	Throughout longer-term studies	23
68	4. DA	TA COLLECTION	25
69	1. E	Equipment	25
70	2. E	Exercise protocol	25
71	1.	Exercise protocol validity	25
72	2.	Exercise protocol reliability	27
73	3. B	Blood sampling	28
74	4. S	ample and data management	29
75	1.	Sample storage	
76	2.	Data management	
		-	

77 78

# 79 **1. Introduction**

Rigorous assessment of sport and exercise measures is a requirement for any scientist 80 aiming to answer a research question. Sport and exercise scientists may strive to answer 81 82 questions such as, "Does caffeine improve an athlete's performance?", "What are the physiological determinants of endurance running?" and "When can an athlete return to training 83 after injury?". Researchers aim to answer these questions through data collection in 84 experimental studies that are designed to test a hypothesis and provide robust evidence on a 85 topic. This is pertinent as the replicability of findings in sport and exercise research has been 86 questioned (Mesquida et al., 2022). By prioritizing methodological quality in research, 87 88 researchers can enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of their findings and, in turn, promote the replicability of research findings in the field of sport and exercise science. To help 89 90 researchers design their studies, there are several guidelines that offer recommendations on appropriate reporting (Consolidated Standards Of Reporting Trials, CONSORT) with some 91 more specific to exercise nutrition (Proper Reporting of Evidence in Sport and Exercise 92 Nutrition Trials, PRESENT) (Betts et al., 2020). While these provide excellent considerations 93 to ensure reporting of the scientific method is complete, they can also be used as guidelines 94 implemented prior to data collection to ensure that the study results are robust. 95

96

Ouantitative data collection in sport and exercise research can include different methods 97 including surveys and questionnaires, biomechanical and physiological measures and exercise 98 capacity and performance measures. These data can be obtained in controlled laboratory 99 100 environments or in an applied setting (*e.g.*, during a race) depending upon the specific research question. Here we aim to focus primarily on practical data collection, such as obtaining 101 measures of physiological responses and exercise performance. Furthermore, fundamental to 102 103 this is the use of randomised controlled trials, which are often regarded as the cornerstone of any data collection researchers conduct across the field of science. Below, we provide an 104 overview of the essential components that researchers should consider both in the laboratory 105 and field, with emphasis given to collecting data during randomised controlled trials. 106

- 107
- 108 **2. Ethical considerations**
- 109

#### 2. Etinear considerati

# 1. Institutional review or ethics committee

Prior to initiating data collection, researchers are required to submit their project to theirInstitutional Review Committee or Institutional Ethics Committee which is formally

designated to review and approve research involving human participants according to ethical

- principles such as the Declaration of Helsinki developed by the World Medical Association
  (https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-
- medical-research-involving-human-subjects/). Specifically, the primary role of the ethics 115 committee is to safeguard the rights, welfare, and privacy of those participating in research 116 studies. Researchers are required to submit detailed proposals outlining their study objectives, 117 methodology, participant recruitment procedures, and outcome measures to ensure 118 119 confidentiality and informed consent. The committee then evaluates all aspects of these study proposals to ensure that potential risks to participants are minimized and that the anticipated 120 benefits of the research justify any potential harm. The committee may request some changes 121 to the proposal if they believe that the risk of certain procedures is too high or outweighs the 122 societal benefits. Only once a study has been approved by the ethics committee can a study 123 initiate participant recruitment, following obtention of informed consent (see Section 2.2 124 Informed consent). This ensures the ethical and responsible conduct of research while 125 protecting the rights and well-being of the research participants. 126
- 127

128

#### 2. Informed consent

Before the collection of any data, it is prerequisite to gain informed consent, ideally in 129 130 writing, from participants in the study. Non-written consent (i.e., verbal) should be supported by witness statements or audio or video recordings to ensure all parties are covered and avoid 131 disputes as to whether consent was given. All informed consent forms should be stored safely 132 and confidentially (see Section 3.4.2. Data management). To ensure participant well-being, and 133 protect them from harm, informed consent ensures that participants are aware of the aims, the 134 method, and potential outcomes and risks associated with the study. To achieve this, 135 researchers need to provide unbiased, up-to-date, relevant information of their decision to 136 participate in the study and importantly, that participation is completely voluntary, for which 137 they can choose to withdraw at any time without reason and consequence. To help participants 138 decide whether to participate in the study, and understand potential consequences, they should 139 be provided with an information sheet that contains brief and clear information on the essential 140 aspects of the study. The Standards for Ethics in Sport and Exercise Research (Harriss et al., 141 2022) lists what need to be included in the information sheet (see Table 1). 142

143

144 It is important to note that any information should be written clearly and be easy to read for 145 a layman. The use of technical and jargon should be avoided, but if required, should be first

explained in a plain, accessible language. Researchers may not consider that a lot of the 146 147 language used in their day-to-day work is in fact technical. Words used throughout this chapter, for example - randomisation, sample size, blinding and validity - may be complex for a 148 participant, and as such, should be avoided to ensure they are fully aware of what is required 149 of them. Given this, researchers should aim to provide information about the study in both 150 151 written and spoken form. The former can be emailed or sent to participants prior to visiting the data collection site (e.g., the laboratory), so that they have ample opportunity to read all 152 153 information and be cognisant of what to expect in the study. The latter offers the opportunity to expand on technical areas and provides participants the opening to question and alleviate 154 155 any concerns.

156

While most data collection will sample the general population, researchers may also be 157 interested in sampling other populations that are more vulnerable, including children, the 158 elderly, and those with intellectual impairments. Researchers will therefore need to consider 159 additional ethical concerns and be aware that it may not be possible to gain consent or that they 160 need more time. Passive assent, which can involve a parent or guardian, should be avoided 161 where possible, and every effort should be made to involve the participant in the informed 162 consent process. Explaining the details for informed consent for vulnerable groups are outside 163 the scope of this chapter, but readers are directed towards the UK Research and Innovation 164 guidance (UKRI, 2023). 165

166

#	Information given to	Elaboration
	participant	
1	Researcher details	Names and institutional affiliations
2	The aims of the research	Why is the work being undertaken?
3	Methods of the study	What will participants be asked to do?
4	Sources of funding	Has an organisation funded the study?
5	Conflicts of interest	Would financial or personal consideration compromise the research?
6	Anticipated benefits	What benefits can participants receive?
7	Potential risks	What harms of consequences come from participation?
8	Right to decline	Participants do not have to take part and can do so without
		consequence

Table. 1 Brief outline of information required for a participant information sheet

doi: 10.51224/SRXIV.379

Note: Content is adapted from Harriss et al. (2022)

167

168 **3. Experimental design** 

The extent to which the observed results of an experimental study represent the true effect 169 of the intervention depends on the rigour of the methodology. Internal validity is the term used 170 171 to describe whether the methodology was conducted adequately to answer the research question without substantial bias (Andrade, 2018; Halperin et al., 2015). There is an extensive 172 173 list of confounding factors which could potentially influence exercise performance (e.g., diet, 174 sleep, fatigue) and, thus, should be considered and/or controlled to various extents depending upon the research question being asked and how they might impact upon the data. External 175 validity relates to how generalisable the current data are to other contexts (Andrade, 2018). For 176 example, a study looking at the effects of a training intervention in elderly individuals with 177 type II diabetes will likely not be entirely generalisable to a young and athletic population. 178 Ecological validity is a sub-section of external validity applied to the real-world, specifically, 179 whether the study can be generalisable to everyday life. For example, a study showing the side-180 effects of caffeine (*e.g.*, anxiety) on participants in a resting and relaxed state in a seamlessly 181 controlled laboratory may have high internal validity but is in stark contrast to the high-pressure 182 environment of competitive sport, and results may therefore not be directly applicable. 183 Understanding of internal and external validity is vital to design and conduct studies and to 184 understand the limitations of that research. The following sections aims to critically discuss 185 186 their importance in relation to data collection.

187

## 188

# 1. Laboratory and field-based research

Most research questions are focused on determining the mechanistic characteristics (*e.g.*, physiological, psychological, biomechanical, sociological) of sport or the effectiveness of sport and exercise science interventions, both in the field (applied) and laboratory. The advancement and development of cutting edge and portable technologies means that researchers have a plethora of methods through which to answer their research questions in both the laboratory

and field. While the laboratory is often the preferred choice, given its high reliability, sensitivity, and ability in which to control several variables, such as temperature and humidity, researchers can conduct research within the field, which offers more ecological validity that can help translate findings into real world scenarios. Nevertheless, both have their own limitations.

- 199
- 200

# 1. Laboratory-based research

201 The primary benefit of laboratory research is that more extraneous factors can be controlled compared to field or remote data collection, including the ability to control factors such as the 202 environment (humidity, temperature) and using 'gold standard' laboratory equipment to 203 enhance internal validity (in most cases). These added layers of control allow the researcher to 204 be confident that performance measures are not a result of extraneous factor(s). In most 205 laboratories, temperature is controllable through air conditioning systems, and in most cases, 206 humidity will also be constant. It is advised to keep this consistent during data collection both 207 between and within participant procedures, with records being kept for each experimental 208 session. The main drawback of laboratory research is that the environment is largely artificial, 209 especially in sport where athletes often compete in an environment that is constantly changing 210 (e.g., weather, temperature, typography, anxiety from high-pressured environments). As a 211 result, the findings in rigorously controlled laboratories lose generalisability to sport 212 practitioners (i.e., ecological validity). Moreover, demand characteristics could impact the 213 214 findings whereby participants may behave differently when being observed (Nichols & Maner, 2008). 215

216

# 217 2. Field-based research

218 Field-based research has become a more common approach within sport and exercise sciences due to the ability to increase the ecological validity of the findings. An area of concern 219 with field-based research is selecting an exercise protocol or using equipment that are valid 220 against laboratory or 'gold standard' measurements (Halperin et al., 2018). Exercise protocols 221 such as the multistage 20-m shuttle run test (more commonly known as the "bleep test") have 222 been shown to correlate to a good level with maximal rate of oxygen output ( $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) (Léger 223 & Lambert, 1982; Paliczka et al., 1987; Ramsbottom et al., 1988) making it an appropriate 224 surrogate in the field. Considering physiological measures and blood lactate as an example, 225 analysers were traditionally a large benchtop equipment that was not readily portable. The 226

development of a portable handheld device such as the Lactate Pro 2 (Arkray, Japan) has 227 228 overcome such issues, and research has shown it to be useable in the field, and importantly, valid (Bonaventura et al., 2015) and reliable (Tanner et al., 2010) against 'gold standard' 229 230 laboratory analysers. As a result, the findings in studies using field-based measurements and techniques can then make valid inferences to guide practitioners. Despite some successes, in 231 232 sport sciences, this is perhaps not implemented as often as it should. One example includes electromyography (EMG), which is commonly used to infer muscle hypertrophy with higher 233 234 versus lower amplitudes, however, it is unknown if this is a causal relationship (Halperin et al., 2018). The use of valid techniques is an area that sport science could improve to help 235 practitioners make informed decisions with participants from a sports performance, but also a 236 health perspective (Abt et al., 2022). 237

238

Another factor to consider in the field is the lack of control versus laboratory settings, such 239 as weather, temperature, and aerodynamics. This is particularly common if data collection is 240 ongoing during a competition. While these extraneous factors could influence the results and 241 compromise internal validity, particularly if the study design is a crossover design and 242 researchers are attempting to determine changes from multiple different treatments (e.g., a 243 supplement study to assess the impact on exercise performance), it can be minimised by 244 conducting the test at the same time of day, season (*i.e.*, summer vs. winter) and in similar air 245 density (e.g., indoor track cycling). The best approach for this type of research is to measure 246 and describe as much as possible so that the reader can interpret the extraneous factors that 247 might have influenced results. Furthermore, the authors themselves may use the measured 248 variables to apply a correction factor to standardise conditions for test performed on different 249 days. For example, one study investigating caffeine on 100-m running performance measured 250 temperature, humidity, atmospheric pressure and wind speed to standardise measurements 251 (Matsumura et al., 2022). While it may reduce the generalisability of the research, if all can be 252 accounted for, the benefit of field-based studies is the increased level of ecological validity, 253 which in turn, usually means greater impact within the given sport of focus. 254

- 255
- 256

# *3. Remote data collection*

Since the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, a contemporary approach has been to collect data remotely due to the obvious constraints on face-to-face contact (Souza et al., 2022). This is unique compared to field-based testing as it requires no observer (i.e., researcher) of the data

collection process. In the context of sport and exercise research, this could increase the 260 inclusivity and reduce the carbon footprint of research, as well as opening opportunities for 261 multicentre experiments. For example, one study collected 165 data sessions on a cycle 262 ergometer remotely over a 2-month period using the commercially available software 263 TrainingPeaks<sup>TM</sup> (Bennett et al., 2021). Given that small sample sizes are common in sport 264 science and can cause issues with power (Abt et al., 2020) and difficulties in translating to real-265 world settings, remote data collection provides an opportunity to sport and exercise researchers 266 267 in recruiting larger homogeneous and heterogenous samples. For this to grow and become the norm within the discipline, however, attempts to maintain the reliability and validity must be 268 factored into the study design. Like field-based studies, this includes using consistent 269 methodologies and equipment across participants (e.g., software and equipment), and visual 270 inspections of data collection where possible (i.e., raw data checks, virtual observation of 271 experimental trials taking place). An example of this approach was shown by Matta and 272 colleagues (2022) whereby the reproducibility of a 20-min cycling time-trial was assessed 273 using a home-based protocol. Participants completed two exercise trials using their own home 274 setup on a commercially available software platform (Zwift<sup>TM</sup>) and cycle ergometer (and power 275 meter). This type of approach could be adopted for similar studies, except it would be 276 encouraged that the researcher could watch experimental trials being performed virtually using 277 software (*e.g.*, Microsoft Teams<sup>TM</sup>, Zoom<sup>TM</sup>), which the researchers opted against in their study 278 design (Matta et al., 2022). With this addition, there would be little difference between this 279 approach and both laboratory and field study designs providing no complex data collection is 280 required (e.g., blood sampling, physiological measures). 281

282

#### 283 2. Randomisation

Randomisation is considered a critical component of an experimental study that ensures 284 each participant has an equal chance of being assigned to a specific treatment group (in a 285 parallel group study; e.g., 4-week of either beta-alanine or placebo supplementation) or 286 intervention order (in a crossover study; e.g., receiving caffeine first then placebo, or placebo 287 first then caffeine). In performing this allocation entirely randomly, we avoid distorting results 288 due to non-random allocation, which could lead to group differences due to baseline 289 characteristics or identical treatment orders for all participants that, in turn, could bias 290 291 outcomes.

292

Where possible, simple randomisation methods should be preferred. Randomisation is 293 as simple as allocating participants to a treatment group (e.g., beta-alanine or placebo) or order 294 (e.g., "caffeine – placebo" or "placebo – caffeine") using a coin flip or throwing a dice (Schulz 295 296 & Grimes, 2002). There is a limitation with simple randomisation in that small sample sizes (<200; (Schulz & Grimes, 2002)), which are common in sport and exercise research, may lead 297 to an uneven number of participants allocated to a particular order, or uneven group sizes. 298 Nonetheless, with increasing sample sizes, this chance is diminished. Block randomisation is 299 300 also often employed, whereby participants are allocated in an equal ratio (e.g., 1:1 or 2:2) to a treatment group or order. Additionally, studies in sport and exercise science often wish to avoid 301 baseline differences in fitness or performance of participants between groups, and can use 302 stratified randomization to do so (Kang et al., 2008). For example, in a study examining the 303 effects of different training protocols (e.g., high-intensity intermittent exercise vs. continuous 304 exercise) on changes in  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ , it would be undesirable for the two training groups to differ 305 significantly in their baseline  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  since those with lower baseline values are likely more 306 susceptible to greater training responses (Støren et al., 2017), regardless of the specific training 307 protocol. Thus, participants could be stratified according to groups based upon their baseline 308  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ . One way this could be achieved is allocating participants to chosen groups of baseline 309  $\dot{VO}_{2max}$  (e.g., 45-50; >50-55; >55-60; >60-65 mL·kg<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>) and within each group, an equal 310 number of participants are randomly allocated to each training condition. This helps ensure that 311 baseline VO<sub>2max</sub> does not differ between groups. An obvious limitation is that the researchers 312 are reliant on equal numbers of participants in each sub-group, and that drop-outs may occur 313 more so in one group that another, which is something that cannot be predicted, and may lead 314 to significant baseline differences. If this occurs, researchers should report the differences in 315 baseline and/or number of dropouts for each condition, and exercise caution in their 316 317 conclusions.

318

The randomisation procedure should be performed by somebody not involved in data collection so that there is no knowledge from the participant or researcher about the intervention being administered, a concept termed allocation concealment. This maintains the blinding of the study should it be necessary (see Section 4. Blinding) and minimises the chance of selection bias, an error that occurs if proper randomisation is not performed resulting in skewed or unrepresentative samples. The person undertaking the randomisation may wish to use free online tools such as <u>Randomization Plans: Never the same thing twice!</u> 326 (jerrydallal.com) or Research Randomizer. As much information as possible as to how the
randomisation was performed should be included in any subsequent publication to allow
readers to evaluate whether proper randomisation was implemented, of whether possible bias
has occurred due to improper randomisation which may occur unwittingly (Schulz & Grimes,
2002). We direct the reader towards further reading to gain a more in-depth overview of the
methods and techniques for randomisation (Kang et al., 2008; Suresh, 2011).

332

Personal view: In our study on caffeine supplementation and exercise performance (Saunders,
de Oliveira, et al., 2017), block randomisation was performed by someone not involved in data
collection so that all possible orders in which participants could receive the supplements (6
different orders to receive three treatments; caffeine, placebo and control) were balanced
across 42 participants.

338

# 339 *3. Blinding*

Participant and researcher expectations about the intervention can significantly affect 340 outcomes during data collection. As a result, within randomised controlled trials, a fundamental 341 decision is to consider whether participants, and those conducting data collection, are blinded 342 to the intervention (*i.e.*, they do not know what interventions are being provided). For example, 343 imagine a research study examining whether caffeine improves 5000-m running time compared 344 to placebo. If a participant is aware they received caffeine, and expect it improves performance, 345 they may change how they perform the trial than when they receive placebo (see for example 346 (Hurst, Schiphof-Godart, et al., 2020)). Researchers would therefore be unable to determine if 347 348 it was caffeine that improved performance or the change in behaviour. Similarly, if a researcher is aware they are administering caffeine to participants, they may change their behaviour during 349 350 the trial, such as their body language, words used during administering the caffeine, and type of encouragement given during the trial. As a result, even if the participant is unaware they 351 received caffeine, they may perform the trial differently based on the behaviour of the 352 researcher. 353

354

Blinding in research studies generally takes three forms. First, researchers can use a singleblind design, which involves ensuring only participants do not know which intervention has been administered. This will most likely occur when resources are limited and the person conducting the data collection also needs to administer the intervention. Second, a double-blind

intervention can be conducted, in which both the participant and researcher administering the 359 360 intervention are unaware of what has been administered. In this design, a third-party not involved in data collection disguises both the intervention and placebo so that they are identical 361 in appearance. The researcher would then administer the intervention or placebo to the 362 participant, and both would be unaware what had been administered. Finally, in a triple-blind 363 study, to remove any biases relating to how the data is analysed, the person analysing the data 364 following the completion of data collection can also be unaware of which data is related to the 365 366 intervention or placebo.

367

Blinding is more than just keeping the name of the intervention hidden. Blinding relates 368 to the entirety of the study. This includes, but is not limited to, researchers developing the 369 blinding, witnessing other participants receiving the intervention, perceptual cues of the 370 interventions (e.g., taste, colour, smell) and even physiological responses. The latter can be 371 inherently difficult to blind, especially for some interventions that have noticeable 372 physiological responses, such as sodium bicarbonate that can cause gastrointestinal symptoms 373 (McNaughton, 1992; Saunders et al., 2014). If a participant experiences such effects, then the 374 blinding has failed, and any further data collected is likely biased. It is generally considered 375 that successful blinding ensures the results of the study are not subject to bias. Nonetheless, it 376 is possible that participants experience side-effects related to the active ingredient despite 377 having received a placebo, which may be intrinsically linked to expectation and the information 378 provided regarding the intervention. Blinding success can be assessed by directly asking 379 participants which intervention they think was administered and this data can then be analysed 380 381 using a number of different tools, such as the Bang's Blinding Index (Bang et al., 2004), which can be used to evaluate the blinding of each intervention (e.g., in a caffeine vs. placebo study, 382 383 you can determine whether blinding was successful both within the caffeine visit and the placebo visit). Bang's Blinding Index provides a value between -1 and 1, with successful 384 blinding considered between -0.30 to 0.30 (Bang et al., 2010). If blinding was unsuccessful, 385 then blinding may have been compromised and influenced the result of the study, something 386 which researchers may wish to consider upon interpretation of the data. 387

388

It can sometimes be impractical or unfeasible to blind participants to an intervention. It would be impossible to blind a participant to, for example, Normatec compression therapy, physiotherapy, or high-intensity interval training (HIIT) since participants know when they are

receiving these interventions. As a result, in such studies, it would be necessary for the researcher to understand participant expectations of the intervention and whether they believed it influenced outcomes. This can be achieved via a questionnaire prior to or post study (*e.g.*, asking participants on a Likert-type scale from 1-5 how much they expect it to affect outcomes), or through post-study interviews, and assessing how much they expected the intervention to influence outcomes (Gurton et al., 2022). The results of this data should be considered during the main analyses and can help determine if they influenced outcomes of the intervention.

399

While blinding is often regarded as the gold standard during experimental data 400 collection, sometimes researchers may be interested in understanding the effects of an 401 intervention that has already been shown to be beneficial in blinded studies. This design is 402 called open-label, and is arguably best conducted within the field, where outcomes are of 403 interest under real-world conditions. Given that caffeine has shown to be efficacious during 404 double-blind randomised controlled trials (Grgic et al., 2020), it would be useful to understand 405 if these effects are translated to the field, when participants are aware they have received 406 caffeine. There would be no need to blind participants to what they received, and researchers 407 can understand if caffeine improves performance when given openly. 408

409

Personal view: We conducted a double-blind, randomised controlled trial to determine if an 410 acute dose of dietary nitrate improved 5-km running performance (Hurst, Saunders, et al., 411 2020). We purchased the placebo from the supplier "Beet-IT", who developed a placebo 412 product identical in taste, smell and appearance (Gilchrist et al., 2014). To ensure we 413 administered the correct intervention to participants, we asked another person to label one 414 "X" and another "Y". During data collection, participants received the X or Y intervention, 415 and we were unaware of whether it was the dietary nitrate or placebo until after the study had 416 417 been completed.

- 418
- 419

# 4. Confounding Variables

420 *1. Observers and researchers* 

421 One factor that could impact research is the number and/or sex of observers present at 422 data collection, which could enhance or hinder the participant's performance. Winchester et al. 423 (2012) reported that ratings of perceived exertion, a subjective measure of how hard the 424 participant believes the exercise is, was reduced with both female and male observers when

men were completing a run at  $\sim 60\%$  peak running speed. This seemed to be due to the changes 425 426 in affect scores as these were significantly higher compared to a control trial. In another study, van der Meij et al. (2008) reported that testosterone increased in men by 8% when a woman 427 428 was introduced to the experimental trials versus a 0.5% change when this was a man. Similarly, 24 young male handball players' performance was improved in the presence of female versus 429 430 male observers. In contrast, the exercise performance of women when in the presence of observers appears hampered, although in some cases it was unchanged. Based on this evidence, 431 432 researchers should be aware of these potential issues and ensure their research environment limits these impacts. This can be achieved using private research spaces or the use of screens 433 to block the viewing of external individuals within open laboratory spaces. 434

435

The number of people observing exercise can influence exercise performance, whether 436 indirectly or directly observing in the environment (Halperin et al., 2015). One study showed 437 that an audience of fifteen individuals directly watching participants perform a 1-RM bench 438 press improved performance compared to either a passive audience of co-actors (not directly 439 watching; 12.9% increase) or a competitive scenario (fewer direct observers; 2% increase). A 440 factor that might mitigate or enhance these responses is whether the observer is known to the 441 participant, where it has been shown that if this is the case, performance may not change, 442 whereas if the additional person is unknown, a reduction in performance may be found (Guerin, 443 1986). It is worth noting that this change is more likely to be seen for complex tasks (*e.g.*, team 444 sport actions) than simple ones (e.g., capacity or stamina tests). This impact is related to the 445 work of Guerin (1983) who suggested only if the additional audience are not known to the 446 participant would this cause uncertainty and the performance might reduce. This contrasts an 447 early theory such as the generalised drive hypothesis (Zajonc, 1965), whereby a participant's 448 performance will be improved simply through the presence of others. Whilst such theories have 449 since been criticised and many are not discussed in this chapter (for full review see (Strauss, 450 2002)), it is worthwhile for a researcher to consider this within their laboratory research project 451 to reduce the interference of observers in the results. Our recommendation would be that 452 researchers standardise the number and sex of the researchers who will be present at all main 453 data collection sessions throughout a project. 454

455

456

#### 2. Verbal encouragement

Verbal encouragement is often seen as a key factor to help participants produce their 457 best effort. However, approximately one third of participants can experience a neutral or 458 negative response to verbal encouragement (Midgley et al., 2018). Unfortunately, there is little 459 evidence to guide recommendations with limited literature to date (Midgley et al., 2018). Of 460 the available evidence, Andreacci et al. (2002) reported that verbal encouragement every 20-s 461 and 60-s improved running performance, whilst no effect was found with encouragement every 462 180-s. Therefore, for maximal efforts verbal encouragement in a frequency of every 20-60-s 463 could assist participants performance. During resistance training, verbal encouragement can 464 improve performance, as Weakley et al. (2020) reported improvements in weight lifted during 465 barbell back squats within a group of 12 semi-professional rugby players. Binboğa et al. (2013) 466 reported that those with low conscientiousness significantly improved their maximal voluntary 467 contraction of the triceps surae, but reported no improvements in those with high 468 conscientiousness (9.7% vs. 2.4%). Reasons for discrepancies between Weakley et al. (2020) 469 and Binboğa et al. (2013) may be the sample size (n = 12 vs n = 83) and the different exercise 470 tests (barbell back squat vs. maximal voluntary contractions). Nonetheless, this suggests that 471 for resistance type exercise, verbal encouragement may be beneficial to produce a best effort 472 performance, however, this might be dependent on the level of conscientiousness within 473 individuals. 474

Although most research has focused on positive feedback, there is a small body of 475 research examining negative feedback. Instead of stating "great effort", "excellent values" and 476 "looking strong", when researchers state "you're not trying", "low values" or "you can do 477 better", this may improve performance (Halperin et al., 2020). This was hypothesised to be due 478 to participants experiencing some level of anger and exerting greater effort due to the 479 suggestion that their initial efforts were lacking. However, caution is advised since negative 480 feedback might not elicit positive effects in the long-term due to effects on motivation and self-481 efficacy. Since positive feedback improved performance over no feedback (Halperin et al., 482 2020), this type of feedback should be preferred. Based on this evidence, it is reasonable to 483 suggest that if verbal encouragement is to be offered it should, at the very least, be standardised. 484 Preferably, the level and frequency of encouragement would also match the type of exercise to 485 achieve the desired effect. Equally, it may be intuitive to match the encouragement based on 486 the level of conscientiousness of participants where possible. 487

488

Personal view: In our studies (Gough et al., 2018; Gough, Rimmer, et al., 2019), we have used
multiple approaches for verbal encouragement; however, all have been standardised either to
time or distance based (for both time-to-exhaustion and time-trial tests) at approximately 60-s
intervals. In one study, the encouragement was provided every 500 m across a 4-km time-trial.
We also attempt to standardise the phrases used throughout (e.g., 'good work, keep going') by
using a phrase bank for encouragement.

- 495
- 496

## 3. Familiarisation or habituation to the exercise protocol

A key element of rigorous study control is whether participants within a research study 497 are familiarised to the exercise protocol. Familiarisation sessions are usually included in 498 experimental designs to reduce the effect of learning. This is especially important when 499 utilizing untrained samples and participants not familiar to the exercise protocol. Participants 500 in research are often unfamiliar with the exact demands of the exercise task being undertaken 501 (e.g., many cyclists may not be familiar with a 4-km cycling time-trial). Including a session 502 whereby participants perform the exercise task to become familiar with it, researchers can 503 reduce the coefficient of variation and increase test-retest reliability between exercise sessions 504 (Stevens & Dascombe, 2015) which avoids confounding the effect of the intervention with 505 learning. The importance of this is highlighted by the work of Stein and colleagues, who first 506 published their study showing that caffeine improved performance, but were forced to retract 507 their article after discovering results were due to data tabulation error (discussed below) and 508 509 that the effect was due to a lack of a familiarisation to the exercise protocol and a learning effect (Stein et al., 2020a; Stein et al., 2020b). 510

511

While many researchers perform a solitary familiarisation session in which participants 512 513 are made familiar with the exercise task, this should not be confused with habituation of a participant to an exercise task. That is, a familiarisation offers participants to become familiar 514 with the exercise protocol, whereas habituation is determined when performance does not 515 change after subsequent visits to the laboratory and can be determined via statistical assessment 516 (e.g., the difference between consecutive tests is very small). It is unclear how many 517 familiarisation sessions are required to attain habituation to an exercise protocol, and will be 518 protocol and participant specific, but this would substantially increase study costs and the 519 number of laboratory visits required for the participant. Nonetheless, we consider it essential 520 that at least one familiarisation is performed prior to initiating the main interventions. There 521

are exceptions wherein it may be appropriate not to include a specific familiarisation protocol. This would be specific to when then the sample population being studied is already familiar with the exercise being undertaken. For example, it is common for rowers to perform regular 2000 m rowing tests on a rowing ergometer. Similarly, professional football players will likely perform several YoYo Intermittent Recovery Tests throughout a season to determine exercise capacity. In these situations, it would be appropriate to forgo a specific familiarisation session and simply report that the athletes are well acquainted with the exercise test undertaken.

529

Personal view: Our (BS, FM) research laboratory generally aims to include two familiarisation sessions to any exercise protocol to ensure participants are well familiarised to the exercise protocol. This is what was required of participants in our study on caffeine supplementation and exercise performance (Saunders, de Oliveira, et al., 2017), in which trained cyclists performed two familiarisation trials of a simulated time-trial before the main intervention session.

536

537 *4. Time of day* 

Several aspects of exercise performance appear to be influenced by the time of day at 538 which they are measured, including strength (Grgic et al., 2019) and endurance (Küüsmaa et 539 al., 2016) exercise, with afternoon and evening performance generally superior to that in the 540 morning. Since the time of day at which individuals exercise can influence exercise 541 performance, when participants attend the laboratory for data collection, researchers should 542 strive to ensure that tests are performed at the same time of day for each participant. Although 543 it may be desirable for all participants to perform exercise when performance appears to be 544 optimised, it is highly improbable that all studies can perform data collection during this very 545 546 limited late afternoon/early evening timeframe. As such, while it appears unnecessary to require all participants in a study to perform exercise at the same time of day (unless this is a 547 specific aim of the study), each participant should attend the laboratory for data collection 548 within a study at the same time according to their own schedule. Once a participant has 549 performed their first visit, all subsequent visits should then be performed at the same or a 550 similar time to avoid potential influence of circadian variation on the outcome measures. 551

552

553 *Personal view: In our laboratory, we aim to have participants attend the lab at the best time* 554 *of day that suits them. This might be early morning for some, or late evening for others. For* 

example, in our study on caffeine and exercise performance (Saunders, de Oliveira, et al., 2017), most participants favoured a morning (06:00 - 08:00) or evening (18:00 - 20:00) start due to their working day; this also coincided with their usual training hours. All visits were subsequently performed within a  $\pm 1$  h period of the initial visit for each participant, since it was impossible to always begin at exactly the same time.

560

#### 561 *5. Dietary control*

562 A person's diet strongly influences their health (Willett, 1994) and exercise performance (Burke & Hawley, 2018). Therefore, it is crucial to monitor or control dietary 563 intake of participants enrolled to the study. While it is common to criticize the lack of control 564 over participants' diet or the way in which such control was carried out, generic criticisms stem 565 from the false belief that all studies should approach dietary control in the same way. To reflect 566 on this, the researcher should not assume that dietary control must be done, but rather evaluate 567 whether there is a need for it and, if so, how to implement it. To develop a good experimental 568 design, there must be clarity with respect to the main research question, namely what will be 569 evaluated, and what the primary outcome (dependent variable e.g.,  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ , power output, 570 force) is. 571

572

Once researchers have determined if monitoring or controlling diet in the study is truly 573 necessary, the next step is to determine how to do it. It is crucial that the way dietary data is 574 collected and evaluated is valid and appropriate for the study aims. Many options exist 575 including the duplicate diet approach, food consumption recording, 24-h dietary recall, dietary 576 record, dietary history and food frequency questionnaires. Detailing each of these is beyond 577 the scope of the current chapter but those wishing to obtain more specific information about 578 each of these dietary assessment methods are directed towards further reading (Shim et al., 579 2014; Thompson & Subar, 2017). Where possible, dietary assessment should be performed by 580 the same experienced nutritionist to minimise errors and variation, although some errors 581 between actual and estimated/calculated dietary intake are always likely (Stables et al., 2021). 582 From this point, the researcher should aim to determine whether diet should be monitored, 583 replicated or intervened. 584

585

a) Monitoring: In this situation, the researcher does not control the participant's diet inany way, but simply measures it via one of several methods available to monitor the quality,

composition, or a specific bioactive compound. For example, a study that aims to evaluate carbohydrate consumption in the week leading up to a sports competition may ask a volunteer to record their food consumption via daily food diaries. Or a study that aims to determine the dietary habits and nutritional status of a distinct group of athletes (*e.g.*, endurance runners or CrossFit<sup>®</sup> athletes). A consideration here is the observer effect; participants may actively make different choices throughout the study to appear healthier or to be more knowledgeable about food choices, meaning the data may not be an accurate representation of their true diet.

595

b) Replication: Participants should be requested to maintain their normal dietary intake 596 and avoid major changes throughout their participation in a study. In situations where changes 597 in diet may cause unwanted changes in the primary outcome, participants should be requested 598 to replicate their diet for a period of between 24-72 h. For example, during a crossover study 599 aiming to determine whether sodium bicarbonate supplementation is ergogenic during a 100-600 km time-trial on a cycle ergometer, it is possible that carbohydrate intake (and other nutrients) 601 impacts performance, which is the primary outcome for the study. As a result, dietary 602 replication may be advisable before every visit so that this does not influence performance and 603 differences can be attributed to the intervention and not to differences in diet. Replication could 604 occur via one of two ways. Firstly, participants could record their dietary intake during the 605 prespecified period (e.g., 24-72 h pre-test) prior to the first main test, and then be asked to 606 repeat this as closely as possible prior to each subsequent visit. The second option would be to 607 608 provide participants with pre-prepared food prior to each main test. The former option may be more favourable for studies that do not have funds for food purchases but is reliant on 609 participants repeating their food choices closely which may not always be done. The second 610 option certainly provides more study control since the participants are instructed to eat the food 611 612 provided by the researchers.

613

614 c) Intervention: This related to when the diet is the independent variable, meaning it is 615 the intervention itself. For example, a study that aims to investigate whether a ketogenic diet 616 impacts the performance of rowers in a 2000-m rowing test compared to a carbohydrate-rich 617 diet. Ideally, since the diet is the intervention, strict control over the diet is desired and all food 618 is provided to the participants. Unfortunately, we do not live in an ideal world and many studies 619 would not have the resources to provide this, and thus dietary advice would likely be provided 620 to participants while dietary monitoring would occur throughout the study to ensure

participants are adhering to their respective diets. The frequency and method (see below) via 621 622 which this information is obtained will depend upon the researchers. Some studies may be more mechanistic and acute, for example investigating whether carbohydrate ingestion alongside 623 beta-alanine supplementation aids in the entry of beta-alanine into the muscle. In this case, 624 participants can be provided with a standardised carbohydrate-rich meal with and without beta-625 626 alanine on separate occasions to determine whether there are differences in muscle levels of beta-alanine. In this context, it is necessary that the provided meal is standardised according to 627 628 carbohydrate (and other nutrients) content.

629

Sport science studies often prohibit certain foods and drinks in the day(s) prior to 630 exercise tests, including alcohol and caffeine, to avoid any influence on exercise performance. 631 Alcohol can negatively impact performance (Shirreffs & Maughan, 2006), and while caffeine 632 can positively influence exercise performance (Guest et al., 2021), the quantities found in 633 coffee can vary up to 100% even when the same quantities and brewing methods are applied 634 (Desbrow et al., 2012; Desbrow et al., 2007; McCusker et al., 2003). Therefore, it makes sense 635 to ensure participants do not ingest these prior to their laboratory visits as they may interfere 636 with the outcomes of the study. Since carbohydrate intake is known to impact endurance 637 performance (Bergström et al., 1967; Jensen et al., 2020), it may be desirable to monitor or 638 control for this in the lead up to an exercise task. since it is known that this can impact upon 639 endurance performance. Similarly, a debated topic is whether research participants should 640 perform exercise in a fasted or fed state. As with most of these factors, the choice should depend 641 upon the primary aims of the study. If the aim of a study is to determine whether nitrate could 642 be a useful pre-exercise supplement to improve 16-km cycling time-trial performance in 643 competition, then it makes sense to have participants consume a pre-exercise diet that the 644 participant would regularly have. However, if the study is mechanistic in nature, such as 645 whether nitrate supplementation increases the rate of oxygen consumption during 16-km time-646 trial cycling, then researchers may wish to have participants exercise in a fasted state as an easy 647 method of dietary control. Nonetheless, results of such a study may not be entirely applicable 648 to a real-world scenario where athletes are likely to ingest a pre-exercise meal. 649

650

Personal view: In our laboratory, we perform studies with dietary supplements to determine
their influence on exercise performance. In these studies, we try to be as applicable to the real-

653 world as possible, and generally simply ask volunteers to maintain their normal dietary

654 patterns throughout their participation in the study. Since diet can influence exercise 655 performance, we request that participants record their dietary intake in the 24-h prior to the 656 first intervention session and ask them to replicate this as closely as possible prior to the 657 subsequent sessions. The participants are still required to perform 24-h dietary records prior 658 to these subsequent sessions so that we can analyse how closely these were followed.

659

660 5. Exercise control

661

1. Prior to main sessions

A key component to a sport and exercise research study is the control of exercise prior 662 to experimental trials, which is important since this may have negative or positive effects on 663 the outcome of the experimental trial. Specifically, exercise close to an experimental trial may 664 lead to carry-over fatigue, which could impact exercise performance when a best performance 665 is required. This is common when the participants studied, for example, are triathletes, who are 666 reported to train at least once per day (Korkia et al., 1994). The solution would be to allow 667 exercise prior to an experimental trial, however, ensure that this is standardised and recorded. 668 As previously discussed, (see Section 3.1.2. Field-based research), commercial software can 669 assist with checking adherence to this approach (e.g., Strava<sup>TM</sup>). This would be stronger than 670 attempting to make certain populations refrain from exercise 24-48 hours prior to a trial when 671 this is highly unlikely in practice. Monitoring exercise can also allow the researcher to prescribe 672 the exercise, such as the intensity and volume that would minimise the impact on the 673 experimental trial. For example, if the aim of a study was to investigate the changes in muscle 674 glycogen during a 3-hour simulated time-trial, the researcher could instruct participants to only 675 complete exercise that will not deplete glycogen stores in the 24-48 hours prior to the 676 experimental trial which will help minimise the impact of this on the 3-hour simulated time-677 678 trial.

- 679
- 680

# 2. Throughout short-term studies

The longer the duration of involvement in a study, the longer biological variability might influence outcome measures. Biological variability is defined as "non-intervention related processes that cause true scores to change" (Swinton et al., 2018). Parallel group designs somewhat account for this, whereby a separate group of participants complete the trial under control conditions (*i.e.*, without the intervention). However, crossover designs, such as those using acute supplements such as caffeine, do not. In this instance, it is recommended that

participants complete all main trials in as short a time period as is feasible to avoid substantial 687 changes in biological variability. In previous work (Gough, Deb, et al., 2019; Gough et al., 688 2018), participants completed the study within a three-week window to minimise the impact 689 690 of training adaptations, which are typically studied (or periodised) over an 8-12-week period (Solli et al., 2019). Using a short time frame of approximately 2-4 weeks should allow for the 691 influence of training adaptations to be minimal. Of course, this approach also needs to be 692 balanced with the time frame between each experimental trial. Generally, a time frame of 693 694 between 2-3 days between experiments trials has been used (Gough, Deb, et al., 2019; Gough et al., 2018) in dietary supplement studies, and this ensures that sufficient recovery is provided 695 for the physiological systems to reach homeostasis bearing in mind both the influence of the 696 exercise and the supplement (Siegler et al., 2012; Stanley et al., 2013). A caveat to this would 697 be the exercise task employed in the study. If the study involves longer duration exercise, such 698 as running a half marathon or full marathon, then a longer period of recovery may be required. 699 However, for longer duration exercise a parallel-group design is usually preferred when there 700 are either carryover effects or repeated bout effects (Bacchieri & Della Cioppa, 2007). 701 Additionally, it is important to note, albeit anecdotally, that participants consenting to research 702 can often see the research study as a chance to change other elements of their behaviour such 703 as nutrition and training (*i.e.*, to begin a health kick). It could also have the opposite effect, 704 whereby participants feel because they are being healthy in the study they can be unhealthy 705 outside of it (i.e., a licensing effect) (Chiou et al., 2011). This makes it vital at the outset to 706 explain to participants that the intervention is not intended to support this and that other than 707 what the intervention intends to change, all else should remain consistent (other than typical 708 709 daily variation).

710

711

#### 3. Throughout longer-term studies

With advances in technology, it is now possible to monitor factors such as physical 712 activity, sleep, and training, whereby the latter can even be controlled (or prescribed) for long-713 term intervention studies. In the example of a 12-week training study, training monitoring can 714 be completed using applications such as Strava<sup>TM</sup> and TrainingPeaks<sup>TM</sup>. Due to the autonomous 715 nature of commercial applications, there is no longer a need to rely on written logs that can 716 also increase the level or error compared to commercial applications that track work completed 717 through global positioning satellites (GPS), power meters or heart rate, although these can still 718 have small error themselves (Rampinini et al., 2015). These platforms, however, only cover a 719

few sports such as running and cycling and rely on expensive equipment (e.g., power meter). 720 721 In other sports, written training diaries might be a more practical method through which to monitor external influences over a long-term study due to the incompatibility of commercial 722 applications (e.g., swimming). The use of written logs may be a benefit to the study to help 723 reduce participant attrition as reflection can lead to better adherence of the experimental 724 procedures (Pirotta et al., 2019), although the opposite might also be expected due to more time 725 being dedicated to the study. In respect of that point, strategies to reduce the amount of 726 727 participant attrition is vital in research, as the procedures are usually logistically difficult and time consuming. Equally, it can lead to issues of internal and external validity through those 728 dropping out from the research would change the outcome of the study (*i.e.*, negative response), 729 yet would not be included in analysis (dropouts are typically excluded) (Barry, 2005). There is 730 a statistical concept called intention-to-treat analysis that suggests including every participant 731 that was randomised to a treatment group or order in the analysis, regardless of incomplete 732 data, and more reading on this can be done elsewhere (Gupta, 2011). To counter the problem 733 of dropouts, researchers may also wish to consider financial incentives and/or frequent 734 reporting points to complete studies that are long term as this has been shown to increase 735 participant adherence (Pirotta et al., 2019). Researchers should report, as a minimum, how 736 many participants were initially recruited and how many dropped out, and best practice would 737 be to attempt to identify why the participants dropped out. If the dropout was due to the 738 intervention than this should be discussed and interpreted to reduce internal validity issues. 739

740

Personal view: In a study investigating 4-weeks of beta-alanine supplementation on cycling performance in trained cyclists (Perim et al., 2022), we wanted to ensure that potential changes in training did not influence our results. To do this, we monitored participant's training volumes for 4 weeks prior to supplementation, and during the 4 weeks of supplementation, and compared the two to ensure there were no differences. This was done using the participant's own GPS of preference, the data from which was uploaded to Strava<sup>TM</sup> from where we could have access to all the information regarding training.

748

# 4. DATA COLLECTION

## 749 *1. Equipment*

750 Equipment used for data collection should be calibrated according to standards or manufacturer recommendations prior to every use. It is recommended that researchers 751 understand what "normal" values are expected for whatever measurement they are making so 752 753 that they can immediately identify whether an equipment reading is off. It is always worth keeping records of calibration values as these can be a good way to check if the equipment is 754 working correctly and provides an audit trail for accreditation purposes (e.g., BASES 755 laboratory accreditation). It is important to note that researchers should aim to use the same 756 757 exercise equipment, not just the same make or model, during repeat testing as there may be subtle variability in outcomes. From our own experience, we found that two different exercise 758 759 ergometers of the same make and model reported differences of~3%, which is large enough to mask any changes after administering an intervention. This applies to field-based research as 760 well as the laboratory. For example, if a running test is performed on a grass surface, ensure 761 all subsequent tests are performed on the same surface so that changes in performance are not 762 influenced by different floor surfaces. 763

764

#### 765 **2.** *Exercise protocol*

## 766 *1. Exercise protocol validity*

The type of exercise protocol that is chosen in a research study is important and can 767 depend upon the specific aims of the study. Sometimes the choice is straightforward, for 768 example, if the aim is to determine the efficacy of caffeine on 100-m sprint performance, then 769 the exercise test should be a 100-m sprint (Matsumura et al., 2022). However, this choice is 770 not always as easy, for example, if the aim is to investigate the effect of beta-alanine on football 771 (soccer) performance as performance during such activities are numerous and difficult to 772 measure (*e.g.*, it can be difficult to determine what a performance improvement in soccer is). 773 Often, researchers will develop a test that replicates the demands of the activity, which in the 774 case of football is the YoYo Intermittent Recovery Test (Krustrup et al., 2003), a running test 775 consisting of 2 x 20 m runs which 10 s active recovery until exhaustion. Such a protocol should 776 resemble performance during the activity that it is attempting to simulate as closely as possible, 777 778 an aspect called validity, though there are many types of validity with further reading suggested (Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008). YoYo Intermittent Recovery Test performance is strongly 779 correlated to running trends during match play (Krustrup et al., 2003; Krustrup et al., 2006) 780

making it a good surrogate for match performance. Since Saunders et al. (2012) showed a
positive effect of beta-alanine supplementation on YoYo Intermittent Recovery test
performance, this can then be extrapolated to suggest that beta-alanine may be effective for inmatch football performance.

785

Sometimes the choice of an exercise test is to determine the underpinning mechanisms of an intervention. For example, Hill et al. (2007) developed a high intensity cycling capacity test that is performed until exhaustion and limited by muscle acidosis. This makes it an excellent model to determine whether increased muscle buffering capacity (which delays acidosis), achieved via beta-alanine supplementation, can improve performance during exercise limited by acidosis. They showed not that beta-alanine is effective for a sport-specific exercise, but that it can improve performance during exercise limited by muscle acidosis.

793

Researchers using exercise capacity tests, in which participants perform exercise at a 794 fixed intensity until no longer tolerable (also called a time-to-exhaustion protocol), are often 795 criticised for not considering the ecologically validity of the test (*i.e.*, they do not necessarily 796 replicate a real-world situation). This is particularly true for supramaximal intensities in which 797 the participant is instructed to exercise at an intensity well above their usual maximum, 798 meaning that they will fatigue rapidly. Nonetheless, in addition to providing potential 799 mechanistic insights, for many athletes trying to maintain race pace with the leader, this is a 800 true reflection in an applied setting. For example, in road cycling, an end sprint on a climb 801 would likely be supramaximal and close to a time-to-exhaustion test since the athlete will aim 802 to be exert themselves maximally and aim to be completely depleted by the finish line. Thus, 803 knowing how long they could realistically maintain such a high intensity, and how this might 804 be improved, could provide valuable information. 805

806

Some studies in sport science evaluate measures of performance or fatigue during exercise to determine how this differs between, for example, sex or ability (McKay et al., 2022). This could be achieved by using specific exercise protocols replicating real-world competition such as a 100-m running sprint or a 4-km cycling time-trial. It is natural to question whether laboratory measurement of a particular sporting activity truly represents the physiological demands of competition, but studies do exist showing that they may not be different. One study showed that physiological responses to a 5-km cycling time-trial were not different when

measured in the laboratory or during a competition (Foster et al., 1993). Some exercise 814 815 protocols have been developed to measure a specific component of exercise capacity. For example, the 30-s cycling Wingate test, in which participants cycle maximally (all-out) against 816 a fixed resistance for 30 s, was developed to measure muscular power and anaerobic exercise 817 capacity (Bar-Or, 1987; Bar-Or et al., 1977). This test can then subsequently be used to 818 819 determine differences in anaerobic capacity between athletic groups (e.g., endurance vs. sprint cyclists) or whether a nutritional intervention can improve anaerobic capacity (e.g., sodium 820 821 bicarbonate supplementation).

822

Personal view: In a study performed by our laboratory, we supplemented participants with 823 beta-alanine for 24-weeks to see how much muscle carnosine could be increased and whether 824 improvements in exercise performance followed suit (Saunders, Painelli, et al., 2017). We used 825 the high intensity cycling capacity test employed by Hill et al. (2007) because they had 826 previously shown it to be limited by muscle acidosis and improved by 4 weeks of beta-alanine 827 supplementation making it an appropriate model for our study. The aim was not to determine 828 whether beta-alanine improved a specific sport, but how closely performance improvements 829 mimicked muscle changes. 830

- 831
- 832

## 2. Exercise protocol reliability

The reliability of an exercise protocol is an important consideration, particularly when 833 considering that many intervention effects may be small. For example, supplementation effects 834 are generally 1-3% (Carr et al., 2011; Hobson et al., 2012). It is, therefore, key that the day-to-835 day variability in performance during the exercise test is minimal, as it may render the test 836 unable to detect intervention changes. Test-retest studies typically have participants perform 837 the same exercise test on two separate occasions, usually following at least one familiarisation, 838 and under the same strict controlled conditions. The performance difference between sessions 839 is then calculated using metrics such as the coefficient of variation (CV), Pearson's correlation, 840 intraclass correlation 95% limits of agreement or typical error (for more reading see (Currell 841 & Jeukendrup, 2008; Hopkins, 2000; Swinton et al., 2018)). The CV is considered an 842 appropriate statistic, easy to interpret as it is expressed as a percentage since it uses the standard 843 deviation as a percentage of the mean, and allows easy comparison between different exercise 844 protocols (Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008). The higher the CV value, the greater the variation 845 between one visit and the next, which is undesirable. Though there is no specific cut-off limit, 846

CVs above 10% are often considered too high rendering the test inadequate. Such high CVs 847 are generally seen in time-to-exhaustion exercise capacity tests performed at low intensities 848 (Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008; Jeukendrup et al., 1996), though high-intensity capacity tests 849 often show more suitable CVs below 10% (Higgins et al., 2014; Saunders et al., 2013). Time-850 trial tests generally show excellent reliability (<5%) (Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008; Jeukendrup 851 852 et al., 1996) meaning they are often the preferred choice for intervention studies. Researchers should also be aware that training status positively influences test-retest reliability (Benton et 853 854 al., 2013), meaning that less trained participants may exhibit higher variability than is desired. Clinical populations may also show different consistency in performance dependent upon their 855 disorder and the exercise test being employed. Anyone initiating data collection should be 856 aware of the reliability of the exercise protocol being used and the expected changes with the 857 intervention under investigation so appropriate decision-making can be made. Furthermore, 858 protocols with large variability may explain equivocal results in some intervention studies, for 859 example, in which the variation of the exercise protocol will likely have masked the small effect 860 of a dietary supplement. 861

862

Personal view: We previously sought to employ a time-to-exhaustion cycling protocol
performed at 75% of peak power output to determine the effects of caffeine supplementation
on performance. Pilot testing with a handful of cyclists revealed a day-to-day variation of
approximately 30%, similar to that shown by Jeukendrup et al. (1996), which led us to choose
a time-trial protocol with a smaller variation of ~3% (Oliveira et al., 2017).

868

869

#### 3. Blood sampling

Blood samples are often taken in sport science studies to determine a plethora of 870 measures depending upon the aims of the study. Some blood analytes can be measured almost 871 immediately using standard laboratory equipment, such as blood lactate concentration or pH. 872 Other compounds, such as markers of muscle damage or stress (*e.g.*, creatine kinase or lactate 873 dehydrogenase) may be more complex and require blood samples to be collected and 874 adequately stored (see Sample management below) for posterior analysis using intricate 875 analytical techniques and equipment. As with most factors, there are a number of 876 considerations to be addressed regarding blood sampling, the most important being which 877 blood parameters are being analysed as this will affect where blood will be sampled from (e.g., 878 the arm, finger, earlobe), the type needed (venous vs. arterial vs. arterialised) and the amount 879

required. Sampling at different sites may lead to different values for certain measures. For 880 881 example, many studies may choose to measure blood lactate from the ear (for example, during rowing exercise), but researchers should be aware that results are not directly comparable to 882 those obtained from the fingertip (Feliu et al., 1999). Participant posture can also influence the 883 measurement of many clinical blood measurements depending on whether the participant is in 884 a seated vs. standing position (Lima-Oliveira et al., 2017; Lippi et al., 2015). The type of blood 885 collected may also modify the measure in question, for example, venous blood provides lower 886 887 glucagon-like peptide-1 concentrations than arterialized blood in the postprandial (*i.e.*, fed) state (Chen et al., 2018). Nonetheless, since many sport science studies are unlikely to take 888 place in a hospital, venous or capillary blood samples are usually preferable. This may not 889 always be an issue, as in the example of blood pH and bicarbonate, which shows high levels of 890 agreement whether sampled from venous or arterial blood (Ayaz et al., 2021; Kelly et al., 891 2004), meaning venous blood is an acceptable substitute for arterial for these measurements. 892 To avoid any unwanted variability in blood sampling, researchers should aim to always take 893 blood samples from the same site (which should be researched and chosen based upon the study 894 aims and accessibility) with the participants in the same position (standing, seated or supine). 895 Anecdotally, researchers may wish to familiarize their participants to blood sampling since a 896 fear of needles may artificially increase blood lactate or glucose levels, though this fear is likely 897 to subside after multiple exposures. 898

899

Personal view: In our studies, we often take venous blood samples with participants seated on
a bike. To ensure sampling differences are not encountered due to postural differences, despite
cannulation occurring in a supine position, we then sample blood with participants in a seated
position.

- 904
- 905

### 4. Sample and data management

Participant information in research should be confidential, to ensure that the identities and their associated information is protected. Researchers must follow ethical guidelines to ensure that the data collected is handled appropriately and with respect for participants' privacy so it cannot be linked to specific participants. One way to maintain confidentiality is by assigning a unique identifier to each participant, as opposed to directly using their name or other identifying information. This unique identifier is then used in all future data, samples or notes relating to that particular participant, while the identifying information linking the

doi: 10.51224/SRXIV.379

This work is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed

participant to the unique identifier should be kept separate and safe. Some studies may require
complete anonymity to protect participant privacy, particularly when sensitive topics are being
studied. This may involve removing any identifying information from participant records and
using coding systems or anonymous questionnaires to collect data.

917

918 *1. Sample storage* 

Biological samples such as blood, muscle, sweat, saliva, or other such samples are 919 920 sensitive materials with potential risk of and for contamination, meaning they need to be handled and stored with the utmost care. Many countries may have governing policies on this 921 type of collection with specific regulations that researchers must adhere to. One example is the 922 United Kingdom with the Human Tissue Act (2004) (https://www.hta.gov.uk/guidance-923 professionals/hta-legislation/human-tissue-act-2004). Samples should be put into appropriate 924 containers and properly labelled with information containing the unique identifier of the 925 participant, the specific moment of collection and potentially the study to which they belong 926 (e.g., CAF001BS, V1A; this might refer to a specific caffeine study [CAF], participant 001 927 with initials BS, Visit 1 [V1] and the first timepoint of data collection [A]). Samples can then 928 be organised into larger airtight containers such as freezer boxes or plastic bags which are 929 subsequently stored at the appropriate temperature other specific conditions to prevent 930 degradation or contamination. The ideal storage conditions will vary depending on the type of 931 sample and analysis to be performed but are often stored at -20°C or -80°C for long-term 932 storage. Organisation of samples within a freezer or similar (e.g., liquid nitrogen) should be 933 detailed in an inventory using a computerized tracking system or manual logbook, and access 934 935 should be restricted to authorized personnel only. Samples should be stored until analysed and then disposed of correctly (*i.e.*, according to university or company guidelines regarding 936 937 disposal of contaminated samples).

- 938
- 939

# 2. Data management

Data management should be considered a critical component of research as it ensures that the information collected is accurate, reliable, and easily accessible. Laboratory books are an essential tool for researchers to document their experimental methods, observations, and results. Tabulation of data is an important step following data collection as it allows researchers to organize and analyse their data more effectively. It is recommended that researchers tabulate their data immediately (into Excel, for example) following a collection session to avoid losing

data. This can also help the researchers to evaluate whether there is any issue in data collection 946 by visually inspecting whether data appear normal. Data can then be backed up to secure online 947 storage networks or to portable drives to ensure that it is saved to multiple locations in the 948 949 (hopefully unlikely) event that a laboratory book is lost, file becomes corrupted, or somebody steals your computer (a favourite excuse of a final year undergraduate student to gain more 950 951 time). Online storage networks, such as OneDrive or DropBox, may be particularly favourable since they allow remote access from any device. Researchers should also take care to store 952 953 participant data securely, such as in a locked cabinet or password-protected computer file. Storage and maintenance of data for an appropriate period are necessary to ensure that the data 954 can be accessed and reviewed for future research or audits. The length of this retention period 955 varies depending on the type of data, funding requirements, and the research area but is often 956 considered to be 5 years for sport and exercise science. 957

958

Personal view: Each student in our laboratory has their own laboratory book in which they
are to write down all their results and are strongly encouraged to extract any data file
immediately and back it up, tabulate all data as soon as possible and back it up to an online
server. The laboratory book should also be stored in a secure location.

#### 963 **References**

- Abt, G., Boreham, C., Davison, G., Jackson, R., Nevill, A., Wallace, E., & Williams, M.
- 965 (2020). Power, precision, and sample size estimation in sport and exercise science
  966 research. *J Sports Sci*, 38(17), 1933-1935.

967 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1776002

- Abt, G., Jobson, S., Morin, J. B., Passfield, L., Sampaio, J., Sunderland, C., & Twist, C.
  (2022). Raising the bar in sports performance research. *J Sports Sci*, 40(2), 125-129.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2021.2024334
- Andrade, C. (2018). Internal, External, and Ecological Validity in Research Design, Conduct,
  and Evaluation. *Indian J Psychol Med*, 40(5), 498-499.

973 <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/ijpsym\_334\_18</u>

- Andreacci, J. L., Lemura, L. M., Cohen, S. L., Urbansky, E. A., Chelland, S. A., & Duvillard,
- 975 S. P. v. (2002). The effects of frequency of encouragement on performance during
  976 maximal exercise testing. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 20(4), 345-352.
  977 https://doi.org/10.1080/026404102753576125
- Ayaz, F., Furrukh, M., Arif, T., Ur Rahman, F., & Ambreen, S. (2021). Correlation of
  Arterial and Venous pH and Bicarbonate in Patients With Renal Failure. *Cureus*, *13*(11), e19519. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.19519
- 981 Bacchieri, A., & Della Cioppa, G. (2007). Experimental Design: Fallacy of "Before-After"
- 982 Comparisons in Uncontrolled Studies. In A. Bacchieri & G. Della Cioppa (Eds.),
- 983 Fundamentals of Clinical Research: Bridging Medicine, Statistics and Operations
  984 (pp. 183-199). Springer Milan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-88-470-0492-4\_8
- Bang, H., Flaherty, S. P., Kolahi, J., & Park, J. (2010). Blinding assessment in clinical trials:
  A review of statistical methods and a proposal of blinding assessment protocol. *Clinical Research and Regulatory Affairs*, 27(2), 42-51.

988 https://doi.org/10.3109/10601331003777444

- Bang, H., Ni, L., & Davis, C. E. (2004). Assessment of blinding in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials*, 25(2), 143-156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2003.10.016
- Bar-Or, O. (1987). The Wingate anaerobic test. An update on methodology, reliability and
  validity. *Sports Medicine*, 4(6), 381-394. <u>https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-</u>
  198704060-00001

- Bar-Or, O., Dotan, R., & Inbar, O. (1977). A 30-second all-out ergometric test its reliability
  and validity for anaerobic capacity. A 30-second all-out ergometric test its reliability
  and validity for anaerobic capacity., 13, 326.
- Barry, A. E. (2005). How attrition impacts the internal and external validity of longitudinal
  research. *J Sch Health*, 75(7), 267-270. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-</u>
  <u>1561.2005.00035.x</u>
- Bennett, S., Tiollier, E., Brocherie, F., Owens, D. J., Morton, J. P., & Louis, J. (2021). Three
  weeks of a home-based "sleep low-train low" intervention improves functional
  threshold power in trained cyclists: A feasibility study. *Plos One*, *16*(12), e0260959.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260959
- Benton, M. J., Raab, S., & Waggener, G. T. (2013). Effect of training status on reliability of
   one repetition maximum testing in women. *J Strength Cond Res*, 27(7), 1885-1890.
   https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182752d4a
- Bergström, J., Hermansen, L., Hultman, E., & Saltin, B. (1967). Diet, muscle glycogen and
   physical performance. *Acta Physiol Scand*, 71(2), 140-150.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-1716.1967.tb03720.x</u>
- Betts, J. A., Gonzalez, J. T., Burke, L. M., Close, G. L., Garthe, I., James, L. J., Jeukendrup,
  A. E., Morton, J. P., Nieman, D. C., Peeling, P., Phillips, S. M., Stellingwerff, T., van
- 1012 Loon, L. J. C., Williams, C., Woolf, K., Maughan, R., & Atkinson, G. (2020).
- 1013 PRESENT 2020: Text Expanding on the Checklist for Proper Reporting of Evidence
- 1014 in Sport and Exercise Nutrition Trials. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and*
- 1015 *Exercise Metabolism*, *30*(1), 2-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2019-0326</u>
- Binboğa, E., Tok, S., Catikkas, F., Guven, S., & Dane, S. (2013). The effects of verbal
  encouragement and conscientiousness on maximal voluntary contraction of the triceps
  surae muscle in elite athletes. *J Sports Sci*, *31*(9), 982-988.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.758869
- 1020 Bonaventura, J. M., Sharpe, K., Knight, E., Fuller, K. L., Tanner, R. K., & Gore, C. J. (2015).
- 1021 Reliability and accuracy of six hand-held blood lactate analysers. *J Sports Sci Med*,
  1022 *14*(1), 203-214.
- Burke, L. M., & Hawley, J. A. (2018). Swifter, higher, stronger: What's on the menu?
   *Science*, *362*(6416), 781-787. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau2093</u>

1025	Carr, A. J., Hopkins, W. G., & Gore, C. J. (2011). Effects of acute alkalosis and acidosis on
1026	performance: a meta-analysis. Sports Medicine, 41(10), 801-814.
1027	https://doi.org/10.2165/11591440-000000000-00000
1028	Chen, YC., Edinburgh, R. M., Hengist, A., Smith, H. A., Walhin, JP., Betts, J. A.,
1029	Thompson, D., & Gonzalez, J. T. (2018). Venous blood provides lower glucagon-like
1030	peptide-1 concentrations than arterialized blood in the postprandial but not the fasted
1031	state: Consequences of sampling methods. Experimental Physiology, 103(9), 1200-
1032	1205. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1113/EP087118
1033	Chiou, WB., Yang, CC., & Wan, CS. (2011). Ironic Effects of Dietary
1034	Supplementation: Illusory Invulnerability Created by Taking Dietary Supplements
1035	Licenses Health-Risk Behaviors. Psychological Science, 22(8), 1081-1086.
1036	https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611416253
1037	Currell, K., & Jeukendrup, A. E. (2008). Validity, Reliability and Sensitivity of Measures of
1038	Sporting Performance. Sports Medicine, 38(4), 297-316.
1039	https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200838040-00003
1040	Desbrow, B., Henry, M., & Scheelings, P. (2012). An examination of consumer exposure to
1041	caffeine from commercial coffee and coffee-flavoured milk. Journal of Food
1042	Composition and Analysis, 28(2), 114-118.

1043 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2012.09.001

- Desbrow, B., Hughes, R., Leveritt, M., & Scheelings, P. (2007). An examination of consumer
   exposure to caffeine from retail coffee outlets. *Food Chem Toxicol*, 45(9), 1588-1592.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2007.02.020
- 1047 Feliu, J., Ventura, J. L., Segura, R., Rodas, G., Riera, J., Estruch, A., Zamora, A., &
- 1048 Capdevila, L. (1999). Differences between lactate concentration of samples from ear
  1049 lobe and the finger tip. *J Physiol Biochem*, 55(4), 333-339.
- Foster, C., Green, M. A., Snyder, A. C., & Thompson, N. N. (1993). Physiological responses
  during simulated competition. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 25(7), 877-882.
  https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-199307000-00018
- 1053 Gilchrist, M., Winyard, P. G., Fulford, J., Anning, C., Shore, A. C., & Benjamin, N. (2014).
- 1054 Dietary nitrate supplementation improves reaction time in type 2 diabetes:
- development and application of a novel nitrate-depleted beetroot juice placebo. *Nitric Oxide*, 40, 67-74.

- Gough, L. A., Deb, S. K., Brown, D., Sparks, S. A., & McNaughton, L. R. (2019). The
  effects of sodium bicarbonate ingestion on cycling performance and acid base balance
  recovery in acute normobaric hypoxia. *J Sports Sci*, *37*(13), 1464-1471.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1568173
- Gough, L. A., Deb, S. K., Sparks, S. A., & McNaughton, L. R. (2018). Sodium bicarbonate
  improves 4 km time trial cycling performance when individualised to time to peak
  blood bicarbonate in trained male cyclists. *J Sports Sci*, *36*(15), 1705-1712.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1410875
- Gough, L. A., Rimmer, S., Sparks, S. A., McNaughton, L. R., & Higgins, M. F. (2019). Post exercise Supplementation of Sodium Bicarbonate Improves Acid Base Balance
   Recovery and Subsequent High-Intensity Boxing Specific Performance. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 6, 155. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2019.00155
- Grgic, J., Grgic, I., Pickering, C., Schoenfeld, B. J., Bishop, D. J., & Pedisic, Z. (2020). Wake
  up and smell the coffee: caffeine supplementation and exercise performance—an
  umbrella review of 21 published meta-analyses. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*,
  54(11), 681-688.
- Grgic, J., Lazinica, B., Garofolini, A., Schoenfeld, B. J., Saner, N. J., & Mikulic, P. (2019).
  The effects of time of day-specific resistance training on adaptations in skeletal
- 1075 muscle hypertrophy and muscle strength: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
- 1076 *Chronobiology International*, *36*(4), 449-460.
- 1077 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07420528.2019.1567524</u>
- Guerin, B. (1986). Mere presence effects in humans: A review. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 22(1), 38-77. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-</u>
  1031(86)90040-5
- Guest, N. S., VanDusseldorp, T. A., Nelson, M. T., Grgic, J., Schoenfeld, B. J., Jenkins, N.
  D. M., Arent, S. M., Antonio, J., Stout, J. R., Trexler, E. T., Smith-Ryan, A. E.,
- Goldstein, E. R., Kalman, D. S., & Campbell, B. I. (2021). International society of
  sports nutrition position stand: caffeine and exercise performance. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr*, 18(1), 1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-020-00383-4</u>
- 1086 Gupta, S. K. (2011). Intention-to-treat concept: A review. *Perspect Clin Res*, 2(3), 109-112.
   1087 <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-3485.83221</u>

- Gurton, W. H., Matta, G. G., Gough, L. A., & Hurst, P. (2022). Efficacy of sodium
  bicarbonate ingestion strategies for protecting blinding. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *122*(12), 2555-2563.
- Halperin, I., Pyne, D. B., & Martin, D. T. (2015). Threats to internal validity in exercise
  science: a review of overlooked confounding variables. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, *10*(7), 823-829. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2014-0566
- Halperin, I., Ramsay, E., Philpott, B., Obolski, U., & Behm, D. G. (2020). The effects of
  positive and negative verbal feedback on repeated force production. *Physiol Behav*,
  225, 113086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.113086
- Halperin, I., Vigotsky, A. D., Foster, C., & Pyne, D. B. (2018). Strengthening the Practice of
   Exercise and Sport-Science Research. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, *13*(2), 127-134.
   https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2017-0322
- Harriss, D., Jones, C., & MacSween, A. (2022). Ethical standards in sport and exercise
  science research: 2022 update. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 43(13),
  1065-1070.
- Higgins, M. F., James, R. S., & Price, M. J. (2014). Familiarisation to and reproducibility of
  cycling at 110% peak power output. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*, 54(2), 139-146.
- 1105 Hill, C. A., Harris, R. C., Kim, H. J., Harris, B. D., Sale, C., Boobis, L. H., Kim, C. K., &
- Wise, J. A. (2007). Influence of beta-alanine supplementation on skeletal muscle
  carnosine concentrations and high intensity cycling capacity. *Amino Acids*, *32*(2),
  225-233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-006-0364-4</u>
- Hobson, R. M., Saunders, B., Ball, G., Harris, R., & Sale, C. (2012). Effects of β-alanine
  supplementation on exercise performance: a meta-analysis. *Amino Acids*, 43(1), 2537. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-011-1200-z
- Hopkins, W. G. (2000). Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. *Sports Medicine*, 30(1), 1-15. <u>https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200030010-00001</u>
- Hurst, P., Saunders, S., & Coleman, D. (2020). No differences between beetroot juice and
  placebo on competitive 5-km running performance: A double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *30*(4), 295-300.
- Hurst, P., Schiphof-Godart, L., Hettinga, F., Roelands, B., & Beedie, C. (2020). Improved
  1000-m running performance and pacing strategy with caffeine and placebo: a

- balanced placebo design study. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*.
- 1122 Jensen, R., Ørtenblad, N., Stausholm, M. H., Skjaerbaek, M. C., Larsen, D. N., Hansen, M.,
- Holmberg, H. C., Plomgaard, P., & Nielsen, J. (2020). Heterogeneity in subcellular
- 1124 muscle glycogen utilisation during exercise impacts endurance capacity in men. *The* 1125 *Journal of Physiology*, 598(19), 4271-4292. <u>https://doi.org/10.1113/jp280247</u>
- Jeukendrup, A., Saris, W. H., Brouns, F., & Kester, A. D. (1996). A new validated endurance
   performance test. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 28(2), 266-270.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-199602000-00017</u>
- Kang, M., Ragan, B. G., & Park, J. H. (2008). Issues in outcomes research: an overview of
  randomization techniques for clinical trials. *J Athl Train*, 43(2), 215-221.

https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-43.2.215

- Kelly, A. M., McAlpine, R., & Kyle, E. (2004). Agreement between bicarbonate measured on
  arterial and venous blood gases. *Emerg Med Australas*, *16*(5-6), 407-409.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-6723.2004.00642.x
- Korkia, P. K., Tunstall-Pedoe, D. S., & Maffulli, N. (1994). An epidemiological investigation
  of training and injury patterns in British triathletes. *Br J Sports Med*, 28(3), 191-196.
  https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.28.3.191
- 1138 Krustrup, P., Mohr, M., Amstrup, T., Rysgaard, T., Johansen, J., Steensberg, A., Pedersen, P.
- K., & Bangsbo, J. (2003). The yo-yo intermittent recovery test: physiological
  response, reliability, and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, *35*(4), 697-705.
- 1141 <u>https://doi.org/10.1249/01.Mss.0000058441.94520.32</u>
- Krustrup, P., Mohr, M., Nybo, L., Jensen, J. M., Nielsen, J. J., & Bangsbo, J. (2006). The YoYo IR2 test: physiological response, reliability, and application to elite soccer. *Med*
- 1144 Sci Sports Exerc, 38(9), 1666-1673.
- 1145 <u>https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000227538.20799.08</u>
- 1146 Küüsmaa, M., Schumann, M., Sedliak, M., Kraemer, W. J., Newton, R. U., Malinen, J.-P.,
- 1147 Nyman, K., Häkkinen, A., & Häkkinen, K. (2016). Effects of morning versus evening
  1148 combined strength and endurance training on physical performance, muscle
- 1149 hypertrophy, and serum hormone concentrations. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and*
- 1150 *Metabolism*, 41(12), 1285-1294. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2016-0271 %M
- 1151 27863207

- Léger, L. A., & Lambert, J. (1982). A maximal multistage 20-m shuttle run test to predict
  VO2 max. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*, 49(1), 1-12.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00428958
- 1155 Lima-Oliveira, G., Guidi, G. C., Salvagno, G. L., Danese, E., Montagnana, M., & Lippi, G.
- (2017). Patient posture for blood collection by venipuncture: recall for standardization
  after 28 years. *Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter*, *39*(2), 127-132.
- 1158 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjhh.2017.01.004
- 1159 Lippi, G., Salvagno, G. L., Lima-Oliveira, G., Brocco, G., Danese, E., & Guidi, G. C. (2015).
- Postural change during venous blood collection is a major source of bias in clinical
  chemistry testing. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 440, 164-168.
- 1162 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2014.11.024
- 1163 Matsumura, T., Tomoo, K., Sugimoto, T., Tsukamoto, H., Shinohara, Y., Otsuka, M., &
- 1164Hashimoto, T. (2022). Acute Effect of Caffeine Supplementation on 100-m Sprint1165Running Performance: A Field Test. Med Sci Sports Exerc.
- 1166 <u>https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0000000000003057</u>
- Matta, G., Edwards, A., Roelands, B., Hettinga, F., & Hurst, P. (2022). Reproducibility of 20min Time-trial Performance on a Virtual Cycling Platform. *Int J Sports Med*, 43(14),
  1169 1190-1195. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1848-8478</u>
- McCusker, R. R., Goldberger, B. A., & Cone, E. J. (2003). Caffeine content of specialty
  coffees. *J Anal Toxicol*, 27(7), 520-522. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jat/27.7.520</u>
- McKay, A. K. A., Stellingwerff, T., Smith, E. S., Martin, D. T., Mujika, I., Goosey-Tolfrey,
  V. L., Sheppard, J., & Burke, L. M. (2022). Defining Training and Performance
- 1174 Caliber: A Participant Classification Framework. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, *17*(2),
  1175 317-331. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2021-0451
- McNaughton, L. R. (1992). Bicarbonate ingestion: Effects of dosage on 60 s cycle ergometry.
   *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 10(5), 415-423.
- 1178 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640419208729940
- Mesquida, C., Murphy, J., Lakens, D., & Warne, J. (2022). Replication concerns in sports and
   exercise science: a narrative review of selected methodological issues in the field.
- 1181
   Royal Society Open Science, 9(12), 220946. <u>https://doi.org/doi:10.1098/rsos.220946</u>
- 1182 Midgley, A. W., Marchant, D. C., & Levy, A. R. (2018). A call to action towards an
- evidence-based approach to using verbal encouragement during maximal exercise

- testing. *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging*, *38*(4), 547-553.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/cpf.12454
- Nichols, A. L., & Maner, J. K. (2008). The good-subject effect: investigating participant
  demand characteristics. *J Gen Psychol*, *135*(2), 151-165.
  https://doi.org/10.3200/genp.135.2.151-166
- 1189 Oliveira, L. F. d., Yamaguchi, G., Painelli, V. S. d., Silva, R. P. d., Goncalves, L. S.,
- Gualano, B., & Saunders, B. (2017). Comprehensive reliability analysis of a 16 km
  simulated cycling time-trial in well-trained individuals. *Journal of Science and Cycling*, 6(1), 11-17.
- Paliczka, V. J., Nichols, A. K., & Boreham, C. A. (1987). A multi-stage shuttle run as a
  predictor of running performance and maximal oxygen uptake in adults. *Br J Sports Med*, 21(4), 163-165. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.21.4.163
- Perim, P., Gobbi, N., Duarte, B., Oliveira, L. F. d., Costa, L. A. R., Sale, C., Gualano, B.,
  Dolan, E., & Saunders, B. (2022). Beta-alanine did not improve high-intensity
  performance throughout simulated road cycling. *European Journal of Sport Science*,
  22(8), 1240-1249. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1940304
- Pirotta, S., Joham, A., Hochberg, L., Moran, L., Lim, S., Hindle, A., & Brennan, L. (2019).
  Strategies to reduce attrition in weight loss interventions: A systematic review and
  meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*, 20(10), 1400-1412. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12914</u>
- 1203 Rampinini, E., Alberti, G., Fiorenza, M., Riggio, M., Sassi, R., Borges, T. O., & Coutts, A. J.
- (2015). Accuracy of GPS devices for measuring high-intensity running in field-based
   team sports. *Int J Sports Med*, *36*(1), 49-53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1385866</u>
- Ramsbottom, R., Brewer, J., & Williams, C. (1988). A progressive shuttle run test to estimate
  maximal oxygen uptake. *Br J Sports Med*, 22(4), 141-144.
- 1208 <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.22.4.141</u>
- Saunders, B., de Oliveira, L. F., da Silva, R. P., de Salles Painelli, V., Goncalves, L. S.,
  Yamaguchi, G., Mutti, T., Maciel, E., Roschel, H., Artioli, G. G., & Gualano, B.
- 1211 (2017). Placebo in sports nutrition: a proof-of-principle study involving caffeine
- supplementation. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*, 27(11), 1240-1247.
- 1213 https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12793
- 1214 Saunders, B., Painelli, V. S., LF, D. E. O., V, D. A. E. S., RP, D. A. S., Riani, L., Franchi,
- 1215 M., Goncalves, L. S., Harris, R. C., Roschel, H., Artioli, G. G., Sale, C., & Gualano,
- 1216 B. (2017). Twenty-four Weeks of beta-Alanine Supplementation on Carnosine

- 1217 Content, Related Genes, and Exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 49(5), 896-906.
   1218 https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000001173
- Saunders, B., Sale, C., Harris, R. C., Morris, J. G., & Sunderland, C. (2013). Reliability of a
  high-intensity cycling capacity test. *J Sci Med Sport*, *16*(3), 286-289.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.07.004
- Saunders, B., Sale, C., Harris, R. C., & Sunderland, C. (2014). Sodium bicarbonate and highintensity-cycling capacity: variability in responses. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 9(4),
  627-632. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2013-0295
- Saunders, B., Sunderland, C., Harris, R. C., & Sale, C. (2012). β-alanine supplementation
   improves YoYo intermittent recovery test performance. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*, 9(1), 39. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/1550-2783-9-39">https://doi.org/10.1186/1550-2783-9-39</a>
- Schulz, K. F., & Grimes, D. A. (2002). Generation of allocation sequences in randomised
   trials: chance, not choice. *The Lancet*, *359*(9305), 515-519.

1230 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07683-3</u>

- Shim, J. S., Oh, K., & Kim, H. C. (2014). Dietary assessment methods in epidemiologic
  studies. *Epidemiol Health*, *36*, e2014009. <u>https://doi.org/10.4178/epih/e2014009</u>
- Shirreffs, S. M., & Maughan, R. J. (2006). The effect of alcohol on athletic performance.
   *Curr Sports Med Rep*, 5(4), 192-196. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11932-006-0046-8</u>
- 1235 Siegler, J. C., Marshall, P. W., Bray, J., & Towlson, C. (2012). Sodium bicarbonate
- supplementation and ingestion timing: does it matter? *J Strength Cond Res*, 26(7),
  1953-1958. <u>https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182392960</u>
- Solli, G. S., Tønnessen, E., & Sandbakk, Ø. (2019). Block vs. Traditional Periodization of
  HIT: Two Different Paths to Success for the World's Best Cross-Country Skier. *Front Physiol*, 10, 375. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00375
- Souza, H. L. R., Bernardes, B. P., Prazeres, E. O. d., Arriel, R. A., Meireles, A., Camilo, G.
  B., Mota, G. R., & Marocolo, M. (2022). Hoping for the best, prepared for the worst:
- 1243 can we perform remote data collection in sport sciences? *Journal of Applied*
- 1244 *Physiology*, *133*(6), 1430-1432. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00196.2022</u>
- 1245 Stables, R. G., Kasper, A. M., Sparks, S. A., Morton, J. P., & Close, G. L. (2021). An
- 1246Assessment of the Validity of the Remote Food Photography Method (Termed Snap-1247N-Send) in Experienced and Inexperienced Sport Nutritionists. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc
- 1248 *Metab*, *31*(2), 125-134. <u>https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2020-0216</u>

- Stanley, J., Peake, J. M., & Buchheit, M. (2013). Cardiac parasympathetic reactivation 1249 1250 following exercise: implications for training prescription. Sports Medicine, 43(12), 1259-1277. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0083-4 1251 1252 Stein, J. A., Ramirez, M., & Heinrich, K. M. (2020a). Acute Caffeine Supplementation Does Not Improve Performance in Trained CrossFit((R)) Athletes. Sports (Basel), 8(4). 1253 1254 https://doi.org/10.3390/sports8040054 Stein, J. A., Ramirez, M., & Heinrich, K. M. (2020b). Retraction: Stein, J.A. et al. The 1255 1256 Effects of Acute Caffeine Supplementation on Performance in Trained CrossFit Athletes. Sports 2019, 7, 95. Sports (Basel), 8(2), 24. https://www.mdpi.com/2075-1257 1258 4663/8/2/24
- Stevens, C. J., & Dascombe, B. J. (2015). The reliability and validity of protocols for the
  assessment of endurance sports performance: an updated review. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, *19*(4), 177-185.
- Støren, Ø., Helgerud, J., Sæbø, M., Støa, E. M., Bratland-Sanda, S., Unhjem, R. J., Hoff, J.,
  & Wang, E. (2017). The Effect of Age on the V<sup>•</sup>O2max Response to High-Intensity
  Interval Training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 49(1), 78-85.
  https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.00000000001070
- 1266 Strauss, B. (2002). Social facilitation in motor tasks: a review of research and theory.
- 1267 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 3(3), 237-256.

1268 <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1469-0292(01)00019-X</u>

- Suresh, K. (2011). An overview of randomization techniques: An unbiased assessment of
   outcome in clinical research. *J Hum Reprod Sci*, 4(1), 8-11.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-1208.82352</u>
- Swinton, P. A., Hemingway, B. S., Saunders, B., Gualano, B., & Dolan, E. (2018). A
  Statistical Framework to Interpret Individual Response to Intervention: Paving the
  Way for Personalized Nutrition and Exercise Prescription. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 5,
- 1275 41. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00041</u>
- Tanner, R. K., Fuller, K. L., & Ross, M. L. (2010). Evaluation of three portable blood lactate
   analysers: Lactate Pro, Lactate Scout and Lactate Plus. *European journal of applied physiology*, *109*(3), 551-559. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-010-1379-9</u>
- 1279 Thompson, F. E., & Subar, A. F. (2017). Chapter 1 Dietary Assessment Methodology. In A.
  1280 M. Coulston, C. J. Boushey, M. G. Ferruzzi, & L. M. Delahanty (Eds.), *Nutrition in*

- 1281the Prevention and Treatment of Disease (Fourth Edition) (pp. 5-48). Academic1282Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802928-2.00001-1
- 1283 UKRI. (2023). *Research with potentially vulnerable people*.
- 1284 <u>https://www.ukri.org/councils/esrc/guidance-for-applicants/research-ethics-</u>
- 1285 guidance/research-with-potentially-vulnerable-people/
- van der Meij, L., Buunk, A. P., van de Sande, J. P., & Salvador, A. (2008). The presence of a
  woman increases testosterone in aggressive dominant men. *Horm Behav*, 54(5), 640644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.07.001
- Weakley, J., Wilson, K., Till, K., Banyard, H., Dyson, J., Phibbs, P., Read, D., & Jones, B.
  (2020). Show Me, Tell Me, Encourage Me: The Effect of Different Forms of
- 1291 Feedback on Resistance Training Performance. J Strength Cond Res, 34(11), 3157-
- 1292 3163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1519/jsc.00000000002887</u>
- Willett, W. C. (1994). Diet and Health: What Should We Eat? *Science*, *264*(5158), 532-537.
   <u>https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.8160011</u>
- Winchester, R., Turner, L. A., Thomas, K., Ansley, L., Thompson, K. G., Micklewright, D.,
  & St Clair Gibson, A. (2012). Observer effects on the rating of perceived exertion and
- affect during exercise in recreationally active males. *Percept Mot Skills*, *115*(1), 213-
- 1298 227. <u>https://doi.org/10.2466/25.07.05.Pms.115.4.213-227</u>
- 1299